

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

• Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

• Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian

country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate Matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur Dioxides.

Dated: September 27, 2017.

**Cathy Stepp,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

For the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR part 52 as set forth below:

## PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

*Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

### Subpart AA—Missouri

■ 2. Amend § 52.1320 by adding paragraphs (e)(72) and (73) to read as follows:

#### § 52.1320 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(e) \* \* \*

### EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI NONREGULATORY SIP PROVISIONS

Name of non-regulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date	EPA approval date	Explanation
(72) Sections 110 (a)(1) and 110(a)(2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2012 Annual Fine Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) NAAQS.	Statewide .....	10/14/2015	10/11/2017, [ <i>Insert Federal Register citation</i> ].	This action approves the following CAA elements: 110(a)(1) and 110(a)(2)(A), (B), (C), (D)(i)(II)—prong 3, D((ii), (E), (F), (G), (H), (J), (K), (L), and (M). 110(a)(2)(I) is not applicable. [EPA-R07-OAR-2017-0513; FRL-9969-12—Region 7.]
(73) Missouri State Statute section 105.483(5) RSMo 2014, and Missouri State Statute section 105.485 RSMo 2014.	Statewide .....	10/14/2015	10/11/2017, [ <i>Insert Federal Register citation</i> ].	EPA-R07-OAR-2017-0513; FRL-9969-12—Region 7.

[FR Doc. 2017-21806 Filed 10-10-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R08-OAR-2017-0298; FRL-9969-01—Region 8]

### Approval and Promulgation; State of Utah; Salt Lake County and Utah County Nonattainment Area Coarse Particulate Matter State Implementation Plan Revisions To Control Measures for Point Sources

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is finalizing approval of certain State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by Utah on January 4, 2016, and of certain revisions

submitted on January 19, 2017, for the coarse particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) in the Salt Lake County and Utah County PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas. The revisions that the EPA is approving are located in Utah Division of Administrative Rule (DAR) R307-110-17 and SIP Subsection IX.H.1-4, and establish emissions limits for PM<sub>10</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> for certain stationary sources in the nonattainment areas. These actions are being taken under section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on November 13, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R08-OAR-2017-0298. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as

copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <http://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Hou, Air Program, EPA, Region 8, Mailcode 8P-AR, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202-1129, (303) 312-6210, [hou.james@epa.gov](mailto:hou.james@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

Under the 1990 amendments to the CAA, Salt Lake and Utah Counties were designated nonattainment for PM<sub>10</sub> and classified as moderate areas by operation of law as of November 15, 1990 (56 FR 56694, 56840; November 6, 1991). On July 8, 1994, the EPA approved the PM<sub>10</sub> SIP for the Salt Lake and Utah County Nonattainment Areas

(59 FR 35036). The SIP included a demonstration of attainment and various control measures, including emission limits at stationary sources.

On January 4, 2016, Utah submitted SIP revisions to R307–110–17 titled “Section IX, Control Measures for Area and Point Sources, Part H, Emission Limits” and revisions to Subsection IX.H.1–4. The titles for Subsection IX.H.1–4 include: (1) General Requirements: Control Measures for Area and Point Sources, Emission Limits and Operating Practices, PM<sub>10</sub> Requirements; (2) Source Specific Emission Limitations in Salt Lake County PM<sub>10</sub> Nonattainment/Maintenance Area; (3) Source Specific Emission Limitations in Utah County PM<sub>10</sub> Nonattainment/Maintenance Area; and (4) Interim Emission Limits and Operating Practices. Additionally, on January 19, 2017, Utah submitted revisions to Subsection IX.H.1–4. Further discussion of the revisions to R307–110–17 and Subsection IX.H.1–4 can be found below.

On July 13, 2017 (82 FR 32287), the EPA proposed to approve certain SIP revisions to the Salt Lake County and Utah County NAA Moderate area SIPs submitted by the State. Our proposed notice provides details on the EPA’s evaluation of the State’s submittals. The submittals dated January 4, 2016, and January 19, 2017, contained revisions to the Utah DAR, Title R307—Environmental Quality, set of rules, and SIP subsection IX.H.1–4.

## II. Response to Comments

The EPA did not receive any comments on the July 13, 2017 proposed action.

## III. Final Action

For the reasons stated in our proposed notice, the EPA is finalizing approval of revisions to Administrative Rule R307–110–17 and revisions to Subsection IX.H.1–4 for incorporation into the Utah SIP as submitted by the State of Utah on January 4, 2016, and January 19, 2017. These revisions establish emissions

limitations and related requirements for certain stationary sources of PM<sub>10</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>, and will therefore serve to continue progress towards attainment and maintenance of the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS in the nonattainment areas. The revisions reflect more stringent emission levels for total emissions of PM<sub>10</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>x</sub> for each of the affected facilities, as well as updates of the inventory of major stationary sources to accurately reflect the current sources in both the Salt Lake County and Utah County nonattainment areas (*e.g.*, removing sources which no longer exist, or are now covered under an area source rule). The updated list of sources and revised emission limits for the major stationary sources in the two nonattainment areas will serve to enhance both area’s ability to attain or maintain the NAAQS.

The specific emission limits and operating practices the EPA is finalizing for approval are listed in the following tables:

TABLE 1—SOURCE SPECIFIC EMISSION LIMITATIONS IN THE SALT LAKE COUNTY PM<sub>10</sub> NONATTAINMENT AREA

Source	Pollutant	Process unit	Mass based limits	Concentration based limits	Alternative emission limits
Big West Oil .....	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	1.037 tons per day (tpd)		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.8 tpd.		
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.6 tpd.		
Bountiful City Light and Power.	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	GT#1 .....	0.6 g NO <sub>x</sub> /kW-hr.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	GT#2 and GT#3 .....	7.5 lb NO <sub>x</sub> /hr.		
Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility.	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.648 tpd.		
Chevron Products Company.	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.715 tpd.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	2.1 tpd.		
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	1.05 tpd.		
Hexcel Corporations .....					5.50 MMscf natural gas per day.
					0.061 MM pounds of carbon fiber produced per day.
Holly Refining and Marketing Company.	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.416 tpd.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	2.09 tpd.		
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.31 tpd.		
Kennecott Utah Copper: Bingham Canyon Mine.					Maximum of 30,000 miles for waste haul trucks per day.
					Fugitive road dust emission control requirements.
Kennecott Copperton Concentrator.					Requirement to operate a gas scrubber operated in accordance with parametric monitoring.
Kennecott Utah Copper: Power Plant and Tailings Impoundment.	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	Power Plant Unit #5 .....	18.8 lb/hr.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Power Plant Unit #5 .....		2.0 ppmv (15% O <sub>2</sub> dry).	
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Power Plant Unit #5 Startup/Shutdown.	395 lb/hr.		
	PM <sub>10</sub> (Filterable) .....	Units #1, #2, #3, and #4 Nov 1–Feb 28/29 .....	0.004 grains/dscf.		
	PM <sub>10</sub> (Filterable + Condensable).	Units #1, #2, #3, and #4 Nov 1–Feb 28/29.	0.03 grains/dscf.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Units #1, #2, and #3 Nov 1–Feb 28/29.		336 ppmv (3% O <sub>2</sub> ).	
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Unit #4 Nov 1–Feb 28/29		336 ppmv (3% O <sub>2</sub> ).	
	PM <sub>10</sub> (Filterable) .....	Units #1, #2, and #3; Mar 1–Oct 1.	0.029 grains/dscf.		

