

additional opportunities for public participation upon publication of the EIS/DRMPA. The public will have the opportunity to comment during a 90-day public comment period on the EIS/DRMPA.

Any persons wishing to be added to a mailing list of interested parties can call or write to the BLM, as described in this Notice. Additional information meetings may be conducted throughout the process to keep the public informed of the progress of the EIS.

#### **Request for Identification of Potential Alternatives, Information, and Analyses Relevant to the Proposed Action**

The BLM encourages comments concerning the proposed Greenlink West Project, feasible alternatives, possible measures to minimize and/or avoid adverse environmental impacts, and any other information relevant to the proposed action. Planning criteria are the standards, rules, and other factors developed by managers and interdisciplinary team members for use in forming judgements about decision making, analysis, and data collection during the planning process. The BLM has identified some preliminary planning criteria to guide development of the RMP amendments, to avoid unnecessary data collection and analysis, and to ensure the RMP amendments are tailored to the issues. These criteria may be modified and/or other criteria may be identified during the public scoping process. The following preliminary specific planning criteria will help guide the planning process.

**Criteria 1:** The BLM will use a systematic interdisciplinary approach to integrate physical, biological, economic, and other sciences.

**Criteria 2:** The BLM will use the best available data regarding natural resources.

**Criteria 3:** The BLM will consider the present and potential uses of public lands and where existing RMP decisions are valid, those decisions will remain unchanged.

**Criteria 4:** The BLM will consider the relative scarcity of values and availability of alternative means and sites for recognizing those values.

**Criteria 5:** Any plan amendments will be completed in compliance with FLPMA, NEPA, and all other relevant Federal laws, executive orders, and BLM policies.

**Criteria 6:** The BLM will seek coordination and consistency with other government programs including Tribal plans and policies.

**Criteria 7:** Existing valid planning decisions for RMPs in effect will not change unless specifically amended, and any new plan decisions will not conflict with existing planning decisions.

**Criteria 8:** Any RMP amendments will recognize valid existing rights.

The BLM also requests assistance with identifying potential alternatives to the Proposed Action. As alternatives should resolve a problem with the Proposed Action, please indicate the purpose of the suggested alternative. In addition, the BLM requests the identification of potential impacts that should be analyzed. Impacts should be a result of the action; therefore, please identify the activity along with the potential impact. Information that reviewers have that would assist in the development of alternatives or analysis of resources issues is also helpful.

Actions not relevant to the Greenlink West Project include considerations of Areas of Critical Environmental Concern for nomination or recommendations. Permanent closure of public land to hunting, fishing, or recreational shooting are also not proposed as part of the Greenlink West Project and therefore a shooting “notice of intent” is not applicable under this Notice.

#### **Lead and Cooperating Agencies**

The BLM Nevada State Office is the lead agency for this EIS. At this time, the following have agreed to participate in the environmental analysis of the Greenlink West Project as Cooperating Agencies: Bureau of Indian Affairs—Pacific Region, Bureau of Indian Affairs—Western Region, Department of the Air Force, National Park Service, National Nuclear Security Administration, U.S. Army and Air National Guard, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Forest Service, Nevada Department of Transportation, Fallon Paiute Shoshone Tribe, Fort McDermitt Paiute and Shoshone Tribe, Las Vegas Paiute Tribe, Lovelock Paiute Tribe, Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe, Reno-Sparks Indian Colony, Summit Lake Paiute Tribe, Timbisha Shoshone Tribe, Walker River Paiute Tribe, Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California, Winnemucca Paiute and Shoshone Tribe, Yerington Paiute Tribe, Yomba Shoshone Tribe, Nevada Department of Wildlife, Nevada Division of Environmental Protection, Nevada Division of Minerals, Nevada Division of State Lands, Clark County, Esmeralda County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, Washoe County, Silver State Energy Association, City of Carson City,

City of Las Vegas, City of North Las Vegas, City of Reno, and Town of Tonopah.

#### **Nature of Decision To Be Made**

The BLM will decide whether to grant, grant with conditions, or deny the application for a ROW. Pursuant to 43 CFR 2805.10, if the BLM issues a grant, the BLM decision maker may include terms, conditions, and stipulations determined to be in the public interest. The BLM will make the decision as to whether or not to approve any RMP amendments, in accordance with BLM policy about delegation of authorities. In the ROD, the BLM will clearly distinguish the RMP amendment decision from the selected alternative for the proposed electric transmission project.

