By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 18, 2008.

### Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. E8–30471 Filed 12–22–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0203; Airspace Docket No. 08-ANE-99]

# Modification of Class D and E Airspace; Brunswick, ME

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule, confirmation of

effective date.

**SUMMARY:** This action confirms the effective date of a direct final rule published in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 56475) that modifies Class D and E Airspace at Brunswick, ME to reflect the times when the controlled airspace is effective.

**DATES:** Effective 0901 UTC, January 15, 2009. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under title 1, Code of Federal Regulations, part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melinda Giddens, Operations Support Group, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; Telephone (404) 305–5610, Fax 404–305–5572.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Confirmation of Effective Date**

The FAA published this direct final rule with a request for comments in the Federal Register on September 29, 2008 (73 FR 56475), Docket No. FAA-2008-0203; Airspace Docket No. 08-ANE-99. The FAA uses the direct final rulemaking procedure for a noncontroversial rule where the FAA believes there will be no adverse public comment. This direct final rule advised the public that no adverse comments were anticipated, and that unless a written adverse comment, or a written notice of intent to submit such an adverse comment, were received within the comment period, the regulation would become effective on January 15, 2009.

No adverse comments were received, and thus this notice confirms that effective date.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on December 2, 2008.

#### Mark D. Ward.

Manager, Operations Support Group, Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic Organization. [FR Doc. E8–30434 Filed 12–22–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 71

January 15, 2009.

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0454; Airspace Docket No. 08-AAL-13]

# Establishment of Class E Airspace; Napakiak, AK

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This action corrects an error in the airspace description contained in a Final Rule that was published in the Federal Register on Thursday, November 20, 2008 (73 FR 70271). Airspace Docket No. 08–AAL–13. DATES: Effective Date: 0901 UTC,

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Rolf, AAL–538G, Federal Aviation Administration, 222 West 7th Avenue, Box 14, Anchorage, AK 99513–7587; telephone number (907) 271–5898; fax: (907) 271–2850; e-mail: gary.ctr.rolf@faa.gov. Internet address: http://www.faa.gov/about/office\_org/headquarters\_offices/ato/service\_units/systemops/fs/alaskan/rulemaking/.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### History

Federal Register Docket FAA–2008–0454, Airspace Docket No. 08–AAL–13, published on Thursday, November 20, 2008 (73 FR 70271), established Class E airspace at Napakiak, AK. A typographical error was discovered in the airspace description defining the airport location. This action corrects that error.

### **Correction to Final Rule**

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the airspace description of the Class E airspace published in the **Federal Register**, Thursday, November 20, 2008 (73 FR 70271), Docket No. FAA–2008–0454, Airspace Docket No. 08–AAL–15, page 70272, column 2 is corrected as follows:

### §71.1 [Corrected]

\* \* \* \* \*

### AAL AK E5 Napakiak, AK [Corrected]

Napakiak, Napakiak Airport, AK (Lat.  $60^{\circ}41'25''$  N., long.  $161^{\circ}58'43''$  W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.3-mile radius of the Napakiak Airport, AK; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 84-mile radius of the Napakiak Airport, AK.

Issued in Anchorage, AK, on December 4, 2008

### Anthony M. Wylie,

Manager, Alaska Flight Services Information Area Group.

[FR Doc. E8–30390 Filed 12–22–08; 8:45 am]

# SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

#### 18 CFR Part 806

# **Review and Approval of Projects**

**AGENCY:** Susquehanna River Basin Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains amendments to the project review regulations of the Susquehanna River Basin Commission (Commission) requiring review and approval of any natural gas well development project targeting the Marcellus or Utica shale formations and involving the withdrawal, diversion, or consumptive use of waters of the Susquehanna River Basin, adding a provision providing for a specific approval by rule process for consumptive water use associated with such projects, and modifying the definitions of "construction" and "project." In addition, editorial changes are made to the existing approval by rule provision related to the consumptive use of water withdrawn from public water supply systems to make that provision consistent with the new approval by rule provision for natural gas well development projects. **DATES:** These rules are effective on