TABLE 1—SOURCE SPECIFIC EMISSION LIMITATIONS IN THE SALT LAKE COUNTY PM<sub>10</sub> NONATTAINMENT AREA—  
Continued

Source	Pollutant	Process unit	Mass based limits	Concentration based limits	Alternative emission limits
Kennecott Utah Copper: Smelter and Refinery.	PM <sub>10</sub> (Filterable + Condensable).	Units #1, #2, and #3; Mar 1–Oct 1.	0.29 grains/dscf.	426.5 ppmdv (3% O <sub>2</sub> ).  384 ppmdv (3% O <sub>2</sub> ).	
	PM <sub>10</sub> (Filterable) .....	Unit #4; Mar 1–Oct 1 .....	0.029 grains/dscf.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Units #1, #2, and #3; Mar 1–Oct 1.	.....		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Unit #4; Mar 1–Oct 1 .....	.....		
	PM <sub>10</sub> (Filterable) .....	Main Stack .....	89.5 lb/hr.		
	PM <sub>10</sub> (Filterable + Condensable).	Main Stack .....	439 lb/hr.		
	SO <sub>2</sub> (3-hr rolling avg) .....	Main Stack .....	552 lb/hr.		
	SO <sub>2</sub> (daily avg) .....	Main Stack .....	422 lb/hr.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> (daily avg) .....	Main Stack .....	154 lb/hr.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Refinery: Sum of 2 tank house boilers.	9.5 lb/hr.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Refinery: Combined Heat Plant.	5.96 lb/hr.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Molybdenum Autoclave Project: Combined Heat Plant.	5.01 lb/hr.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Steam Unit #1 .....	179 lb/hr.		
PacifiCorp Energy: Gadsby Power Plant.	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Steam Unit #2 .....	204 lb/hr.	187 ppmdv (3% O <sub>2</sub> Dry). 9 ppmdv (3% O <sub>2</sub> Dry). 9 ppmdv (3% O <sub>2</sub> Dry). 9 ppmdv (3% O <sub>2</sub> Dry). 15 ppmdv (3% O <sub>2</sub> Dry).	
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Steam Unit #3 .....	142 lb/hr. (Nov 1–Feb 28/29).		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Steam Unit #3 .....	203 lb/hr (Mar 1–Oct 31).		
Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company.	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	2.25 tpd.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	1.988 tpd.		
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	3.1 tpd.		
University of Utah .....	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Boiler #3 .....	.....		
		Boiler #4a & #4b .....	.....		
		Boiler #5a & #5b .....	.....		
		Turbine .....	.....		
		Turbine and WHRU Duct burner.	.....		
West Valley Power <sup>1</sup> .....	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Sum of all five turbines ..	1,050 lb/day.		

<sup>1</sup> West Valley Power was not a listed source in the 1994 SIP for the Salt Lake County PM<sub>10</sub> NAA.

TABLE 2—SOURCE SPECIFIC EMISSION LIMITATIONS IN THE UTAH COUNTY PM<sub>10</sub> NONATTAINMENT AREA

Source	Pollutant	Process unit	Mass based limits	Concentration based limits	Alternative emission limits
Brigham Young University	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Unit #1 <sup>2</sup> .....	9.55 lb/hr .....	95 ppmdv (7% O <sub>2</sub> Dry).	
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Unit #2 .....	37.4 lb/hr.	331 ppmdv (7% O <sub>2</sub> Dry).	
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Unit #2 .....	56.0 lb/hr .....	597 ppmdv (7% O <sub>2</sub> Dry).	
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Unit #3 .....	37.4 lb/hr .....	331 ppmdv (7% O <sub>2</sub> Dry).	
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Unit #3 .....	56.0 lb/hr .....	597 ppmdv (7% O <sub>2</sub> Dry).	
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Unit #4 <sup>3</sup> .....	19.2 lb/hr .....	127 ppmdv (7% O <sub>2</sub> Dry).	
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Unit #5 .....	74.8 lb/hr .....	331 ppmdv (7% O <sub>2</sub> Dry).	
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Unit #5 .....	112.07 lb/hr .....	597 ppmdv (7% O <sub>2</sub> Dry).	
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Unit #6 <sup>3</sup> .....	19.2 lb/hr .....	127 ppmdv (7% O <sub>2</sub> Dry).	
	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	Prill Tower .....	0.236 tpd.		
Geneva Nitrogen Inc.: Geneva Plant.	PM <sub>2.5</sub> .....	Prill Tower .....	0.196 tpd.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Montecatini Plant .....	30.8 lb/hr.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Weatherly Plant .....	18.4 lb/hr.		
PacifiCorp Energy: Lakeside Power Plant.	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Block #1 Turbine/HRSG Stacks.	14.9 lb/hr.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Block #2 Turbine/HRSG Stacks.	18.1 lb/hr.		
Payson City Corporation: Payson City Power.	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	All engines combined .....	1.54 tpd.		
Provo City Power: Power Plant.	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	All engines combined .....	2.45 tpd.		
Springville City Corporation: Whitehead Power Plant.	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	All engines combined .....	1.68 tpd.		

<sup>2</sup> The NO<sub>x</sub> limit for Unit #1 is 95 ppm (9.55 lb/hr) until it operates for more than 300 hours during a rolling 12-month period, then the limit will be 36 ppm (5.44 lb/hr). This will be accomplished through the installation of low NO<sub>x</sub> burners with Flue Gas Recirculation.

<sup>3</sup> The NO<sub>x</sub> limit for Units #4 and #6 is 127 ppm (38.5 lb/hr) until December 31, 2018, at which time the limit will then be 36 ppm (19.2 lb/hr).

