

provide important information not otherwise available from HUD's administrative data, such as whether the household has significant barriers to employment. The BIF will average approximately 7 minutes long.

MDRC will also conduct interviews with staff from participating PHAs, to

better understand their experience implementing the new rent policies. For the first phase of the evaluation, MDRC is expected to conduct two rounds of staff interviews with each PHA. This collection request focuses on the first of the two rounds of staff data collection. During the first round, MDRC expects to

interview up to ten staff per PHA (reflecting a mix of executive management staff, public housing and HCV directors, and public housing and HCV specialists). The mode will be a mix of one-on-one interviews and group interviews, with small groups of 2–3 staff performing similar roles.

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
Baseline Information Form (household survey) (Attachment A)	24,000	1	24,000	.12	2,880	\$9.43	\$27,158.40
Stepped Rent Informed Consent Form (Attachment B.1)	7,000	1	7,000	.18	1,260	9.43	11,881.80
Tiered Rent Informed Consent Form (Attachment B.2)	17,000	1	17,000	.18	3,060	9.43	28,855.80
PHA Executive Director-Senior Leader Interview Guide (Attachment C)	10	1	10	.75	7.5	59.86	448.95
PHA Program Director Interview Guide (Attachment D)	20	1	20	1.5	30	44.24	1,327.20
PHA MTW Coordinator Interview Guide (Attachment E)	10	1	10	.75	7.5	44.24	331.80
PHA Housing Specialist Interview Guide (Attachment F)	60	1	60	1.5	90	25.64	2,307.60
Rent Policy Implementation Data Tracking Tool (Attachment G)	10	1	10	9	90	25.64	2,307.60
Total	48,110	7,425	74,619.15

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) If the information will be processed and used in a timely manner;

(3) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(4) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(5) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

C. Authority

Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Anna P. Guido,

*Department Reports Management Officer,
Office of the Chief Data Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2022–13926 Filed 6–28–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–FR–6335–N–01]

Housing Trust Fund Federal Register Allocation Notice

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of fiscal year 2022 funding awards.

SUMMARY: The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA) established the Housing Trust Fund (HTF) to be administered by HUD. Pursuant to the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Security and

Soundness Act of 1992 (the Act), as amended by HERA, eligible HTF grantees are the 50 states, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands, and the United States Virgin Islands. This notice announces the formula allocation amount for each eligible HTF grantee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Virginia Sardone, Director, Office of Affordable Housing Programs, Room 7164, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW, Washington, DC 20410–7000; telephone (202) 708–2684. (This is not a toll-free number.) A telecommunications device for hearing- and speech-impaired persons (TTY) is available at 800–877–8339 (Federal Information Relay Service). (This is a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 1131 of HERA, Division A amended the Act to add a new section 1337 entitled “Affordable Housing Allocations” and a new section 1338 entitled “Housing Trust Fund.” Congress authorized the Housing Trust Fund (HTF) with the stated purpose of: (1) Increasing and

preserving the supply of rental housing for extremely low-income families with incomes between 0 and 30 percent of area median income and very low-income families with incomes between 30 and 50 percent of area median income, including homeless families, and (2) increasing homeownership for extremely low-income and very low-income families. Section 1337 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 4567) requires Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) to set-aside 4.2 basis points (.042 percent) of the unpaid principal of their new mortgage purchases annually to fund the HTF and the Capital Magnet Fund. Each year, 65% of the amounts set-aside by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are then allocated to the HTF.

Section 1338 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 4568) directs HUD to establish, through regulation, the formula for distribution of amounts made available for the HTF. The provisions in section 1338(c)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 4568(c)(3)) specify the factors to be used for the formula and priority for certain factors. The HTF implementing regulations are at 24 CFR part 93. The factors and methodology HUD uses to allocate HTF funds among eligible grantees are established in the HTF regulation at 24 CFR 93.50, 93.51, and 93.52.

The funding announced for Fiscal Year 2022 through this notice is \$748,948,400.71. Appendix A to this notice provides the HTF allocation amount for each grantee.

