

company that is not under review and the company has a company-specific cash deposit rate from a completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific cash deposit rate from a completed segment of the proceeding that is currently applicable to the company; (3) if the exporter of the subject merchandise was not covered by this review or a previously completed segment of this proceeding, but the producer of the subject merchandise was covered, then the cash deposit rate will be equal to the company-specific cash deposit rate from a completed segment of this proceeding that is currently applicable to the producer of the subject merchandise; and, (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer of the subject merchandise was covered by this review or a previously completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be 24.83 percent *ad valorem*, the all-others rate established in the less than fair value investigation.¹¹ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and

777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: January 28, 2025.

Abdelali Elouaradia,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2025-02216 Filed 2-3-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-809]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Results of Antidumping Administrative Review; Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 15, 2025, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Wheatland Tube v. United States*, Court no. 22-00160, sustaining the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s first remand results pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) covering the period November 1, 2019 through October 31, 2020. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final results of the administrative review, and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Husteel Co., Ltd. (Husteel), Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai), and the companies not selected for individual examination.

DATES: Applicable January 24, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dusten Hom, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5075.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 4, 2022, Commerce published its *Final Results* in the 2019-2020 AD administrative review of CWP from Korea. Commerce granted a constructed export price (CEP) offset to both Hyundai and Husteel (collectively, the mandatory respondents). The calculated weighted-average dumping margins for Hyundai and Husteel were 4.07 percent and 1.97 percent, respectively.

Furthermore, the review specific rate assigned to companies not selected for individual examination was 3.21 percent.¹

Wheatland Tube appealed Commerce's *Final Results*. On August 3, 2023, the CIT remanded the *Final Results* to Commerce to: (1) provide the mandatory respondents with notice of deficiency in their respective submissions supporting their claims that home market sales during the period of review were at a more advanced level of trade (LOT) than the CEP level of trade; and (2) provide, to the extent practicable, an opportunity to remedy or explain the deficiencies identified in the *Final Results*.² Commerce subsequently issued a supplemental questionnaire on remand to each of the mandatory respondents identifying deficiencies and requesting information regarding their respective LOT analyses. In its *Final Redetermination*, issued in November 2023, Commerce denied a CEP offset to both of the mandatory respondents and recalculated the weighted-average dumping margins for both Hyundai and Husteel without a CEP offset, and revised the review specific rate assigned to companies not selected for individual examination.³ The CIT sustained Commerce's *Final Redetermination*.⁴

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,⁵ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,⁶ the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's January 15, 2025, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Results*. Thus, this notice is published

¹ See *Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2019-2020*, 87 FR 26343 (May 4, 2022) (*Final Results*).

² See *Wheatland Tube v. United States*, 650 F. Supp. 3d 1379, 1383 (CIT 2023).

³ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Wheatland Tube v. United States*, Court No. 22-00160, Slip Op. 23-112 (CIT June 9, 2023), dated November 1, 2023 (*Final Redetermination*).

⁴ See *Wheatland Tube v. United States*, Court No. 22-00160, Slip Op. 25-5 (CIT January 15, 2025).

⁵ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

⁶ See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

¹¹ See *Order*.

in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Results* with respect to Husteel, Hyundai, and the companies not selected for individual examination as follows:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) ⁷
Hyundai Steel Company	2.42
Husteel Co., Ltd	4.95
Non-Selected Companies ⁸	3.91

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because Hyundai, Husteel, and each of the companies not selected for individual examination listed in the appendix all have a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review,⁹ we will not issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). This notice will not affect the current cash deposit rates for those exporters/producers.

Liquidation of Suspended Entries

At this time, Commerce remains enjoined by CIT order from liquidating entries that were produced and/or exported by: Husteel; Hyundai; Aju Besteel; Bookook Steel; Chang Won Bending; Dae Ryung; Daewoo Shipbuilding & Marine Engineering (DSME); Daiduck Piping; Dong Yang Steel Pipe; Dongbu Steel (also known as Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.); Eew Korea Company; Hyundai Rb; Kiduck Industries; Kum Kang Kind; Kumsoo Connecting; Miju Steel Mfg. (also known as Miju Steel Manufacturing); Nexteel Co., Ltd. (also known as Nexteel); Samkang M&T; Seah Fs; Seah Steel (also known as Seah Steel Corporation); Steel Flower; Vesta Co., Ltd.; and Ycp Co.; and were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for

consumption during the period November 1, 2019 through October 31, 2020. These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunction during the pendency of any appeals process.

In the event the CIT's ruling is not appealed, or, if appealed, upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by the companies listed above in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is not zero or *de minimis*. Where an import-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,¹⁰ we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 24, 2025.

Abdelali Elouaradia,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2025-02166 Filed 2-3-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[RTID 0648-XE640]

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of a public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Gulf Council) and South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (South Atlantic Council) will hold a joint in-person meeting of their Standing Scientific and Statistical Committees (SSCs), followed by an in-person meeting of the Gulf Council's SSC.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, February 25, 2025 through Thursday, February 27, 2025; 8:30 a.m.–5 p.m., EST, daily.

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at the Gulf Council office. Registration information will be available on the Council's website by visiting www.gulfcouncil.org and clicking on the "meeting tab".

Council address: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 4107 W Spruce Street, Suite 200, Tampa, FL 33607; telephone: (813) 348–1630.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ryan Rindone, Lead Fishery Biologist, Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; ryan.rindone@gulfcouncil.org, telephone: (813) 348–1630.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Tuesday, February 25, 2025; 8:30 a.m.–5 p.m., EST

The meeting will begin in a Joint Meeting of the Gulf and South Atlantic SSCs with Introductions, and Adoption of the Agenda and Scope of Work. The SSCs will review and discuss the SEDAR 79: Southeastern U.S. *Mutton Snapper* Stock Assessment, followed by the SEDAR 96: Southeastern U.S. *Yellowtail Snapper* Stock Assessment. These assessment agenda items will include presentations, Gulf Fisherman Feedback, South Atlantic Fishery Performance Reports, background materials and SSC discussion. The SSCs will then review the South Atlantic Council's Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC) Control Rule.

Public comments will be heard at the end of the day, if any.

Wednesday, February 26, 2025; 8:30 a.m.–5 p.m., EST

The Standing SSCs will return in a joint session to review and discuss SEDAR 79: Southeastern U.S. *Mutton Snapper* Catch Limit Projections and SEDAR 96: Southeastern U.S. *Yellowtail Snapper* Catch Limit Projections, including presentations and SSC discussions.

Following lunch, the Gulf SSC will convene to review and approve summary minutes from its December 2024 webinar meeting. The Gulf SSC will also review the SEDAR 88 Stock Assessment of Gulf *Red Grouper*, including a presentation, Gulf Fisherman Feedback, background materials and SSC discussion. The Gulf SSC will then discuss the SEDAR 100: Gulf *Gray Triggerfish* Participants for the Data, Assessment and Review Phases. The Gulf SSC will next review the SEDAR 88 Projections for *Red Grouper*, including a presentation and SSC discussions. Public comments will be heard at the end of the day, if any.

⁷ We note that the rates for Hyundai Steel Company and Husteel Co., Ltd. were in inadvertently switched in the *Final Redetermination*. The correct rates were listed in the Draft Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, *Wheatland Tube v. United States*, et al. Court No. 22–00160, Slip Op. 23–112 (CIT June 9, 2023) and remained unchanged in the *Final Redetermination*.

⁸ See the appendix to this notice for an exhaustive list of all non-individually examined companies.

⁹ See *Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022; Correction*, 89 FR 49842 (June 12, 2024).