#### **Personal Identifying Information**

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered.

It is important that reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such manner that they are useful to the agency's preparation of the EIS. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the public scoping period and should clearly articulate the reviewer's concerns and contentions.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*, 40 CFR 1501.9, 40 CFR 1501.7, 43 CFR 1610.2, 43 CFR 2091.3–1, and 43 CFR 2804.25(f).

**Jon K. Raby,**

*BLM Nevada State Director.*

[FR Doc. 2022–09373 Filed 4–29–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–HC–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **Bureau of Land Management**

[22X.LLAZ921000.L14400000.  
BJ0000.LXSSA2250000.241A]

#### **Notice of Filing of Plats of Survey; Arizona**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of official filing.

**SUMMARY:** The plats of survey of the following described lands were officially filed in the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Arizona State Office, Phoenix, Arizona on the dates indicated. The surveys announced in this notice are necessary for the management of lands administered by the agency indicated.

**ADDRESSES:** These plats will be available for inspection in the Arizona State Office, Bureau of Land Management, One North Central Avenue, Suite 800, Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4427. Protests of any of these surveys should be sent to the Arizona State Director at the above address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Geoff Graham, Chief Cadastral Surveyor of Arizona; (623) 580-5579; [ggraham@blm.gov](mailto:ggraham@blm.gov). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 7-1-1 to leave a message or question for the above individual. The FRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**The Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona**

The plat, in one sheet, representing the dependent resurvey of the boundary of Tracts 38 and 39, and the metes-and-bounds survey of Tract 40, partially surveyed Township 11 North, Range 11 East, accepted December 8, 2021, and officially filed January 11, 2022, for Group 1217, Arizona.

This plat was prepared at the request of the United States Forest Service.

The plat, in two sheets, representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the Hopi and Navajo Partition Line, the completion surveys of the north and west boundaries, the survey of the south boundary and the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of certain sections, partially surveyed Township 30 North, Range 12 East, accepted December 8, 2021, and officially filed January 11, 2022, for Group 1209, Arizona.

This plat was prepared at the request of the Bureau of Land Management.

A person or party who wishes to protest against any of these surveys must file a written notice of protest within 30 calendar days from the date of this publication with the Arizona State Director, Bureau of Land Management, stating that they wish to protest.

A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest to the State Director, or the statement of reasons must be filed with the State Director within 30 days after the protest

is filed. Before including your address, or other personal information in your protest, please be aware that your entire protest, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

*Authority:* 43 U.S.C. chap. 3.

**Geoffrey Graham,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor of Arizona.*

[FR Doc. 2022-09321 Filed 4-29-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-32-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-563 and 731-TA-1331-1333 (Review)]**

**Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India, Italy, and Spain; Institution of Five-Year Reviews**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), as amended, to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on finished carbon steel flanges from India and the antidumping duty orders on finished carbon steel flanges from India, Italy, and Spain would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission.

**DATES:** Instituted May 2, 2022. To be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is June 1, 2022. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by July 14, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Caitlyn Hendricks (202-205-2058), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by

accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Background.*—On June 14, 2017, the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) issued an antidumping duty order on finished carbon steel flanges from Spain (82 FR 27229). On August 24, 2017, Commerce issued a countervailing duty order on finished carbon steel flanges from India (82 FR 40138) and antidumping duty orders on finished carbon steel flanges from India and Italy (82 FR 40136). The Commission is conducting reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR part 201, subparts A and B, and 19 CFR part 207, subparts A and F. The Commission will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission’s determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

*Definitions.*—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by Commerce.

(2) The *Subject Countries* in these reviews are India, Italy, and Spain.

(3) The *Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original determinations, the Commission defined a single *Domestic Like Product* consisting of flanges coextensive with Commerce’s scope.

(4) The *Domestic Industry* is the U.S. producers as a whole of the *Domestic Like Product*, or those producers whose collective output of the *Domestic Like Product* constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original determinations, the Commission defined one *Domestic Industry* consisting of all domestic producers of flanges, except for one firm, which was excluded from the *Domestic Industry* as a related party.