preliminarily determine that there is one LOT in the home market.

For sales to the U.S. market, Yamato Kogyo sold and shipped directly to an unaffiliated distributor. Yamato Kogyo issues the invoice when it ships the merchandise. For sales to the U.S. market, Yamato Kogyo makes the freight arrangements but stated that it performs little other selling activities or services. We preliminarily determine there is one LOT in the U.S. market.

Based on our analysis of the selling functions performed for sales in the home market and EP sales in the U.S. market, we preliminarily determine that Yamato Kogyo performs significantly more selling functions in the home market than for the U.S. market; thus, these sales are made at different LOTs. However, because there is only one LOT in the home market, we cannot determine if there is a pattern of consistent price differences between the sales on which NV is based and the comparison market sales at the LOT of the export transaction, and do not have the means to calculate a LOT adjustment. Accordingly, we have not made a LOT adjustment.

### **Currency Conversion**

We made currency conversions into U.S. dollars based on the exchange rates in effect on the dates of the U.S. sales as certified by the Federal Reserve Bank, in accordance with section 773A(a) of the Act.

# **Preliminary Results of Review**

As a result of our review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period February 11, 2000 through November 30, 2000:

Producer/Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted- average margin (percent)
Yamato Kogyo, Co. Ltd	0.00

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b), the Department will disclose to parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of this notice.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309, interested parties may submit written comments on these preliminary results. Case briefs must be submitted no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be submitted no later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.

Parties submitting arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Case and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f). Also, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, an interested party may request a public hearing on arguments to be raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Unless the Secretary specifies otherwise, the hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the date for submission of rebuttal briefs, or the first working day thereafter. The Department will issue the final results of this new shipper review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results.

### Assessment

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service ("Customs") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated exporter/importer-specific assessment rates. We calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on a unit value per metric ton basis by summing the dumping margins on U.S. sales, and then dividing this sum by the total metric tons of all U.S. sales examined. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct Customs not to assess antidumping duties on the merchandise subject to review. Upon completion of this review, the Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs.

# Cash Deposit

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this new shipper review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate established in the final results of this new shipper review (except that no deposit will be required if the rate is zero or de minimis, i.e., less than 0.5 percent); (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most

recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review or the original LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the "all others" rate of 37.13 percent established in the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next review.

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This new shipper review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 27, 2001.

### Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–30286 Filed 12–5–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-588-857]

# Antidumping Duty Order: Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe From Japan

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of antidumping duty order.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 6, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Drury or Helen Kramer at (202) 482–0195 and (202) 482–0405, respectively; AD/CVD, Enforcement, Office 8, Group III, Import Administration, Room 7866, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

# The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments

made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (April 2001).

### **Scope of Investigation**

The product covered by this investigation is certain welded carbon and alloy line pipe, of circular cross section and with an outside diameter greater than 16 inches, but less than 64 inches, in diameter, whether or not stencilled. This product is normally produced according to American Petroleum Institute (API) specifications, including Grades A25, A, B, and X grades ranging from X42 to X80, but can also be produced to other specifications. The product currently is classified under U.S. Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTSUS) item numbers 7305.11.10.30, 7305.11.10.60, 7305.11.50.00, 7305.12.10.30, 7305.12.10.60, 7305.12.50.00, 7305.19.10.30. 7305.19.10.60, and 7305.19.50.00. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive. Specifically not included within the scope of this investigation is American Water Works Association (AWWA) specification water and sewage pipe and the following size/grade combinations; of line pipe:

• Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 18 inches and less than or equal to 22 inches, with a wall thickness measuring 0.750 inch or greater, regardless of grade.

• Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 24 inches and less than 30 inches, with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.875 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.750 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.688 inches in grades X60 or greater.

- Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 30 inches and less than 36 inches, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.250 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.000 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.875 inches in grades X60 or greater.
- Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 36 inches and less than 42 inches, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.375 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.250

inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.125 inches in grades X60 or greater.

- Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 42 inches and less than 64 inches, with a wall thickness measuring greater than 1.500 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.375 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.250 inches in grades X60 or greater.
- Having an outside diameter equal to 48 inches, with a wall thickness measuring 1.0 inch or greater, in grades X–80 or greater.

### **Antidumping Duty Order**

In accordance with section 735(a) of the Act, the Department made its final determination that welded large diameter line pipe from Japan is being sold at less than fair value. See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan, 66 FR 47172 (September 11, 2001).

On October 25, 2001, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the U.S. International Trade Commission ("ITC") notified the Department that a U.S. industry is "materially injured," within the meaning of section 735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act, by reason of less-than-fair-value imports of welded large diameter line pipe from Japan.

Therefore, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will direct U.S. Customs to assess, upon further advice by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price of the merchandise for all relevant entries of welded large diameter line pipe from Japan. These antidumping duties will be assessed on all imports of the subject merchandise that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after June 27, 2001, the date of publication of the preliminary determination in the Federal Register (see Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe From Japan, 66 FR 34151). On or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal** Register, Customs officers must require, at the same time as importers normally would deposit estimated duties, cash deposits based on the rates listed below. The "All Others" rate applies to all exporters of subject merchandise not specifically listed. The weightedaverage dumping margins are as follows:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Nippon Steel Corporation (Nippon) Kawasaki Steel Corporation	30.80
(Kawasaki)All Others	30.80 30.80

This notice constitutes the antidumping duty order with respect to welded large diameter line pipe from Japan. Interested parties may contact the Department's Central Records Unit, Room B–099 of the main Commerce building, for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

This order is published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Act.

Dated: November 30, 2001.

### Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–30288 Filed 12–5–01; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Institute of Standards and Technology

[Docket No. 000929280-1201-01]

RIN 0693-ZA42

**ACTION:** Notice.

# Announcing Approval of Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 197, Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Secretary of Commerce approves FIPS 197, Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), and makes it compulsory and binding on Federal agencies for the protection of sensitive, unclassified information. A new robust encryption algorithm was needed to replace the aging Data Encryption Standard (FIPS 46-3), which had been developed in the 1970s. In September 1997, NIST issued a Federal Register notice soliciting an unclassified, publicly disclosed encryption algorithm that would be available royalty-free worldwide. Following the submission of 15 candidate algorithms and three publicly held conferences to discuss and analyze the candidates, the field was narrowed to five candidates. NIST continued to study all available information and analyses about the candidate algorithms, and selected one of the algorithms, the Rijndael algorithm, to propose for the AES.