TABLE 3—INTERIM EMISSION LIMITS AND OPERATING PRACTICES<sup>4</sup>

Source	Pollutant	Process unit	Mass based limits	Concentration based limits	Alternative emission limits
Big West Oil .....	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.377 tpd Oct 1–Mar 31. 0.407 tpd April 1–Sept 30.		
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	2.764 tpd Oct 1–March 31 3.639 tpd April 1–Sept 30.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	1.027 tpd Oct 1–Mar 31 1.145 tpd Apr 1 – Sep 30.		
Chevron Products Company.	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.234 tpd.		
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.5 tpd.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	2.52 tpd.		
Holly Refining and Marketing Company.	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.44 tpd.		
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	4.714 tpd.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	2.20 tpd.		
Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company.	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	0.261 tpd.		
	SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	3.699 tpd Nov 1–Feb 28/ 29–4.374 tpd Mar 1–Oct 31.		
	NO <sub>x</sub> .....	Facility Wide .....	1.988 tpd.		

<sup>4</sup> This section establishes interim emission limits for sources whose new emission limits under Subsections IX.H.2 and 3 are based on controls that are not currently installed, with the provision that all necessary controls needed to meet the emission limits under Subsection IX.H.2 and IX.H.3 shall be installed by January 1, 2019.

#### IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of Utah Division of Administrative Rules described in the amendments set forth to 40 CFR part 52 below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and/or at the EPA Region 8 Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

Therefore, these materials have been approved by the EPA for inclusion in the SIP, have been incorporated by reference by the EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of the EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference by the Director of the Federal Register in the next update to the SIP compilation.<sup>1</sup>

#### V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

##### A. General Requirements

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. *See* 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of

the CAA. Accordingly, these actions merely approve state law as meeting federal requirements and do not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For this reason, these actions:

- Are not significant regulatory actions subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011); Do not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Are certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Do not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Do not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Are not economically significant regulatory actions based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Are not significant regulatory actions subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Are not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Do not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as

appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP does not apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the final rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

##### B. Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

##### C. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this

<sup>1</sup> 62 FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by December 11, 2017. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. *See* CAA section 307(b)(2).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Intergovernmental relations, Incorporation by reference, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organization compounds.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: September 25, 2017.

**Suzanne J. Bohan,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 8.*

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

##### Subpart TT—Utah

■ 2. Section 52.2320 is amended as follows:

■ a. In the table in paragraph (c), by revising under the centered heading “R307–110. General Requirements: State Implementation Plan,” the table entry for “R307–110–17”;

■ b. In the table in paragraph (e), by revising under the centered heading

“IX. Control Measures for Area and Point Sources,” the table entry for “Section IX.H.1. Fine Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>), Emission Limits and Operating Practices (Utah County)”;

■ c. In the table in paragraph (e), by adding under the centered heading “IX. Control Measures for Area and Point Sources.” table entries for “Section IX.H.2. Source Specific Emission Limitations in Salt Lake County PM<sub>10</sub> Nonattainment/Maintenance Area;” “Section IX.H.3. Source Specific Emission Limitations in Utah County PM<sub>10</sub> Nonattainment/Maintenance Area;” and “Section IX.H.4. Interim Emission Limits and Operating Practices” in numerical order.

The revision reads as follows:

#### § 52.2320 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

Rule No.	Rule title	State effective date	Final rule citation, date	Comments
*	*	*	*	*
R307–110. General Requirements: State Implementation Plan				
R307–110–17 ...	Section IX, Control Measures for Area and Point Sources, Part H, Emission Limits.	12/8/2016	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation]. 10/11/2017 .....	Except for Section IX.H.21.e. which is conditionally approved through one year from 7/5/16, IX.H.21.g., Sections of IX.H.21 that reference and apply to the source specific emission limitations disapproved in Section IX.H.22, and Sections IX.H.22.a.ii–iii, IX.H.22.b.ii, and IX.H.22.c.
*	*	*	*	*
(e) * * *				
	Rule title	State effective date	Final rule citation, date	Comments
*	*	*	*	*
IX. Control Measures for Area and Point Sources				
*	*	*	*	*
Section IX.H.1. General Requirements: Control Measures for Area and Point Sources, Emission Limits and Operating Practices, PM <sub>10</sub> Requirements.		12/3/2015	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation] 10/11/2017.	
Section IX.H.2. Source Specific Emission Limitations in Salt Lake County PM <sub>10</sub> Nonattainment/Maintenance Area.		12/3/2015 12/8/2016	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation] 10/11/2017.	
Section IX.H.3. Source Specific Emission Limitations in Utah County PM <sub>10</sub> Nonattainment/Maintenance Area.		12/3/2015	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation] 10/11/2017.	
Section IX.H.4. Interim Emission Limits and Operating Practices .....		12/3/2015	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation] 10/11/2017.	
*	*	*	*	*

