

## II. Method of Collection

**Continuous ACS CRS**—Cases that responded to production ACS from all three ACS response modes (mail, telephone, and personal visit) will be included. Reinterview modules containing a subset of the questions will be created so that the entire ACS questionnaire can be tested over several months. Each question set or module of the CRS will require multiple data collection months to provide enough sample for analysis purposes. Reinterviews will be conducted within 2 to 4 weeks of the original data collection. It is important that the reinterview is close enough timing-wise to the original data collection to minimize the possibility of changes in what is being measured, but far enough away so respondents do not exactly remember previous responses.

**2010 Content Test**—The field test portion of the ACS content test will be largely based on the data collection methods currently used in the production ACS. Sampled addresses will be mailed a pre-notice letter, a self-administered paper questionnaire, and a reminder postcard. Households that do not return their initial questionnaire in a timely manner will also be mailed a replacement questionnaire. For households that do not return their mailed questionnaire, we will attempt to collect their data through Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing or Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing.

There will also be a Content Follow-up reinterview as part of the content test. That is, we will attempt a follow-up CATI reinterview with all households that responded in the field test and for whom we have a telephone number. This reinterview will focus on the particular questions that we are evaluating in the field test, and will not include every question asked in the original interview.

**Internet Test**—Currently, the ACS and the Puerto Rico Community Survey (PRCS) collect data using three modes: mailout/mailback of a paper questionnaire, telephone, and personal visit. In the proposed test we will offer a fourth response mode—an Internet self-response option—to respondents in the ACS and the PRCS during the mail data collection phase.

Different strategies will be used to inform respondents of the Internet response option. In all strategies, the URL for the secure ACS Internet site and instructions for completing the survey online will be provided to respondents by mail.

The Census Bureau plans to design four versions of the ACS Internet instrument—an English version and a Spanish version for both the ACS and the PRCS. Households that do not respond by mail or Internet will be contacted for a telephone interview, similar to ACS production, since a voice message could encourage a household to respond by mail or Internet. This test will not include a personal visit operation like ACS production.

## III. Data

**OMB Control Number:** 0607–0936.

**Form Number:** ACS–1, ACS1(SP), ACS–1(PR), ACS–1(PR)SP, ACS CATI(HU), and ACS RI(HU).

**Type of Review:** Regular submission.

**Affected Public:** Individuals and households.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:**

We plan to contact the following number of respondents: Content Reinterview Study, 71,520 responding addresses per year; 2010 Content Test, 70,000 residential addresses during the field test and 40,000 responding addresses during the content follow-up conducted by telephone; Internet Test, 90,000 residential addresses. Other potential content test: 70,000 residential addresses during the field test and 40,000 responding addresses during the content follow-up conducted by telephone. Other potential test of new methods: 30,000 residential addresses.

**Estimated Time per Response:** Estimates are: Content Test field test, 38 minutes; content test follow-up, 15 minutes; Internet Test, 38 minutes; Content Reinterview Study, 15 minutes; other potential test of new methods, 38 minutes.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:** 67,515.

**Estimated Total Annual Cost:** Except for their time, there is no cost to respondents.

**Respondent Obligation:** Mandatory.

**Legal Authority:** Title 13 U.S.C. Section 182.

## IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques

or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 2, 2009.

**Glenna Mickelson,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. E9–13130 Filed 6–4–09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–07–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–427–818]

### Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews: Low Enriched Uranium From France

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 5, 2009.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Myrna Lobo or Justin Neuman, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2371 or (202) 482–0486, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On December 21, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the antidumping duty order on low enriched uranium from France. *See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Low Enriched Uranium From France*, 67 FR 6680 (February 13, 2002). On February 4, 2009, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping order on low enriched uranium from France for the period of February 1, 2008 through January 31, 2009. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 74 FR 6013 (February 4, 2009). On February 19, 2009, USEC timely requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Eurodif for the period of February 1, 2008 through January 31, 2009. USEC was the only party to request this administrative

review. On March 24, 2009, the Department published a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of low enriched uranium from France for the period February 1, 2008 through January 31, 2009 (the seventh period of review). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 74 FR 12310 (March 24, 2009). In that notice, the Department also initiated administrative reviews covering the periods February 1, 2005 through January 31, 2006 (the fourth period of review), and February 1, 2007 through January 31, 2008 (the sixth period of review).<sup>1</sup> For the reasons discussed below, we are rescinding the administrative reviews.

### Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. On May 15, 2009, USEC withdrew its request for the seventh administrative review. At the same time, USEC and Eurodif withdrew their requests for administrative review for the fourth and sixth administrative reviews. USEC and Eurodif withdrew their requests before the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on low enriched uranium from France for the periods discussed. Therefore, in response to the withdrawals, by USEC and Eurodif, of all requests for the three administrative reviews, and pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department rescinds the three administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on low enriched uranium from France.

### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, the antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department is currently barred from liquidating entries subject to the antidumping order on low

enriched uranium from France by the injunction in place in *Eurodif S.A. et al v. United States*, Court No. 02–00219. When the Court of International Trade (CIT) issues final judgment and dismisses the case, the injunction will dissolve. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CPB 15 days after notification by the CIT that the case has been dismissed.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protection orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. This notice is issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 29, 2009.

**John M. Andersen,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. E9–13198 Filed 6–4–09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–580–836]

### Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 24, 2009, in response to a request from interested parties, the Department of Commerce published a notice of initiation of the

administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from the Republic of Korea. The period of review is February 1, 2008, through January 31, 2009. The Department of Commerce is rescinding this review in part.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 5, 2009.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yang Jin Chun or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5760 and (202) 482–4477, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On March 24, 2009, in response to a request from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate (CTL plate) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period of review February 1, 2008, through January 31, 2009. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 74 FR 12310, 12312 (March 24, 2009) (*Initiation Notice*). One of the companies included in the *Initiation Notice* was Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (DSM). On April 8, 2009, DSM withdrew its request that we review its sales of subject merchandise from Korea.

### Rescission of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review in part “if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review.” We received the letter withdrawing the request for the review of DSM within the 90-day time limit. The Department received no other requests for review of this company. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department is rescinding the review in part with respect to CTL plate from Korea produced and/or exported by DSM. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection 15 days after publication of this notice.

### Notification to Importer

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a

<sup>1</sup> The Department had previously deferred the initiation of the reviews for the 05/06 and 07/08 periods. See 71 FR 17077 (April 5, 2006) and 73 FR 16837 (March 31, 2008).