

disposition instructions to any recordkeeping medium.

18. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water (N1-412-08-7, 2 items, 2 temporary items). This schedule authorizes the agency to apply existing disposition instructions to records regardless of recordkeeping medium. The records consist of supporting files for final plans and annual and biennial water quality planning and management reports (final plans and annual and biennial reports themselves are permanent) and public water supply drinking water management and direct implementation files. Paper recordkeeping copies of these files were previously approved for disposal.

19. Federal Maritime Commission, Office of the Inspector General (N1-358-08-2, 3 items, 3 temporary items). Records consisting of investigative case files and audit case files. This schedule authorizes the agency to apply the proposed disposition instructions to any recordkeeping medium.

20. Federal Maritime Commission, Office of Administration (N1-358-08-3, 5 items, 5 temporary items). Records consisting of Director's Office correspondence reading files, copies of audit case files, performance planning records, and performance and accountability report files. This schedule authorizes the agency to apply the proposed disposition instructions to any recordkeeping medium.

21. Federal Maritime Commission, Bureau of Certification and Licensing (N1-358-08-4, 1 item, 1 temporary item). Individual ocean transportation intermediary files including applications. This schedule authorizes the agency to apply the proposed disposition instructions to any recordkeeping medium.

22. National Surface Transportation Policy and Revenue Study Commission (N1-220-08-1, 5 items, 3 temporary items). Records including files relating to the commission's day-to-day activities, Web design and management records, and electronic version of Web content records duplicated in textual series of commission records. Proposed for permanent retention are recordkeeping copies of files documenting the commission's establishment, membership, policy, organization, deliberations, findings and recommendations, and electronic versions of the commission Web site. This schedule authorizes the agency to apply the proposed disposition instructions to any recordkeeping medium, except for Web content records.

Dated: March 27, 2008.

Michael J. Kurtz,

Assistant Archivist for Records Services—Washington, DC.

[FR Doc. E8-6855 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission to OMB for Extension of a Currently Approved Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

ACTION: Request for comment.

SUMMARY: The NCUA is submitting the following information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). This information collection is published to obtain comments from the public.

DATES: Comments will be accepted until June 2, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments to the NCUA Clearance Officer listed below:

Clearance Officer: Mr. Jeryl Fish, National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428, Fax No. 703-837-2861, E-mail: OCIOMail@ncua.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or a copy of the information collection request, should be directed to Tracy Sumpter at the National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428, or at (703) 518-6444.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Proposal for the following collection of information:

Title: Central Liquidity Facility Repayment Agreement, Regular Member.

OMB Number: 3133-0061.

Form Number: CLF-8703.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Description: The form is used by CLF regular members borrowing from the CLF.

Respondents: Credit unions which are CLF regular members who borrow from the CLF.

Estimated No. of Respondents/Record keepers: 40.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Response: 2.875 hours.

Frequency of Response: Other. As the need for borrowing arises.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 115 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: 0.

By the National Credit Union Administration Board on March 26, 2008.

Mary Rupp,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. E8-6770 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Advisory Committee for Engineering; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Engineering Advisory Committee Meeting (#1170).

Date/Time: April 24, 2008: 12 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.; April 25, 2008: 8 a.m. to 12 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1235, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: Wanda Smith, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 505, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice, recommendations and counsel on major goals and policies pertaining to engineering programs and activities.

Agenda: The principal focus of the meeting on both days will be to discuss emerging issues and opportunities for the Directorate for Engineering and its divisions.

Dated: March 27, 2008.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-6716 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 61—Licensing Requirements for Land Disposal of Radioactive Waste.

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150–0135.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Applications for licenses are submitted as needed. Other reports are submitted annually and as other events require.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Applicants for and holders of an NRC license (to include Agreement States) for land disposal of low-level radioactive waste; and all generators, collectors, and processors of low-level waste intended for disposal at a low-level waste facility.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 4.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 5,412 hours (56 hours for reporting [approximately 4.6 hours per response] and 5,356 hours for recordkeeping [approximately 1,339 hours per recordkeeper]).

7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR Part 61 establishes the procedures, criteria, and license terms and conditions for the land disposal of low-level radioactive waste. Reporting and recordkeeping requirements are mandatory or, in the case of application submittals, are required to obtain a benefit. The information collected in the applications, reports, and records is evaluated by the NRC to ensure that the licensee's or applicant's physical plant, equipment, organization, training, experience, procedures, and plans provide an adequate level of protection of public health and safety, common defense and security, and the environment.

Submit, by June 2, 2008, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The

document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Margaret A. Janney (T–5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, by telephone at 301–415–7245, or by e-mail to INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of March 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gregory Trussell,

Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. E8–6783 Filed 4–1–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–333]

James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR–59 issued to Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee) for operation of the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAFNPP) located in Oswego County, New York.

The proposed amendment would revise the Technical Specifications (TS) Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.1.3.2 frequency in TS 3.1.3, “Control Rod OPERABILITY” from “7 days after the control rod is withdrawn and THERMAL POWER is greater than the [Low Power Setpoint] LPSP of [Rod Worth Minimizer] RWM” to “31 days after the control rod is withdrawn and THERMAL POWER is greater than the LPSP of the RWM” and revise Example 1.4–3 in Section 1.4 “Frequency” to clarify the applicability of the 1.25 surveillance test interval extension. The proposed amendment does not adopt the clarification of Source Range Monitor (SRM) TS action for inserting control rods. This clarification was previously adopted during the JAFNPP conversion to Improved Standard Technical Specifications, TS Section 3.3.1.2, required Action E.2, “Source Range Monitoring [SRM] Instrumentation” and associated bases were clarified to state fully insert all

insertable control rods for the limiting condition for operation.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration by a reference to a generic analysis published in the **Federal Register** on November 13, 2007 (72 FR 63935), which is presented below:

Criterion 1—The Proposed Change Does Not Involve a Significant Increase in the Probability or Consequences of an Accident Previously Evaluated

The proposed change generically implements [Technical Specification Task Force] TSTF–475, Revision 1, “Control Rod Notch Testing Frequency and SRM Insert Control Rod Action.” TSTF–475, Revision 1 modifies NUREG–1433 (BWR/4) and NUREG–1434 (BWR/6) STS. The changes: (1) Revise TS testing frequency for surveillance requirement (SR) 3.1.3.2 in TS 3.1.3, “Control Rod OPERABILITY”, [* * *], and (3) revise Example 1.4–3 in Section 1.4 “Frequency” to clarify the applicability of the 1.25 surveillance test interval extension. The consequences of an accident after adopting TSTF–475, Revision 1 are no different than the consequences of an accident prior to adoption. Therefore, this change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Criterion 2—The Proposed Change Does Not Create the Possibility of a New or Different Kind of Accident From Any Accident Previously Evaluated

The proposed change does not involve a physical alteration of the plant (no new or different type of equipment will be installed) or a change in the methods governing normal plant operation. The proposed change will not introduce new failure modes or effects and will not, in the absence of other unrelated failures, lead to an accident whose consequences exceed the consequences of accidents previously analyzed. Thus, this change does not create the possibility of a