

McKenzie Bridge, Oregon 97413, phone 541-822-3381.

Dated: July 29, 2002.

John Allen,

District Ranger, McKenzie River Ranger District.

[FR Doc. 02-20945 Filed 8-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Deschutes and Ochoco National Forests Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Deschutes and Ochoco National Forests Resource Advisory Committee will meet on Monday, September 23, 2002 at the Central Oregon Intergovernmental Council building, main conference room, 2363 SW Glacier Place, Redmond, Oregon. The meeting will begin at 9 a.m. and continue until 5 p.m. Committee members will review projects proposed and make recommendations under Resource Advisory Committee consideration under Title II of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000. All Deschutes and Ochoco National Forests Resource Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public. Interested citizens are welcome to attend.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct questions regarding this meeting to Leslie Weldon, Designated Federal Official, USDA, Deschutes National Forest, 1645 Highway 20 East, Bend, Oregon 97701, 541-383-5512.

Dated: August 13, 2002.

Leslie A.C. Weldon,

Forest Supervisor, Deschutes National Forest.

[FR Doc. 02-20942 Filed 8-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau.

Title: Housing Vacancy Survey.

Form Number(s): CPS-263, HVS-600.

Agency Approval Number: 0607-0179.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 3,456 hours.

Number of Respondents: 69,120.

Avg Hours Per Response: 3 minutes.

Needs and Uses: The purpose of this request for review is to obtain clearance for the collection of demographic information in the Housing Vacancy Survey (HVS) beginning in December 2002. The current clearance expires November 30, 2002.

We collect the HVS data for a sample of vacant housing units identified in the monthly Current Population Survey (CPS) sample and provide the only quarterly and annual statistics on rental vacancy rates, home ownership rates for the United States, the four census regions, inside vs. outside metropolitan areas (MAs), the 50 States, the District of Columbia, and the 75 largest Mas. Information is collected from homeowners, realtors, landlords, rental agents, neighbors or other knowledgeable persons. Private and public sector organizations use these rates extensively to gauge and analyze the housing market with regard to supply, cost, and afford ability at various points in time. In addition, the rental vacancy rate is a component of the leading economic indicators, published by the Department of Commerce.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: Monthly.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S.C., Section 182.

OMB Desk Officer: Susan Schechter, (202) 395-5103.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, room 6608, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at mclayton@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Susan Schechter, OMB Desk Officer, room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: August 13, 2002.

Madeleine Clayton,

Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-20927 Filed 8-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-803]

Heavy Forged Hand Tools from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 19, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Martin at (202) 482-3936, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

TIME LIMITS:

Background

On March 16, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China, covering the period February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001 (66 FR 16037). The preliminary results were published on March 6, 2002, and in those preliminary results we extended the time limit for the final results until no later than August 27, 2002, 174 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to complete its final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results were published. However, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for completion of the final results to 180 days from the date of publication of the preliminary results. As a result of the complex issues involved, it is not practicable to complete these reviews by August 27, 2002, and we are extending the time limit to 180 days after the publication of the preliminary results. See Decision Memorandum from Holly Kuga to Bernard T. Carreau, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the

Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

Dated: August 13, 2002.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 02-21013 Filed 8-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-810]

Stainless Steel Bar from India: Preliminary Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Bar from India.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from Uday Engineering Works, the Department of Commerce is conducting a new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. This review covers sales of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period February 1 through July 31, 2001.

In these preliminary results, we find that Uday Engineering Works made sales of subject merchandise below normal value. The dumping margin is shown in the "Preliminary Results of Review" section of this notice. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 19, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cole Kyle, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-1503.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, all references to the Department of

Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (April 2001).

Background

On July 25, 2001, the Department received a request from Uday Engineering Works ("Uday") to conduct a new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. On August 13, 2001, the Department requested that Uday remedy certain deficiencies in its request for a new shipper review. On August 21, 2001, Uday submitted a revised request for a new shipper review. On August 31, 2001, the Department rejected Uday's new shipper request because of certain remaining deficiencies. Uday appropriately amended its request for a new shipper review on September 20, 2001. The Department published in the **Federal Register**, on October 23, 2001, a notice of initiation of a new shipper administrative review of Uday covering the period February 1 through July 31, 2001 (66 FR 53585). See 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(A).

On November 5, 2001, the Department issued an antidumping questionnaire to Uday. We received a response on January 9, 2002. On February 5, 2002, the petitioners submitted an allegation that Uday made sales below the cost of production ("COP").

On April 2, 2002, the Department found that because of the complexity of the issues involved in this case it was not practicable to complete the review in the time allotted, and we published an extension of time limit for the completion of the preliminary results of this review to no later than August 13, 2002, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(h)(2). See *Stainless Steel Bar from India; Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 67 FR 16717 (April 8, 2002).

We found that the petitioners' allegation provided a reasonable basis to believe or suspect that sales by Uday in the home market had been made at prices below the cost of production and initiated a sales below cost investigation accordingly on April 16, 2002 (see memorandum from Team to Susan Kuhbach, Director, AD/CVD Enforcement Office 1, "Allegation of Sales Below the Cost of Production for Uday Engineering Works," dated April 16, 2002 ("Sales Below Cost Memorandum")). Also, on April 16, 2002, we requested that Uday respond to the Section D cost of production section of the Department's original

questionnaire. Uday filed its response to Section D on May 1, 2002.

We issued supplemental questionnaires to Uday and received responses in June and July 2002.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of stainless steel bar ("SSB"). SSB means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. SSB includes cold-finished SSBs that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi-finished products, cut length flat-rolled products (*i.e.*, cut length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), wire (*i.e.*, cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes and sections.

The SSB subject to these orders is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.10.0005, 7222.10.0050, 7222.20.0005, 7222.20.0045, 7222.20.0075, and 7222.30.0000 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Export Price

In calculating the price to the United States, we used export price ("EP"), in accordance with section 772(a) of the Act, because the subject merchandise was sold directly to the first unaffiliated purchaser in the United States prior to importation into the United States. We calculated EP based on the C&F price to the United States. In accordance with section 772(c)(2) of the Act, we made deductions, as appropriate, for foreign inland freight and international freight.

In calculating the export price, we relied upon the data submitted by Uday, except as noted below: