

information requirements identified in the January 5, 2000, supplementary proposed rule (65 FR 403) and the proposed rule, which MMS published on February 12, 1998 (63 FR 7089). We requested that written comments must be received by March 20, 2000, regarding these newly identified information requirements.

We are granting an extension of 14 days to receive comments on the supplementary proposed rule to match the March 20, 2000, closing date for comments on new information collection requirements. Furthermore, we received a number of requests to extend the comment period beyond March 6, 2000, the closing date of the current comment period.

MMS believes this extension of time until March 20, 2000, will allow the public sufficient time to make additional comments on all aspects of the supplementary proposed rule, including any comments regarding information collection requirements.

We will review and carefully consider all comments received on the final Indian oil rule.

Dated: February 22, 2000.

Lucy Querques Denett,

Associate Director for Royalty Management.

[FR Doc. 00-4561 Filed 2-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

36 CFR Part 1260

RIN 3095-AA67

Records Declassification; Correction

AGENCY: National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: NARA published in the *Federal Register* of February 17, 2000, a proposed revision to our rules concerning records declassification. The zip code in the **ADDRESSES** section contained a typographical error. This document provides the correct zip code.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 17, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Regulation Comment Desk, NPLN, Room 4100, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, Maryland, 20740-6001. You may also fax comments to (301) 713-7270.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Allard or Shawn Morton at (301) 713-7360.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NARA published in the *Federal Register* of

February 17, 2000, a proposed revision to 36 CFR 1260—Declassification. The zip code in the **ADDRESSES** section contained a typographical error. This document provides the correct zip code.

In the document FR 00-3358, published on February 17, 2000 (65 FR 8077), make the following change. On page 8077, in the second column, change the zip code in the **ADDRESS** section from "10740" to "20740."

Dated: February 23, 2000.

Nancy Y. Allard,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 00-4683 Filed 2-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[NM39-2-7452; FRL-6542-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of New Mexico; Approval of Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget; Albuquerque/ Bernalillo County, New Mexico; Carbon Monoxide

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing approval of a revision to the Albuquerque/ Bernalillo County carbon monoxide (CO) State Implementation Plan (SIP). The Governor of New Mexico requested EPA approval of the revision on February 4, 1999. The Governor requested approval of a CO motor vehicle emissions budget for the year 2010. This action proposes to approve only the CO Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget for 2010. This CO Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget is for transportation conformity purposes.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 29, 2000.

ADDRESSES: You should address comments on this action to Mr. Thomas Diggs, EPA Region 6, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202. Copies of all materials considered in this rule making, including the technical support document may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region 6 offices, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202, and the Albuquerque Environmental Health Department, Air Pollution Control Division, One Civic Plaza Room 3023, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102. If you plan to view the documents at either location, please call

48 hours ahead of the time you plan to arrive.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Matthew Witosky of the EPA Region 6 Air Planning Section, at (214) 665-7214, or WITOSKY.MATTHEW@EPA.GOV,

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Overview

The information in this section is organized as follows:

1. What action is the EPA taking today?

2. Why must the EPA approve an additional MVEB?

3. Why is Albuquerque setting a budget for a year beyond the current maintenance plan?

4. Do other emissions grow in the same time period? a. Why are projected highway mobile emissions in Table 2 different than the MVEB in Table 1?

5. How is Albuquerque protecting air quality, if they are increasing the amount of mobile emissions allowed in the region?

6. Under what authority does Albuquerque revise the plan?

7. How is this action related to the direct final rule, published December 20, 1999, revising the MVEB and CO maintenance plan?

1. What Action Is the EPA Taking Today?

The EPA proposes approval of a revision to the Albuquerque and Bernalillo County CO SIP. Hereafter, Albuquerque and Bernalillo County will be referred to as "Albuquerque." Albuquerque requested approval of a motor vehicle emissions budget (MVEB) for the year 2010. The EPA proposes approval of this budget of 222.46 tpd. This budget is applicable for 2010, four years beyond the end of the current maintenance plan. This budget is an addition to the MVEB's approved in the maintenance plan.

TABLE 1—ALBUQUERQUE APPROVED CO MOTOR VEHICLE Emissions Budget (MVEB)

[In tons per day]

Year	2010
MVEB	222.46

2. Why Must the EPA Approve an Additional MVEB?

The Federal Clean Air Act as Amended in 1990 (the Act), and the conformity rules, provide that the EPA must approve MVEB's for areas in maintenance. Albuquerque received redesignation to attainment and entered the maintenance period in 1996. Their