

review, or the underlying investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will continue to be 8.31 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation (as amended).¹⁹ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: July 20, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Currency Conversion
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2023-15949 Filed 7-26-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-842]

Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that passenger vehicle and light truck tires (PVLTV) from Thailand were sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) January 6, 2021, through June 30, 2022. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable July 26, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Myrna Lobo or Jacob Saude, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2371 or (202) 482-0981, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2022, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity¹ to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PVLTV from Thailand.² On September 6, 2022, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the Order.³ On March 24, 2023, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), Commerce extended the due date for the preliminary results until July 20, 2023.⁴

For a detailed description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁵ A list of the topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review and Join Annual Inquiry Service List*, 87 FR 39461 (July 1, 2022).

² See *Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination for Thailand*, 86 FR 38011 (July 19, 2021) (Order).

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 87 FR 54463 (September 6, 2022). See also *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 88 FR 7060 (February 2, 2023).

⁴ See Memorandum, "Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Thailand: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated March 24, 2023.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Thailand; 2021–2022," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the Order are PVLTV from Thailand. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Act. Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period January 6, 2021, through June 30, 2022:

| Producer/exporter | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Sentury Tire (Thailand) Co., Ltd | 1.24 |
| Sumitomo Rubber (Thailand) Co., Ltd | 6.16 |
| Non-Examined Companies ⁶ | 4.52 |

Rate for Companies Not Individually Examined

The Act and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a weighted-average dumping margin to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a less-than-fair-value investigation, for guidance when calculating the weighted-average dumping margin for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an

¹⁹ See Order, as amended by *Timken and Amended Final Results*.

⁶ See Appendix II for a list of these companies.

administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually examined, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent), or determined entirely on the basis of facts available.

Where the dumping margin for individually examined respondents are all zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that Commerce may use “any reasonable method to establish the estimated all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the estimated weighted average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated.”

Because Commerce preliminarily calculated weighted-average dumping margins for Sentury Tire (Thailand) Co., Ltd. (Sentury) and Sumitomo Rubber (Thailand) Co., Ltd. (SRT) that are not zero or *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, we have preliminarily assigned the companies that were not selected for individual examination, a weighted-average dumping margin equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for Sentury and SRT, weighted by the mandatory respondents’ publicly ranged total sales values, consistent with guidance in section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.⁷ The companies not selected for individual examination are listed in Appendix II.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(3) of the Act, Commerce intends to verify the information relied upon in determining the final results of review.

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these preliminary results of review to interested parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit case briefs to Commerce no later than seven days after the date on which the last verification report is issued in this administrative review. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than seven days after the date for filing case briefs.⁸

⁷ See Memorandum, “Calculation of the Rate for Non-Examined Companies for the Preliminary Results,” dated concurrently with this notice.

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1) and (2); see also *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service*

Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.⁹

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. Requests should contain: (1) the party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; (3) whether any participant is a foreign national; and (4) a list of issues the party intends to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a date and time to be determined.¹⁰

All submissions should be filed using ACCESS,¹¹ and must be served on interested parties.¹² Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹³ Parties are reminded that all briefs and hearing requests must be filed electronically using ACCESS and received successfully in their entirety by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International

Requirements Due to COVID-19, 85 FR 17006, 17007 (March 26, 2020).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.303.

¹² See 19 CFR 351.303(f).

¹³ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

If Sentury or SRT’s weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, Commerce intends to calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. Where we do not have entered values for all U.S. sales to a particular importer, we will calculate an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total quantity of those sales.¹⁴ To determine whether an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis*, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we also will calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values. If Sentury or SRT’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* or where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.¹⁵

In accordance with Commerce’s “automatic assessment” practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Sentury or SRT for which it did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate in the original less-than-fair-value investigation if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹⁶

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2); see also *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

¹⁶ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if that rate is *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or a prior segment of the proceeding but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 17.06 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.¹⁷ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: July 20, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Currency Conversion
- VI. Recommendation

¹⁷ See Order, 86 FR at 38012.

Appendix II

List of Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination

1. Deestone Corporation Ltd./Deestone Corporation Public Company Limited
2. General Rubber (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
3. LLIT (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
4. Maxxis International (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
5. Otani Radial Company Limited
6. Prinx Chengshan Tire (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
7. Sanpo (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
8. Zhongce Rubber (Thailand) Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2023–15855 Filed 7–26–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–533–894]

Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks From India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that countervailable subsidies were provided to Bharat Forge Limited (Bharat Forge), a producer and exporter of forged steel fluid end blocks (fluid end blocks) from India during the period of review (POR), May 26, 2020, through December 31, 2021.

DATES: Applicable July 27, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicholas Czajkowski, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1395

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 7, 2023, Commerce published the preliminary results of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**.¹ This review covers one mandatory respondent, Bharat Forge. From April 3 through April 7, 2023, we conducted an onsite verification of Bharat Forge's questionnaire responses. On April 25, 2023, we released the verification report and invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.² Between May 8

¹ See *Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from India: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 88 FR 7943 (March 3, 2023) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Memorandum, “Verification of the Questionnaire Responses of Bharat Forge Limited,”

and 12, 2023, Bharat Forge, the Government of India (GOI), and the petitioners³ submitted timely-filed case briefs.⁴ On May 19, Bharat Forge and the petitioners each submitted timely-filed rebuttal briefs.⁵ On June 5, 2023, Commerce extended the deadline for the final results of this administrative review to July 20, 2023.⁶ For a complete description of the events that occurred subsequent to the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁷

Scope of the Order⁸

The products covered by the scope of the Order are fluid end blocks. A full description of the scope of the Order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by the interested parties in their case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum and are listed in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments from interested parties and the evidence on the record, we revised the

dated April 25, 2023; see also Memorandum, “Briefing Schedule,” dated May 1, 2023.

³ The petitioners are Ellwood City Forge Company, Ellwood Quality Steels Company, Ellwood National Steel Company, and A. Finkl & Sons.

⁴ See Bharat Forge's Letter, “Case brief,” dated May 12, 2023; GOI's Letter, “Case Brief,” dated May 8, 2022; and Petitioners' Letter, “Case Brief,” dated May 12, 2023.

⁵ See Bharat Forge's Letter, “Rebuttal Brief,” dated May 19, 2023; and Petitioners' Letter, “Rebuttal Brief,” dated May 19, 2023.

⁶ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline,” dated June 5, 2023.

⁷ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from India; 2020–2021,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁸ See *Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from the People's Republic of China, the Federal Republic of Germany, India, and Italy: Countervailing Duty Orders, and Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination for the People's Republic of China*, 86 FR 7535 (January 29, 2021) (Order).