

Management System (DMS) web page (<http://dms.dot.gov/search>);

(2) Accessing the Government Printing Office's web page at http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/aces/aces140.html; or

(3) Visiting the TSA's Law and Policy web page at <http://www.tsa.dot.gov/public/index.jsp>.

In addition, copies are available by writing or calling the individual in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. Make sure to identify the docket number.

Background

Section 118 of the Aviation and Transportation Security Act (ATSA) (Pub. L. 107-71, November 19, 2001), as codified in 49 U.S.C. 44940, authorized TSA to impose fees known as the September 11th Security Fee and the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee (ASIF) to help pay TSA's costs of providing civil aviation security services. In imposing these fees, TSA must ensure they are reasonably related to TSA's costs of providing services rendered. 49 U.S.C. 44940(b).

TSA has imposed the September 11th Security Fee and the ASIF through regulations that appear at 49 CFR parts 1510 and 1511. The September 11th Security Fee is a fee in the amount of \$2.50 per enplanement imposed by TSA on passengers of domestic and foreign air carriers in air transportation and intrastate air transportation originating at airports in the United States. This fee is limited to \$2.50 per enplanement for up to two enplanements (or up to \$5) per one-way trip or four enplanements (or up to \$10) per round trip. 49 CFR 1510.5(a).

Section 118 of the ATSA authorizes TSA to impose the ASIF to the extent that the September 11th Security Fee is insufficient to pay TSA's costs of providing civil aviation security services. Specifically, the annual aggregate amount of the ASIF may not exceed the difference between TSA's estimate of the total cost of providing civil aviation security services listed in 49 U.S.C. 44940(a)(1) and TSA's estimate of its September 11th Security Fee collections. 49 U.S.C. 44940(a)(2)(A).

In addition, section 118 provides that through the end of fiscal year 2004, the amount of ASIF collected by TSA from the carriers, both overall and per carrier, cannot exceed the carriers' aggregate and individual costs, respectively, for screening passengers and property in calendar year 2000. 49 U.S.C. 44940(a)(2)(B)(i), (ii). Beginning in fiscal year 2005, TSA is authorized to change the way the per-carrier limit is

determined. TSA may set the limit based on market share or other appropriate measure in lieu of the carriers' actual screening costs in calendar year 2000. 49 U.S.C. 44940(a)(2)(B)(iii).

In setting the ASIF at its current level, TSA solicited information from all carriers engaged in air transportation and intrastate air transportation in 2000 on their calendar year 2000 costs related to screening passengers and property. Within the parameters of section 44940(a) and (b), TSA set the ASIF at the maximum allowable amount by requiring each carrier engaged in air transportation and intrastate air transportation to remit to TSA, on a monthly basis, an amount equal to 8.333 percent of the total amount that the carrier indicated in its calendar year 2000 screening costs submission. Consistent with section 44940, TSA determined that those carriers currently engaged in air transportation and intrastate air transportation, but with no costs for screening passengers and property in calendar year 2000, are not subject to the imposition of the ASIF at this time.

After TSA initially imposed the ASIF through issuance of an interim final rule on February 20, 2002 (66 FR 7926), the agency received various comments and correspondence suggesting that this formula for imposing each carrier's ASIF should be adjusted. Reasons given for this proposed adjustment include: (1) The ASIF does not adequately take into account the economic hardship faced by the aviation industry since September 11, 2001; (2) the ASIF does not take into account any growth or reduction in a carrier's business since calendar year 2000; (3) the ASIF provides an unfair advantage to new carriers; (4) the ASIF rewards carriers who spent less on security in 2000; (5) the ASIF discriminates against smaller carriers who had higher costs for the same services; (6) basing the ASIF on calendar year 2000 costs prioritizes industry stability over individual equity; and (7) the ASIF does not pass on to the carriers any savings achieved by the Government due to economies of scale, consolidation of overhead, and by other means. TSA will respond fully to these and other comments on the ASIF, as well as issue a regulatory evaluation and any necessary regulatory amendments, when we finalize the interim final rule.

