Addresses: Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, D.C., 20537, Attention: Vickie Seeger, R.Ph., ODLP; fax: (202) 307–8570; http:// www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia M. Good, Chief, Liaison and Policy Section, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Telephone (202) 307–7297. The Business Contact is Mike Patnode, PEC Solutions Inc., (703) 679–4900, the Administrative Contact is: Steve Bruck, PEC Solutions Inc., (703) 679–4900, the Technical contact is: Trung Tran, PEC Solutions Inc., (703) 679–4900, the Testing contact is: Margaret Leary, PEC Solutions Inc., (703) 679–4900.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

Under the authority of the Controlled Substances Act of 1970 (CSA), DEA, regulates the manufacture and distribution of controlled substances in the United States. This regulatory control is designed to ensure there is a sufficient supply of controlled substances for legitimate medical, scientific, research, and industrial purposes while preventing the diversion of legitimate controlled substances into illegal channels. To do this, the CSA creates a closed system of distribution. For Schedules I and II controlled substances, the CSA requires that distributions be made only in response to a DEA FORM 222, "U.S. Official Order Forms for Schedules I and II Controlled Substances (Accountable Forms)". Currently, this is a paper-based system using a triplicate form issued by DEA. DEA is working to modify its regulations to allow for a secure electronic system for the transmission of controlled substances orders without the supporting paper DEA Form 222. The Controlled Substances Ordering System (CSOS) is expected to bring numerous benefits to the manufacturing, distribution, and pharmacy community by allowing more efficient and cost effective means of ordering and distributing Schedule I and II controlled substances.

### The Pilot Project

As a first step, DEA is establishing a pilot project, which will allow industry participants to test their internal order systems using proposed DEA PKI standards, and identify and resolve technical and operational issues. DEA is working with PEC Solutions, Inc. (PEC) which will operate the pilot project and

act as a technical point of contact for Industry participants.

DEA believes that the development of these new standards and regulations must be based on a clear understanding of industry practices, health care delivery issues, and legal/regulatory requirements at both the state and Federal levels. As a result, the pilot project is designed to allow interested parties to evaluate the use of DEA's planned controlled substances Public Key Infrastructure for digitally signed controlled substances orders. Participants will be expected to operate their system in accordance with DEA's proposed standards, which can be found on the Diversion Control Program web site (http://

www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov). The pilot project is expected to be conducted in multiple phases over a 6-month period during 2002: Phase I, online registration; Phase II, application; Phase III, order processing; Phase IV, reporting; Phase V, DEA auditing.

### **How To Participate**

During the course of the pilot project, DEA will be coordinating with Industry representatives to identify and resolve technological and policy issues. This input will be used to refine the system standards. Any organization that supports registrants in the supply chain business category wishing to participate in the pilot project should notify DEA in writing. The letter should contain the following information, and should be provided to DEA at the address listed in the Addresses section of this notice: (1) company/organization name; (2) company/organization address; (3) DEA registration number, if applicable; (4) the name, address, phone number, and e-mail address of the primary and secondary points of contact coordinating the company's/ organization's pilot project participation.

Note: Due to current delays in receiving mail, DEA recommends that interested participants submit notice of participation via facsimile at (202) 307-8570 and submit the original participation notification to follow via mail. The deadline for notification of participation in the pilot project is January 25, 2002. Periodic announcements will be made to coordinate follow-on phases of the pilot project. Such announcements will be made on the Diversion Control Program web site at http:// www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov,and will also be made directly to identified participants. Pilot project participants will be expected to secure the resources

to support their participation in the

project. A conference call will be held in January, 2002 to explain the pilot process to potential participants.

Dated: January 4, 2002.

#### Laura M. Nagel,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control.

[FR Doc. 02–796 Filed 1–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

# Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of December, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

## Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-38,813; Blount, Inc., Prentice, WI TA-W-39,398; Boss Industries, Inc., Erie PA

TA-W-39,578; McLaughlin Co., A Div. Of Michigan Rivet Corp., Petoskey, MI TA-W-39,983; Edgewater Steel Ltd, Oakmont, PA

TA-W-39,831 and A; Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, GA and Bryan Scott Plant, Greensboro, GA

- TA-W-40,073; Micro Tool and Manufacturing, Inc., Meadville, PA TA-W-40,124; Krones, Inc., Franklin, WI
- TA-W-40,321; Fibermark, Inc., Rochester, MI
- TA-W-39,541; Signature Software, Inc., Hood River, OR
- TA-W-39,091; Heraeus Electro-Nite, Philadelphia, PA
- TA-W-39,760; Kingfield Wood Products, Kingfield, ME
- TA-W-39,802; Superior Dye, Passaic, NJ TA-W-39,835B; Dyersburg Fabrics,
  - Trenton Mills, Trenton, TN
- TA-W-39,872; De-Sta-Co Manufacturing, Arden, NC
- TA-W-40,153; Burkart Foam, Inc., Cairo, IL
- TA-W-39,835B; Trenton Mills, Trenton, TN

