

Burden: We have identified no “non-hour cost” burdens.

Public Disclosure Statement: The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Until OMB approves a collection of information, you are not obligated to respond.

Comments: Before submitting an ICR to OMB, PRA section 3506(c)(2)(A) requires each agency “* * * to provide notice * * * and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information * * *”.

Agencies must specifically solicit comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Agencies must also estimate the “non-hour cost” burdens to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. Therefore, if you have costs to generate, maintain, and disclose this information, you should comment and provide your total capital and startup cost components or annual operation, maintenance, and purchase of service components. You should describe the methods you use to estimate major cost factors, including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, discount rate(s), and the period over which you incur costs. Capital and startup costs include, among other items, computers and software you purchase to prepare for collecting information, monitoring, and record storage facilities. You should not include estimates for equipment or services purchased: (i) Before October 1, 1995; (ii) to comply with requirements not associated with the information collection; (iii) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the Government; or (iv) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

We will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in our submission for OMB approval. As a result of your comments, we will make any necessary adjustments to the burden in our submission to OMB.

Public Comment Policy: MMS’s practice is to make comments, including names and addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. If you wish your name and/or address to be withheld, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. MMS will honor this request to the extent allowable by law; however, anonymous comments will not be considered. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

MMS Information Collection Clearance Officer: Arlene Bajusz (202) 208-7744.

Dated: August 10, 2004.

E.P. Danenberger,

Chief, Engineering and Operations Division.

[FR Doc. 04-18767 Filed 8-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-512]

In the Matter of Certain Light-Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Amending the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ’s”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 6) amending the complaint and notice of investigation to add an additional patent.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Herrington, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3090. Copies of the ALJ’s ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server

(<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 10, 2004, based on a complaint filed by OSRAM GmbH and OSRAM Opto Semiconductors GmbH, both of Germany. 69 FR 32609 (June 10, 2004). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain light-emitting diodes and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1, 3, 6, 7, and 10-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,066,861; claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 10-13, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,245,259; claims 1-2, 6-7, 11-12, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,277,301; claims 1, 5-10, and 13-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,376,902; claims 1 and 5-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,469,321; claims 1, 5-8, 10-13, and 16-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,573,580; claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,576,930; claims 2-5, 7, and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,592,780; and claims 1, 3, 6-7, 10, 12-15, 17, and 21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,613,247. The complaint and notice of investigation named three respondents, including respondent Dominant Semiconductors Sdn. Bhd. (“Dominant”).

On July 2, 2004, complainants filed a motion pursuant to Commission rule 210.14 to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to assert claims 1-3 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,716,673 against Dominant, representing that Dominant did not oppose the motion. The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion. On July 21, 2004, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting complainants’ motion. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: August 11, 2004.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-18764 Filed 8-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P