

Commercial Manufactured Parts Div., Kensington, CT; and Assembled Equipment Div., Kensington, CT: December 11, 2001.

TA-W-50,701; Midwest Electric Products, Inc., a wholly-Owned subsidiary of General Electric Corp., Mankato, MN: January 24, 2002.

TA-W-50,093A; Kane Magnetics International, Inc., Galeton, PA: November 6, 2001.

TA-W-50,029; State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission Permit #SO4K618440, Kodiak, AK: November 5, 2001.

TA-W-50,585; Skillers USA, C and S Apparel, Inc., Butler, PA: December 10, 2001.

TA-W-50,623; Arimon Technologies, Inc., Montello, WI: January 17, 2002.

Also, pursuant to title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a), subchapter D, chapter 2, title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of March 2003.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

None.

The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

None.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

None.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of March 2003. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: March 21, 2003.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-7919 Filed 4-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,777]

A.O. Smith, Electrical Products Company, Ripley, TN; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on February 4, 2003, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at A.O. Smith, Electrical Products Company, Ripley, Tennessee.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 17th day of March 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-7905 Filed 4-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-U

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,761]

State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission Permit # SO4T (Nick Timurphy), Dillingham, AK; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on January 30, 2003 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of the group of workers covered by the State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission Permit # SO4T (Nick Timurphy), Dillingham, Alaska.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 18th day of March, 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-7904 Filed 4-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners of any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment

Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than April 14, 2003.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address

shown below, not later than April 14, 2003.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200

Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of March 2003.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[Petitions instituted between 03/10/2003 and 03/14/2003]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
51,099	Allegheny Ludlum (Wkrs)	Houston, PA	03/10/2003	02/27/2003
51,100A	HMH Transportation, Inc (Comp)	Forest Park, GA	03/10/2003	03/03/2003
51,100B	HMH Transportation, Inc (Comp)	Los Angeles, CA	03/10/2003	03/03/2003
51,100	HMH Transportation Inc. (Comp)	Hazlehurst, GA	03/10/2003	03/03/2003
51,101	Agilent Technologies (Wkrs)	Fort Collins, CO	03/10/2003	03/05/2003
51,102	Jeld Wen—Pozzi Window (OR)	Bend, OR	03/10/2003	03/06/2003
51,103	Toshira America Electronic (OR)	Beaverton, OR	03/10/2003	03/07/2003
51,104	Johnstown Leather Corporation (UNITE)	Johnstown, NY	03/10/2003	02/26/2003
51,105	Dinaire, LLC (Comp)	Buffalo, NY	03/10/2003	02/25/2003
51,106	CertainTeed Corporation (Wkrs)	Nesquehoning, PA	03/10/2003	03/06/2003
51,107	Halex Company (IBT)	Cleveland, OH	03/10/2003	02/20/2003
51,108	Defender, Inc./Starr Supporter (Wkrs)	Philadelphia, PA	03/10/2003	02/27/2003
51,109	MCI Worldcom Network Services (Wkrs)	Hunt Valley, MD	03/10/2003	02/15/2003
51,110	Moll Industries, Inc. (Wkrs)	Newberg, OR	03/10/2003	03/05/2003
51,111	General Magnetics Technology, Inc. (Wkrs)	Lake Worth, FL	03/10/2003	02/25/2003
51,112	Osram Sylvania (Comp)	Maybrook, NY	03/10/2003	02/21/2003
51,113	Toppan Electronics, Inc. (Comp)	San Diego, CA	03/10/2003	02/25/2003
51,114	Celestica (Comp)	Fort Collins, CO	03/10/2003	03/07/2003
51,115	MeadWestvaco Corporation (DWU)	Luke, MD	03/10/2003	03/07/2003
51,116	Dura Automotive (Wkrs)	Livonia, MI	03/10/2003	02/21/2003
51,117	Square D Company (Comp)	Asheville, NC	03/10/2003	03/07/2003
51,118	Electrolux Home Products (Comp)	Edison, NJ	03/10/2003	03/03/2003
51,119	Worzalla Publishing (Wkrs)	Stevens Point, WI	03/10/2003	03/07/2003
51,120	Sun Apparel of Texas (Comp)	El Paso, TX	03/11/2003	01/08/2003
51,121	Mirro Corporation (USWA)	Manitowoc, WI	03/11/2003	02/07/2003
51,122	Emerson Appliance Controls (Wkrs)	Frankfort, IN	03/11/2003	03/05/2003
51,123	Spectra—Star (Wkrs)	Yuma, AZ	03/11/2003	03/04/2003
51,124	Pass and Seymour (Comp)	Concord, NC	03/11/2003	03/06/2003
51,125	Symantec Corporation (Wkrs)	Beaverton, OR	03/11/2003	03/06/2003
51,126	Kelly Industries, Inc. (Wkrs)	Eighty Four, PA	03/11/2003	03/05/2003
51,127	Omega Worldwide, Inc. (MI)	Ann Arbor, MI	03/11/2003	02/14/2003
51,128	DT Precision Assembly Industries (Wkrs)	Erie, PA	03/11/2003	03/10/2003
51,129	Cerf Brothers Bag Company (Comp)	Vandalia, MO	03/11/2003	03/10/2003
51,130	Tyler Refrigeration (UAW)	Waxahachie, TX	03/11/2003	03/07/2003
51,131	Fishing Vessel (F/V) Raymond Thorson (Comp)	Dillingham, AK	03/11/2003	03/05/2003
51,132	4-C's Fisheries (Comp)	Kodiak, AK	03/11/2003	03/06/2003
51,133	Relizon (Wkrs)	Newark, OH	03/14/2003	03/13/2003
51,134	Vanity Fair (NC)	Wilson, NC	03/14/2003	03/13/2003
51,135	Advance USA, LLC (Wkrs)	New Stanton, PA	03/14/2003	03/12/2003
51,136	Wing-Lynch, Inc. (OR)	Beaverton, OR	03/14/2003	03/12/2003
51,137	Sasol North America (MD)	Baltimore, MD	03/14/2003	03/13/2003
51,138	Drexel Heritage, #60 (Wkrs)	Morganton, NC	03/14/2003	03/07/2003
51,139	Embraer Aircraft Corporation (Wkrs)	Ft. Worth, TX	03/14/2003	03/14/2003
51,140	Verizon (FL)	Temple Terrace, FL	03/14/2003	03/03/2003
51,141	Werner Company (USWA)	Greenville, PA	03/14/2003	03/12/2003
51,142	Vaisala (Wkrs)	Sunnyvale, CA	03/14/2003	12/13/2002
51,143	Tyco Healthcare Retail Group, Inc. (Comp)	Harmony, PA	03/14/2003	03/13/2003
51,144	IBM (Wkrs)	McLeansville, NC	03/14/2003	03/03/2003
51,145	Halliburton Security (USWA)	Dallas, TX	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,146	Garan, Inc. (Wkrs)	Church Point, LA	03/14/2003	03/12/2003
51,147	Manitowoc Boom Trucks (Wkrs)	York, PA	03/14/2003	03/10/2003
51,148	Torque-Traction Manufacturing (Comp)	Syracuse, IN	03/14/2003	03/25/2003
51,149	Applied Industrial Technologies (MN)	Cloquet, MN	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,150	Logan Stampings, Inc. (Comp)	Logans Port, IN	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,151	North Star Steel Company (Wkrs)	Kingman, AZ	03/14/2003	03/07/2003
51,152	Asco Valve Manufacturing (Wkrs)	Ft. Mill, SC	03/14/2003	03/01/2003
51,153	Esteves—DWD, LLC (Comp)	Danville, KY	03/14/2003	03/10/2003
51,154	Progress Casting Group, Inc. (MN)	Albert Lea, MN	03/14/2003	03/07/2003
51,155	Buckbee-Mears (Comp)	St. Paul, MN	03/14/2003	03/10/2003

APPENDIX—Continued

[Petitions instituted between 03/10/2003 and 03/14/2003]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
51,156	Pacific Precision Metals (Comp)	Laverne, CA	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,157	DBM Technologies (MI)	Corunna, MI	03/14/2003	03/10/2003
51,158	Stewart Apparel, Inc. (Comp)	Greensboro, GA	03/14/2003	03/06/2003
51,159	Zosel Lumber (WA)	Oroville, WA	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,160	Parkson Corporation (FL)	Pompano, FL	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,161	Allura Corporation (UNITE)	Lorane-Reading, PA	03/14/2003	03/04/2003
51,162	F/V J.C. (Comp)	Aleknagik, AK	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,163	F/V Yo Yo (Comp)	Aleknagik, AK	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,164	Melody Lynn (Comp)	Aleknagik, AK	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,165	F/V Jenni Lee (Comp)	Aleknagik, AK	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,166	F/V Double Eagle (Comp)	Dillingham, AK	03/14/2003	03/11/2003
51,167	Robert Allen (Comp)	Kodiak, AK	03/14/2003	03/08/2003
51,168	F/V Vaness (Comp)	Kodiak, AK	03/14/2003	03/10/2003
51,169	Kathleen Lange (Comp)	Homer, AK	03/14/2003	03/13/2003

[FR Doc. 03-7890 Filed 4-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[TA-W-41,972]

American Greetings Corporation,
Corbin, KY; Notice of Negative
Determination Regarding Application
for Reconsideration

By application of November 25, 2001, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on October 10, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on November 5, 2001 (67 FR 67422).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of American Greetings Corporation, Corbin, Kentucky was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met.

The petitioners allege that criterion (3) was acknowledged as having been met by the Department, as established by a determination in connection with TA-W-41,255 regarding subject firm workers. To provide proof of this, they attach an untitled page of this determination.

In fact, this page was extracted from a determination which was issued as a "Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration", issued as the result of an investigation that followed the original "Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance." However, in this determination, the word "not" was inadvertently omitted in the statement "increased imports did contribute importantly to worker separations." A corrected republication in full explaining the inadvertent omission was issued and published in the **Federal Register** on July 24, 2002 (67 FR 48484).

The petitioners allege that "we have been told from various sources of management that approximately nine percent of the work done at the Corbin plant has been outsourced to other countries." They also claim that "American Greetings plans to outsource 75 percent of the work previously done at the Corbin plant to foreign countries within the next two years.

A review of the initial investigation revealed that somewhat less than nine percent of greeting card sheet production has been outsourced to offshore facilities. However, as subject firm workers are not separately identifiable, the production of party goods, gift wrap and bows (ribbons), and candles must also be taken into consideration when looking at the percentage of plant production affected by this outsourcing. When considering

imports of greeting card sheets in context with the total plant production, imports constitute a negligible percentage. In regard to any future outsourcing referenced by the petitioners, any future imports are beyond the relevant period.

The petitioners also assert that sales and production have "declined in the last eight years" and that "we have been told the record shows that imported goods * * * hurt the company sales." At one point, they allege that layoffs have been occurring for the last three years, and recommend that the Department look at the last five years in assessing company trends.

In establishing worker eligibility for trade adjustment assistance, the Department considers declines that occurred in the year preceding the date of the petition. To establish whether the declines exist, the investigation requires the most recent two years of data for corresponding periods in order to ascertain whether declines have occurred in the most recent period relative to the previous period. Thus periods of five and eight years are not relevant. Further, a review of the initial investigation revealed that all sales and production declines of party goods, gift wrap and bows (ribbons) and candles that occurred in the relevant period are attributable to domestic transfer. Production of greeting card sheets increased in 2001 relative to 2000, but began to decline in January through March, 2002 relative to the corresponding period of 2001. As mentioned above, imports of greeting card sheets were negligible relative to overall production.

The petitioners also assert that laid-off company personnel are united in the belief that import impact affected layoffs, and state that "the records and