**DATES:** These rules are effective on January 15, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Susquehanna River Basin Commission, 1721 N. Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17102–2391.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard A. Cairo, General Counsel, 717–238–0423; fax: 717–238–2436; e-mail: rcairo@srbc.net. Also, for further information on the final rulemaking, visit the Commission's Web site at http://www.srbc.net.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Background and Purpose of Amendments

As a result of advances in hydraulic fracturing and higher natural gas prices, natural gas well development activity in the Susquehanna River Basin has increased dramatically in the past year, resulting in a large number of project applications being filed with the Commission seeking approval for the withdrawal and consumptive use of water for that activity. The Commission is hereby adopting a final rulemaking action to handle the large and immediate influx of project applications, and to avoid adverse, cumulative adverse or interstate effects to the water resources of the basin.

The final rule modifies the definitions of "construction" and "project" for purposes of natural gas well development; requires review and approval of any natural gas well development project involving the withdrawal, diversion, or consumptive use of water; and adds a specific approval by rule process associated with the consumptive use of water by such projects. The Commission's current approval by rule process is available for use only if the sole source of water is a public water supply system. Under this rule change, the new approval by rule process will allow for the consumptive use of wastewater, acid mine water, and other sources of water for natural gas well development projects. The final rule will not change the current process used to review groundwater or surface water withdrawals.

In addition, editorial changes are made to the existing approval by rule provision relating to the consumptive use of water withdrawn from public water supply systems to make that provision consistent with the new approval by rule provision for natural gas well development projects.

The Commission convened public hearings on October 21, 2008, in Williamsport, Pa. and on October 22, 2008, in Binghamton, N.Y. A written comment period was held open until October 31, 2008. Comments were received at both the hearings and during the comment period, one set coming mainly from the environmental community or those concerned about environmental issues, and another set coming from industry representatives.

Comments from the environmental community expressed concern that an approval by rule process applying to gas well drilling projects would not provide sufficient protection to environmental resources such as aquifers and streams. There was a concern that the approval

by rule process would somehow supersede or short cut all other forms of review conducted by the Commission. However, full review and approval will continue to be required for all withdrawals by well drilling projects. To make this point clear, the Commission is adding language to § 806.22(f)(9) of the final rule stating that the issuance of an approval by rule for a consumptive use shall not be construed to waive or exempt the project sponsor from obtaining Commission approval for any water withdrawals or diversions subject to review pursuant to § 806.4(a).

Several citizens were also concerned that chemicals added to water used for hydro-fracturing will not be treated properly and could somehow cause pollution of aguifers and streams. The Commission does not presently regulate water quality; however, the Commission's member jurisdictions regulate the treatment and disposal of flowback fluids or produced brines from well drilling operations. The Commission is therefore including a provision in § 806.22(f)(8) that requires gas well applicants to certify to the Commission that all such flowback fluids will be treated and disposed of in accordance with applicable state and federal law. In addition, project sponsors are required under § 806.22(f)(7) to obtain all necessary permits and approvals that are required for the project from other Federal, State, or local government agencies having jurisdiction.

Industry comments pointed to various sections of the proposed regulations felt to be either unnecessary or burdensome. While not agreeing with all such comments, the Commission has made the following changes to the final rulemaking, which it believes responds adequately to industry concerns:

1. The requirement for approval by rule of natural gas drilling projects in § 806.4(a)(8) is limited to those projects targeting the Marcellus or Utica Shale Formations, unless additional shale formations are identified by the executive director of the Commission in a formal determination pursuant to § 806.5. The reference to "other shale formations" in the proposed rulemaking has been deleted.

2. The requirement to submit a Notice of Intent (NOI) "at least 60 days" prior to undertaking a project or increasing a previously approved quantity under § 806.22(f)(2) is removed. Applicants will only be required to submit the NOI prior to such undertaking.

3. In § 806.22(f)(8), project sponsors are required to "certify" that all flowback fluids have been treated and

disposed of in accordance with applicable law, instead of having to "demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Commission" that this has been done. Concern was raised that the term "demonstrate" was overly vague. Certification would be subject to laws relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

4. In § 806.22(f)(10), it is made clear that an approval by rule does not rescind, but merely supersedes any previous consumptive use approval.