Jemine Bryon,

Acting General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

Appendix A:

FY 2022 Housing Trust Fund Allocation Amounts

Grantee	FY 2022 allocation
1 Alabama	\$7,451,918
2 Alaska	2,982,433
3 Arizona	11,533,111
4 Arkansas	4,573,938
5 California	132,021,213.71
6 Colorado	10,917,121
7 Connecticut	9,720,275
8 Delaware	2,982,433
9 District of Columbia	2,982,433
10 Florida	37,274,870
11 Georgia	19,218,923
12 Hawaii	3,744,423
13 Idaho	2,982,433
14 Illinois	33,710,562
15 Indiana	11,745,382
16 Iowa	4,884,132
17 Kansas	4,646,916
18 Kentucky	7,560,281
19 Louisiana	8,901,548
20 Maine	2,982,433

Grantee	FY 2022 allocation
21 Maryland	11,215,433
22 Massachusetts	18,648,225
23 Michigan	18,775,197
24 Minnesota	10,497,206
25 Mississippi	4,433,035
26 Missouri	11,468,006
27 Montana	2,982,433
28 Nebraska	3,076,650
29 Nevada	7,462,633
30 New Hampshire	2,982,433
31 New Jersey	26,873,570
32 New Mexico	3,521,165
33 New York	80,290,281
34 North Carolina	19,660,977
35 North Dakota	2,982,433
36 Ohio	23,337,503
37 Oklahoma	5,907,079
38 Oregon	10,567,910
39 Pennsylvania	25,998,644
40 Rhode Island	2,982,433
41 South Carolina	8,590,615
42 South Dakota	2,982,433
43 Tennessee	10,916,268
44 Texas	47,375,117
45 Utah	3,561,979
46 Vermont	2,982,433
47 Virginia	16,038,732
48 Washington	16,889,505
49 West Virginia	2,982,433
50 Wisconsin	12,144,277
51 Wyoming	2,919,921
52 Puerto Rico	4,064,659
53 American Samoa	46,187
54 Guam	373,610
55 Northern Marianas	205,677
56 Virgin Islands	394,529
Total	748,948,399.71

[FR Doc. 2022–13850 Filed 6–28–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS–R6–NWRS–2022–N221; FF06R0ZS00–FXRS12610600000–223]

Intent To Prepare a Comprehensive Conservation Plan for Units of Charles M. Russell Complex, Montana

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), intend to gather information necessary to reinstate the process of developing a comprehensive conservation plan (CCP) for certain refuge, wetland management district, and waterfowl production area units of the Charles M. Russell Complex in south-central Montana. We are publishing this notice in compliance with Service Refuge Planning policy to advise other Federal and State agencies,

Tribes, and the public of our intentions and to obtain suggestions and information on the scope of issues to be considered in the planning process.

DATES: To ensure consideration, we must receive written comments by July 29, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Please submit comments and questions by one of the following methods:

- *Email:* Alice Lee, via email at alice_lee@fws.gov; or

- *U.S. mail:* Alice Lee, Conservation Planner, via mail at Branch of Refuge Planning, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, CO 80225.

For more information, please see Public Comment Process in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alice Lee, Conservation Planner, by phone at 720–601–1821 or via email at alice_lee@fws.gov. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: With this notice, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), reinstate the process of developing a comprehensive conservation plan (CCP) for the following units of the Charles M. Russell Complex in south central Montana: Charles M. Russell Wetland Management District (WMD), Hailstone Waterfowl Production Area (WPA) and National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), Grass Lake NWR, Lake Mason NWR, and War Horse NWR. The headquarters for all units in the complex is located in Lewistown, Montana.

We began scoping activities in 2016 for development of the CCP; however, in 2017, CCP development was placed on hold because of changing agency priorities.

Background

The CCP Process

The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 668dd–668ee; Administration Act), as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, requires us to develop a CCP for each unit of the National Wildlife Refuge System (NWRS). The purpose for developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year plan for achieving refuge purposes and