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

- TA-W-39,987; GSI Lumonics, Inc., Maple Grove, MN
- TA-Ŵ-40,087; Spicer Axle, Inc., Columbia, MO
- TA-W-40,136; Emerson Process Management, Regulator Div., McKinney, TX
- TA-W-40,246; Incoe Corp., North Plant, Frankfort, MI
- TA–W–40,167A; Axiohm Transation Solutions, Inc., IPB Div., Ithaca, NY
- TA-W-39,686; J and K Sales Co., Inc., Pawtucket, RI
- TA-W-40,117; Drake Extrusion, Spartanburg, SC
- TA-W-40,151; Sara Lee Hosiery, Hanes Hosiery Div., Yadkinville, NC
- TA-W-40,342; Stinson Seafood 2001, Inc., Formerly Stinson Seafood 2000, Inc., Belfast, ME

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

- TA-W-39,861; Swimwear Anywhere, Inc., Farmingdale, NY
- TA-W-39,518G; Spartan International, Inc., Spartan International Retail Business, Charlotte, NC

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-40,280 and A; Munro and Company, Inc., Dewitt Footwear, Dewitt, AR and Munro and Company, Inc., Clarendon Footwear, Clarendon, AR

# Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

- TA-W-40,167; Axiohm Transaction Solutions, Inc., American Magnetics Div., Cypress, CA: September 20, 2000.
- TA-W-40,484; Bristol Compressor Sparta, Inc., Sparta, NC: October 22, 2000.
- TA-W-39,208; RMG Foundry, LLC, Mishawaka, IN: April 23, 2000. TA-W-39,202; ECK Industries, Inc.,
- Manitowoc, WI: April 26, 2001. TA-W-39,307; Creative Embroidery
- Corp., Bloomfield, NJ: May 7, 2000. TA-W-39,497; Superior Electric, Bristol, CT: June 7, 2000.
  - All workers engaged in employment related to the production of VR motors and stators and;
  - All workers engaged in employment related to the production of motors (except VR motors and stators), stepper drives, adjustment speed drives and voltage control flash regulator equipment are denied.
- TA-W-39,737; Rebel Screeners, Inc., Sharon, TN: July 17, 2000.
- TA-W-39,828; GŚC Management Co., Enterprise, AL: July 27, 2000.
- TA-W-39,835 & A; Dyersburg Fabrics, Main Plant, Dyersburg, TN and Knitting Plant, Dyersburg, TN: July 19, 2000.
- TA-W-39,860; Sheftex, Sheftex USA, Inc., St. Johnsbury, VT: August 3, 2000.
- *TA-W-39,918; Beloit Corp., Rockton, IL: August 18, 2000.*
- TA-W-39,984; Hollander Home Fashions, Tignall, GA: August 23, 2000.
- TA-W-40,031; Laclede Steel Co., Vandalia, IL: August 28, 2000.
- TA-W-40,209; Laclede Steel Co., Fairless Hills, PA: September 26, 2000.
- TA-W-40,224; Munsey Products, Inc., Little Rock, AR: October 1, 2000.
- TA-W-40,233; Garan Manufacturing, Adamsville, TN: October 14, 2001.
- *TA-W-40,322; The Santee Co., LLC, Eden, NC: October 9, 2000.*
- TA-W-40,336; Plaid Clothing Co, Inc., Erlanger, KY: June 4, 2001.
- TA-W-40,344; Bradford Electronics, Inc., Bradford, PA: November 2, 2000.
- TA-W-40,346; Freeman Products, A Div. Of Trophy Holdings, Inc., Knox, IN: November 1, 2000.
- TA-W-39,518; Spartan International, Inc., Cherokee Finishing Plant,

- Gaffney, SC and A; Sparton Plant, Spartanburg, SC, B; Rosemont Plant, Jonesville, SC, C; King Finishing Plant, Dover, GA, D; King Mill, August, GA, E; Cleveland Mills, Lawndale, NC, F; Cleveland-Caroknit, Jefferson, SC, H; Spartan International Sales Office, New York, NY and I: Corporate Office, Spartansburg, SC: June 2, 2000.
- TA-W-40,067; Stanly Knitting Mills, Inc., Headwear Div., Oakboro, NC: September 11, 2000.
- TA-W-40,095; Galina Bouquet, Inc., New York, NY: August 31, 2000.
- TA-W-40,137; American Trouser, Inc., Cutting Department, Columbus, MS: September 12, 2000.
- TA-W-40,167; Fujikura Composite America, Inc., Vista, CA: September 26, 2000.
- TA-W-40,193; Wilson Sporting Goods, Racquet Sports, Fountain Inn, SC: September 24, 2000.
- TA-W-40,215; Armstrong-Hunt, Inc., Milton, FL: September 26, 2000.
- TA-W-40,351; Libro Shirt Corp., Lykens, PA: November 1, 2000.
- TA-W-40,061; Parker Hannifin Corp., Brass Department, Otsego, MI: September 4, 2000.
- TA-W-39,901; Providence Metallizing Co., Inc., Pawtucket, RI: January 30, 2001.
- TA-W-39,570; Tyrolit North America, Westboro, MA: February 2, 2001.
  Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement
  Implementation Act (P.L. 103–182)
  concerning transitional adjustment
  assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with section
  250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II,
  of the Trade Act as amended, the
  Department of Labor presents
  summaries of determinations regarding
- In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA—TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA

2001.

issued during the month of December,

- (1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—
- (2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,
- (3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased,

and that the increased imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

### Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-05440; Munro and Company, Inc., Clarendon Footwear, Clarendon, AR

NAFTA-TAA-05119; Rebel Screener, Inc., Sharon, TN

NAFTA-TAA-05441; Munro and Company, Inc., Dewitt Footwear, Dewitt. AR

NAFTA-TAA-05065; Taylor Wharton, Harsco Gas and Fluid Control, Harrisburg, PA

NAFTA-TAA-05310; Laclede Steel, Vandalia, IL

NAFTA-TAA-05330; Micro Tool and Manufacturing, Inc., Meadville, PA NAFTA-TAA-05344; Drake Extrusion, Spartanburg, SC

NAFTA-TAA-05368; Burkart Foam, Inc., Cairo, IL

NAFTA-TAA-05384; Sara Lee Hosiery, Hanes Hosiery Div., Yadkinville, NC NAFTA-TAA-05400; Incoe Corp., North Plant, Frankfort, MI

NAFTA-TAA-05403; Garan Manufacturing, Adamsville, TN NAFTA-TAA-05467; Commercial Warehouse and Cartage, Inc., El Paso,

NAFTA-TAA-05526; Haskell Senator International, Haskell Div., Verona, PA

NAFTA-TAA-05536; Libro Shirt Corp., Lykens, PA

NAFTA-TAA-04832; ECK Industries, Inc., Manitowoc, WI

# Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-05490; Johnson Controls, Inc., Reynoldsburg, OH: October 17, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-05341; Miller Bag, Freeman Plant, Freeman, SD: September 24, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-05509 & A; HMG Intermark Worldwide Manufacturing, Inc., Site R-1, Reading, PA and Site R-5, Reading, PA: October 26, 2000. NAFTA-TAA-05517; Armstrong-Hunt, Inc., Milton, FL: October 14, 2000. NAFTA-TAA-05533 & A · Port

NAFTA-TAA-05533 & A; Port Townsend Paper Corp., Port Townsend, WA and Portland, OR

NAFTA-TAA-05539; Indiana Knitwear Corp., Willacy Apparel, Lyford, TX: November 9, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-05540; Plaid Clothing Co., Inc., Erlanger, KY: June 4, 2001. NAFTA-TAA-05469 & A, B, C; Aalfs

Manufacturing, Inc., Mena, AR, Arkadelphia, AR, Malvern, AR, Glenwood, AR: October 22, 2000. TX: August 17, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-05469D; Aalfs Manufacturing, Sioux City, IA: November 11, 2001.

NAFTA-TAA-05140 & A; Dyersburg Fabrics, Main Plant, Dyersburg, TN and Knitting Plant, Dyersburg, TN: July 20, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-05198; Sheftex, Sheftex USA, Inc., St. Johnsbury, VT: August 13, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-05415; The Santee Co., LLC, Eden, NC: October 9, 2000. NAFTA-TAA-05456; Apparel Finishers,

NAFTA-TAA-05456; Apparel Finishers Inc., Athens, GA: October 19, 2000.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of December, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C–5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: December 27, 2001.

#### Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–714 Filed 1–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

# Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of December, 2001 and January, 2002.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or sub-division have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

# Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-39,324; Maverick Tube Corp., Beaver Falls, PA

TA-W-40,004; Baldor Drives and Motors, Plymouth, MN

TA-W-40,035; Eagle Veneer, Inc., Harrisburg, OR

TA-W-40,147 & A; Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, NY and Sales Division, New York, NY

TA-W-40,223 & A; Supreme Machine Products, Spring Lake, MI and Anderson, SC

TA–W–40,251; Pratt and Austin Co., Inc., Holyoke, MA

TA-W-40,295; TNS Mills, Spartanburg, SC

TA-W-40,326; Jones and Vining of Maine, Lewiston, ME

TA-W-40,331; Georgia-Pacific West, Camas, WA

TA-W-40,355 & A; R.L. Stowe Mills, Inc., Mebane, NC and Belmont, NC

TA-W-40,195; Warwood Tool Co., Wheeling, WV

TA-W-40,152; Butech, Inc., Salem, OH TA-W-39,863; Lynn Ann Fashions, Brooklyn, NY

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-40,188; GFC Foam LLC, West Hazleton, PA

TA-W-40,230; Garlock Sealing Technologies, A Div. of B.F. Goodrich, Sodus, NY

TA-W-40,266; Modern Engineering, Troy, MI