[FR Doc. 2017-21778 Filed 10-10-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 52****[EPA-R07-OAR-2017-0268; FRL-9969-10—Region 7]****Approval of Missouri Air Quality Implementation Plans; Infrastructure SIP Requirements for the 2010 Nitrogen Dioxide National Ambient Air Quality Standard****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving elements of a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision from the State of Missouri for the 2010 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). Section 110 of the CAA requires that each state adopt and submit a SIP for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of each new or revised NAAQS promulgated by EPA. These SIPs are commonly referred to as “infrastructure” SIPs. The infrastructure requirements are designed to ensure that the structural components of each state’s air quality management program are adequate to meet the state’s responsibilities under the CAA.

**DATES:** This direct final rule will be effective December 11, 2017, without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by November 13, 2017. If EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2017-0268, to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary

submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Tracey Casburn, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219 at (913) 551-7016, or by email at [casburn.tracey@epa.gov](mailto:casburn.tracey@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to EPA. This section provides additional information by addressing the following:

- I. What is being addressed in this document?
- II. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?
- III. What action is EPA taking?
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. What is being addressed in this document?**

EPA is approving the revision as meeting the submittal requirement of section 110(a)(1). EPA is approving elements of the infrastructure SIP submission from the State of Missouri received on April 30, 2013. Specifically, EPA is approving the following elements of section 110(a)(2): (A) Through (H) (except (D)(i)(II)-protection of visibility (prong 4)), and (J) through (M). EPA is not acting on section 110(a)(2)(I) as it does not expect infrastructure SIP submissions to address the element. EPA will act on prong 4 in a separate action. A Technical Support Document (TSD) is included in this docket to discuss the details of this action, including analysis of how the SIP meets the applicable 110 requirements for infrastructure SIPs.

**II. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?**

The state’s submission has met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The state held a public hearing on March 28, 2013 and a public comment period from February 25, 2013 to April 4, 2013. EPA provided comments to the state on April 3, 2013, and were the only commenters. The state revised its proposed SIP in response to EPA’s comments and the revisions were contained in the SIP submitted to EPA on April 30, 2013. The submission satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. As explained in more detail in the TSD, which is part of this docket,

the revision meets the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and implementing regulations.

**III. What action is EPA taking?**

EPA is taking direct final action to approve elements of the April 30, 2013, infrastructure SIP submission from the State of Missouri, which addresses the requirements of CAA sections 110(a)(1) and (2) as applicable to the 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. As stated in above preamble, EPA is approving the revision as meeting the submittal requirement of section 110(a)(1) and approving the following elements of section 110(a)(2): (A) Through (H) (except (D)(i)(II)-protection of visibility (prong 4)), and (J) through (M). EPA is not acting on section 110(a)(2)(I) as it does not expect infrastructure SIP submissions to address the element. EPA will act on prong 4 in a separate action.

Section 110(a)(2)(I) requires that in the case of a plan or plan revision for areas designated as nonattainment areas, states must meet applicable requirements of part D of the CAA, relating to SIP requirements for designated nonattainment areas. EPA does not expect infrastructure SIP submissions to address element (I). The specific SIP submissions for designated nonattainment areas, as required under CAA title I, part D, are subject to different submission schedules than those for section 110 infrastructure elements. EPA will take action on part D attainment plan SIP submissions through a separate rulemaking governed by the requirements for nonattainment areas, as described in part D.

We are publishing this direct final rule without a prior proposed rule because we view this as a noncontroversial action and anticipate no adverse comment. However, in the “Proposed Rules” section of this issue of the **Federal Register**, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposed rule to approve the SIP revision if adverse comments are received on this direct final rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. For further information about commenting on this rule, see the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. If EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that this direct final rule will not take effect. We will address all public comments in any subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule.