

alternative, as described below: Alternative A would leave in place the current tide control structure at Chequessett Neck Road and continue management of the estuary without restoration.

Alternative B would employ an adaptive management strategy to restore tides in the lower reach of the Herring River up to a maximum high tide of approximately six feet. At this tide level flood mitigation of sensitive properties can be achieved without a secondary dike at Mill Creek.

Alternative C would employ an adaptive management strategy to restore tides up to the maximum Chequessett Neck Road dike capacity (10 foot vertical tide gate opening) with a new dike at Mill Creek that blocks all tidal influence. This alternative would maximize restoration in all sub-basins except Mill Creek. Mill Creek would remain unrestored, but no new flood proofing measures would be needed in Mill Creek.

Alternative D would employ an adaptive management strategy to restore tides up to the maximum Chequessett Neck Road dike capacity (10 foot vertical tide gate opening) with a new dike at Mill Creek. Mill Creek tides would be controlled by this secondary structure to the same level as that of Alternative B, the maximum level that can be achieved after flood proofing several low-lying properties. Tidal restoration would be maximized in all other sub-basins.

For Alternatives B and D, two options are considered for mitigating project impacts to the Chequessett Yacht & Country Club (CYCC) golf course, a private golf course in Mill Creek: (1) Raise low-lying fairways a minimum of two feet above proposed inundation levels, or (2) relocate low-lying fairways to an undeveloped upland area owned by CYCC.

Alternative D, with the option to raise existing low-lying fairways a minimum of two feet above proposed inundation levels, has been identified as the NPS Preferred Alternative. This alternative best fulfills the restoration objectives of the project while mitigating adverse impacts to developed properties.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 8, 2012.

Michael A. Caldwell,

Acting Regional Director, National Park Service, Northeast Region.

[FR Doc. 2012-24888 Filed 10-11-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-WV-P

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS-NEO-FLNI-11426; 4140-SZD]

Notice of November 3, 2012, Meeting for Flight 93 National Memorial Advisory Commission

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the date of the November 3, 2012, meeting of the Flight 93 Advisory Commission.

DATES: The public meeting of the Advisory Commission will be held on Saturday, November 3, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern).

Location: The meeting will be held at the Flight 93 National Memorial Office, 109 West Main Street, Suite 104, Somerset, PA 15501.

Agenda:

The November 3, 2012, Commission meeting will consist of the following:

1. Opening of Meeting, Review and Approval of Commission Minutes
2. Reports
3. Old Business
4. New Business
5. Public Comments
6. Closing Remarks

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the Superintendent, Flight 93 National Memorial, P. O. Box 911, Shanksville, PA 15560, telephone (814) 893-6322.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral/written presentations to the Commission or file written statements. Such requests should be made to the Superintendent at least seven days prior to the meeting. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: September 25, 2012.

Jeffrey P. Reinbold,

Superintendent, Flight 93 National Memorial.

[FR Doc. 2012-25098 Filed 10-11-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Notice To Reopen and Extend the Scoping Comment Period for the Environmental Impact Statement for the Four Corners Power Plant and Navajo Mine Energy Project

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

ACTION: Reopening and extension of the scoping comment period.

SUMMARY: We are allowing additional time for the public to submit comments on significant issues and alternatives that we should consider in the planning and preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Four Corners Power Plant and Navajo Mine Energy Project. We are extending the end of the scoping comment period from September 17, 2012 to November 1, 2012.

DATES: To ensure consideration in developing the draft EIS, we must receive your electronic or written comments by the close of the scoping period on November 1, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted in writing or by email. At the top of your letter or in the subject line of your email message, please indicate that the comments are "Four Corners-Navajo Mine EIS Comments."

• *Email comments should be sent to:* fcppnavajoenergyeis@osmre.gov.

• *Mail/Hand-Delivery/Courier:* Written comments should be sent to: Marcelo Calle, OSM Western Region, 1999 Broadway, Suite 3320, Denver, Colorado 80202-3050.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information about the Project and/or to have your name added to the mailing list, contact: Marcelo Calle, OSM Project Coordinator, at 303-293-5035. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 18, 2012 (77 FR 42329), we published a notice of intent (NOI) to prepare an EIS for the Four Corners Power Plant and Navajo Mine Energy Project. The NOI requested public comments on the scope of the EIS and significant issues that should be addressed in the EIS. The close of the scoping comment period for the notice of intent to prepare an EIS for the Four Corners Power Plant and Navajo Mine Energy Project published on July 18, 2012, was September 17, 2012. In response to requests for an extension of the comment period, we are granting a 45 day extension from September 17, 2012 to November 1, 2012. All comments received between September 17, 2012, and November 1, 2012, will be considered.

The July 18, 2012, NOI listed the dates and times of the public scoping meetings and discussed the alternatives and related impacts under consideration. To summarize, the EIS will analyze the impacts for the BHP Navajo Coal Company Proposed Pinabete Permit and for the Navajo Mine Permit Renewal, both of which are located on the Navajo Reservation in San Juan County, New Mexico. The EIS will also analyze the impacts for the Arizona Public Service Company Proposed Four Corners Power Plant (FCPP) lease amendment, located on the Navajo Reservation in San Juan County, New Mexico, and associated transmission line rights-of-way renewals for lines located on the Navajo and Hopi Reservations in San Juan County, New Mexico and Navajo, Coconino and Apache Counties in Arizona. In addition, the EIS will analyze impacts for the Public Service Company of New Mexico transmission line rights-of-way renewal associated with the FCPP and located on the Navajo Reservation in New Mexico.

Availability of Comments

OSM will make comments, including name of respondent, address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information, available for public review during normal business hours. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments may not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—will be publicly available. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your

personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 30, 2012.

Bill Clark,

Acting Regional Director, Western Region.

[FR Doc. 2012-24948 Filed 10-11-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-772]

Certain Polyimide Films, Products Containing Same, and Related Methods Commission Determination To Affirm the Final Initial Determination With Respect to the Issues on Review and To Terminate the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to affirm, as modified, the final initial determination (“final ID” or “ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) in the above-captioned investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and has terminated the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 4, 2011, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Kaneka Corporation of Osaka, Japan (“Kaneka”). 76 FR 25373 (May 4, 2011). The complaint alleges

violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the sale for importation, importation, or sale after importation of certain polyimide films, products containing same, and related methods by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–3 and 9–10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,264,866 (“the ‘866 patent’”); claims 1–6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,746,639 (“the ‘639 patent’”); claims 1–5 of U.S. Patent No. 7,018,704 (“the ‘704 patent’”); and claims 1–20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,691,961 (“the ‘961 patent’”). The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents SKC Kolon PI, Inc. of Gyeonggi-do, South Korea and SKC Corporation of Covington, Georgia (collectively, “SKC”).

On February 23, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID (Order No. 26) that Kaneka has satisfied the importation requirement with respect to all versions of the following SKC products: IN30 (75 um), IN70 (19um), IN 70 (25um), IN70 (50um), IF30 (7.5um), IF70 (12.5um), LV100, LV200, and LV300.

On February 27, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID (Order No. 25) terminating the investigation with respect to claims 4–5 of the ‘704 patent and claims 4, 11, 16, 17, and 20 of the ‘961 patent.

An evidentiary hearing was held from March 12, 2012, to March 16, 2012.

On May 10, 2012, the ALJ issued a final ID finding no violation of section 337 in the above-identified investigation. Specifically, the ALJ found that there was no violation with respect to the ‘866 patent, the ‘639 patent, the ‘704 patent, or the ‘961 patent by SKC. The ALJ also issued a recommended determination on remedy and bonding.

On May 22, 2012, Kaneka filed a petition for review of the final ID and on May 23, 2012, SKC filed a contingent petition for review. On May 30, 2012, SKC filed a response to Kaneka’s petition, and on May 31, 2012, Kaneka filed a response to SKC’s contingent petition.

On August 1, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination to partially review the final ID. 77 FR 47092 (August 7, 2012). With respect to the ‘866 patent, the Commission determined to review the finding that Kaneka does not satisfy the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement. *Id.* With respect to the ‘961 patent, the Commission determined to review the ALJ’s finding that certain of the accused products infringe and certain of the accused products do not