Request for Comments

TSA is requesting public comment to assist the agency in determining whether to change the way it sets the per-carrier limit for the ASIF in fiscal

year 2005 and for subsequent years, whether on the basis of market share or another factor(s).

Due to the carriers' various sizes, business models, and other factors, there are many ways to define both what the "market" is and what constitutes a carrier's "share" of the market. For example, a carrier's market share could be based on its passenger enplanements, passenger revenue miles, tickets sold, revenues, or other factors or combinations of factors. Therefore, TSA seeks input into: (1) Whether to adjust the current system of determining each carrier's ASIF limitation based on its screening-related costs in calendar year 2000; (2) when to make such an adjustment; (3) how to determine the new basis for the per-carrier limitation on imposition of the ASIF; and (4) how often imposition of the ASIF should be updated based on the new factors. TSA seeks proposals on factors for TSA to consider in reaching each of these determinations. This input may also address procedural details, such as how to deal with carriers exiting and entering the market between updates of the per-carrier imposition of the fee, or how to collect and confirm relevant market data, from whom, and how often. TSA also seeks any other information that the commenter believes would be helpful to TSA in considering this matter.

Issued in Arlington, VA, on October 30, 2003.

James M. Loy,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03-27782 Filed 11-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-U

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4820-N-44]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Comment Request; Multifamily Default Status Report

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* January 5, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Wayne Eddins, Reports Management Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., L'Enfant Plaza Building, Room 8003, Washington, DC 20410 or Wayne_Eddins@hud.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly J. Miller, Director, Office of Multifamily Asset Management, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-3730 (this is not a toll free number) for copies of the proposed forms and other available information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Multifamily Default Status Report.

OMB Control Number, if applicable: 2502-0041.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: Mortgagees use this information collection to notify HUD that a project owner is more than 30 days past due on the mortgage payment. To avoid an assignment of acquisition, HUD and the mortgagor would develop a plan for reinstating the loan. HUD Field Office and Headquarters staff use the data to: (a) Monitor mortgage compliance with HUD's loan servicing procedures and assignments; and (b) avoid mortgage assignments in the future. This information is submitted electronically via the Internet.

Agency form numbers, if applicable: HUD-92426.

Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response: The estimated total number of burden hours needed to prepare the information collection is 1,814; the number of respondents is 90 generating approximately 10,890 annual responses; the frequency of response is on occasion; and the estimated time needed to prepare the response is 10 minutes.

Status of the proposed information collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1994, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: October 28, 2003.

Sean G. Cassidy,
General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing—Deputy Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 03-27784 Filed 11-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-27-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4820-N-45]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Comment Request; Uniform Physical Standards & Physical Inspection Requirements for Certain HUD Housing, Administrative Process for Assessment of Insured and Assisted Properties

AGENCY: Officer of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* January 5, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Wayne Eddins, Reports Management Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., L'Enfant Plaza Building, Room 8003, Washington, DC 20410 or Wayne_Eddins@hud.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Beverly J. Miller, Director, Office of Multifamily Asset Management, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-3730 (this is not a toll free number) for copies of the proposed forms and other available information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Uniform Physical Standards & Physical Inspection Requirements for Certain HUD Housing, Administrative Process for Assessment of Insured and Assisted Properties.

OMB Control Number, if applicable: 2502-0369.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: The uniform physical condition standards are intended to ensure that HUD program participants meet their legal obligations to maintain HUD properties in a condition that is decent, safe, sanitary, and in good repair.

Agency form numbers, if applicable: None.

Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response: The estimated total number of burden hours needed to prepare the information collection is 9,000; the number of respondents is 9,000 generating approximately 9,000 annual responses; the frequency of response is annually; and the estimated time needed to prepare the response is 1 hour.