

stopping distances, the means must be "safe and reliable." If beta operation is used to show compliance with stopping distances, the reliability of a system that would prevent in-flight beta operation must be such that this capability, when required, will be available to comply with 14 CFR part 23, Subpart B, and 14 CFR part 21, § 21.21(b)(2) or § 21.101(b). With a systems safety analysis, you can determine the required reliability level for the beta lock-out system based on the hazard level (for example, § 23.1309 compliance).

You should perform a systems safety analysis on airplanes with beta lock-out systems. This analysis will consider hazards such as the inability to command beta on one engine on a multiengine airplane. For example, If you command beta on both 2 engines during land roll-out, but only one propeller goes into beta mode, this might adversely affect ground controllability.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on August 16, 2000.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00-22540 Filed 8-31-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Elko Regional Airport, Elko, NV

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use a PFC at Elko Regional Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 2, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261, or San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303. In

addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Ms. Linda Ritter, City Manager, City of Elko, at the following address: City Hall, 1751 College Avenue, Elko, Nevada 89801. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the city of Elko under § 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marlys Vandervelde, Airports Program Analyst, San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303, Telephone: (650) 876-2806. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Elko Regional Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 159 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On May 3, 2000, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use a PFC submitted by the city of Elko was not substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. On July 11, 2000, the city of Elko submitted supplemental information to complete this application. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than November 9, 2000.

The following is a brief overview of the impose and use application No. 00-02-C-00-EKO:

Level of proposed PFC: \$3.00.

Proposed charge effective date: February 1, 2001.

Proposed charge expiration date: September 1, 2018.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$6,194,920.

Brief description of proposed projects: Terminal Building Expansion, Phase II-IV, Terminal Access Road-Phase II, Master Drainage Study, Commercial Apron & Connecting Taxiways, and Terminal Building.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the

application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the city of Elko.

Issued in Hawthorne, California, on August 4, 2000.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 00-22543 Filed 8-31-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at San Jose International Airport, San Jose, CA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at San Jose International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 2, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261, or San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Ralph G. Tonseth, Director of Aviation, city of San Jose, Airport Department, at the following address: 1732 N. First Street, San Jose, CA 95112. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the city of San Jose under § 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marlys Vandervelds, Airports Program Analyst, San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303, Telephone: (650) 876-2806. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public

comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at San Jose International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). On August 7, 2000, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the city of San Jose was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than November 11, 2000.

The following is a brief overview of the application (No. 00-09-C-00-SJC):

Level of proposed PFC: \$3.00.

Proposed charge effective date: September 1, 2003.

Proposed charge expiration date: January 1, 2005.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$29,780,000.

Brief description of the proposed projects: Taxiway Z—Apron Reconstruction, Terminal C Fire Protection, Fiber Optic Cable to Airport Response Center and Fire Station 20, Green Island Bridge, Replacement of Security Access Control System and Closed Circuit Television System, Skyport Grade Separation, Terminal Drive Improvements, Replacement of Passive Secondary Surveillance Radar, Terminal C Restroom Upgrade, and Interim Air Cargo Ramp Expansion.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Air Taxi/Commercial Operators (ATCO) filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the city of San Jose.

Issued in Hawthorne, California, on August 7, 2000.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 00-22542 Filed 8-31-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Chemung County, New York

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT).

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement will be prepared for upgrading portions of NYS Route 17 to meet federal interstate standards in Chemung County, New York.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Harold J. Brown, Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, New York Division, Leo W. O'Brien Federal Building, 7th Floor, Clinton Avenue and North Pearl Street, Albany, New York 12207, Telephone (518) 431-4127; or Peter E. White, Regional Director, New York State Department of Transportation, Region 6, 107 Broadway, Hornell, New York 14873, Telephone (607) 324-8404.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the New York State Department of Transportation, will be preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on a proposal to upgrade a 16 kilometer (10 mile) section of Route 17/Southern Tier Expressway to a fully controlled access freeway from just east of the Water Street-Exit 57 Interchange to the Route 427—Exit 59 Interchange within the Towns of Elmira, Ashland, Chemung and City of Elmira in Chemung County, New York. The proposal would involve the elimination of existing driveway connections and at-grade intersections, construction of two-lane local road segments on new alignment (to re-establish access) and reconstruction of the Route 17 mainline pavement on this highway section.

This highway upgrade is necessary to separate local and through traffic to reduce the occurrence of accidents related to turning movements to and from Route 17 to access commercial and residential properties as well as adjoining local streets. Additionally, the proposed project is necessary to bring this portion of Route 17 up to interstate standards for future designations as I-86.

Alternatives under consideration include a "No-build" and a "Build" alternative. The No-Build alternative is an alternative that involves no new construction and/or roadway

improvements. The Build alternative will include the elimination of driveways and at-grade intersections and adjustments to the local roadway system necessary to re-establish access. The Build alternative has many possible variations associated with the overall roadway section and right-of-way widths. The design process will identify the optimum combination of property acquisition and road work required.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have previously expressed interest in this proposal. The NEPA scoping process will be initiated in August 2000 and a public hearing will be held in the future at a time and place to be announced. The Draft-EIS, when prepared, will be available for public and agency review/comment.

To ensure that a full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA or NYSDOT at the addresses provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research, Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 315; 23 CFR 771.123.

Issued on: August 21, 2000.

Doug P. Conlan,

District Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, Albany, New York.

[FR Doc. 00-22464 Filed 8-31-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Petitions for Waivers of Compliance

In accordance with Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Section 211.41, and 49 U.S.C. 20103, notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) has received a request for waiver of compliance with certain requirements of the Federal railroad safety regulations. The individual petition is described below, including the party seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, and the nature of the relief being sought.