5. The provision contained in the proposed rulemaking prohibiting the transfer of § 806.22(f) approvals is deleted, allowing such approvals to be transferred in accordance with the rules applying to any project approval under § 806.6.

In response to a comment from the Commission's member jurisdictions, the term "Executive Director" replaces the term "Commission" in § 806.22(f)(7), (9) and (10) as the entity responsible for issuing an approval by rule and exercising oversight on that approval. Similar changes have been made in § 806.22(e)(1), (6) and (7) to be consistent with this change and to clarify current Commission practice. In response to another comment from member jurisdictions, the notice requirements in § 806.22(f)(3) have been modified to reference the notice requirements contained in § 806.15 that apply to all projects generally, and to require applicants to copy the appropriate agencies of the member state with any NOI submitted under the rule. A final change made in response to the Commission's member jurisdictions was to clarify the language in § 806.22(f)(11) related to the process for obtaining authorization to utilize additional sources of water subsequent to the issuance of an approval by rule.

# List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 806

Administrative practice and procedure, Water resources.

■ Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the preamble, 18 CFR part 806 is amended as follows:

# PART 806—REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF PROJECTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 806 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 3.4, 3.5(5), 3.8, 3.10 and 15.2, Pub. L. 91–575, 84 Stat. 1509 *et seq*.

■ 2. In § 806.3, revise the definitions of "construction" and "project" to read as follows:

### § 806.3 Definitions.

\* \* \* \* \*

Construction. To physically initiate assemblage, installation, erection or fabrication of any facility, involving or intended for the withdrawal, conveyance, storage or consumptive use of the waters of the basin. For purposes of natural gas well development projects subject to review and approval pursuant to § 806.4(a)(8), initiation of construction shall be deemed to commence upon the drilling (spudding) of a gas well, or the initiation of construction of any water impoundment or other water-related facility to serve the project, whichever comes first. \*

Project. Any work, service, activity, or facility undertaken, which is separately planned, financed or identified by the Commission, or any separate facility undertaken or to be undertaken by the Commission or otherwise within a specified area, for the conservation, utilization, control, development, or management of water resources, which can be established and utilized independently, or as an addition to an existing facility, and can be considered as a separate entity for purposes of evaluation. For purposes of natural gas well development activity, the project shall be considered to be the drilling pad upon which one or more exploratory or production wells are undertaken, and all water-related appurtenant facilities and activities related thereto.

■ 3. In § 806.4, amend paragraph (a) by adding paragraph (a)(8) to read as follows:

### § 806.4 Projects requiring review and approval.

(a) \* \* :

- (8) Any natural gas well development project in the basin targeting the Marcellus or Utica shale formations, or any other formation identified in a determination issued by the Executive Director pursuant to § 806.5, for exploration or production of natural gas involving a withdrawal, diversion or consumptive use, regardless of the quantity.
- 4. In § 806.22, revise paragraph (e)(1) introductory text, (e)(1)(ii), (e)(6), (e)(7) and add a new paragraph (f) to read as follows:

### § 806.22 Standards for consumptive uses of water.

(e) \* \* \*

(1) Except with respect to projects involving natural gas well development subject to the provision of paragraph (f)

of this section, any project whose sole source of water for consumptive use is a public water supply withdrawal, may be approved by the Executive Director under this paragraph (e) in accordance with the following, unless the Executive Director determines that the project cannot be adequately regulated under this approval by rule:

\* \*

(ii) Within 10 days after submittal of an NOI under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section, the project sponsor shall submit to the Commission proof of publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the location of the project, a notice of its intent to operate under this approval by rule, which contains a sufficient description of the project, its purposes and its location. This notice shall also contain the address, electronic mail address and telephone number of the Commission.

(6) The Executive Director will grant or deny approval to operate under this approval by rule and will notify the project sponsor of such determination, including the quantity of consumptive use approved.

(7) Approval by rule shall be effective upon written notification from the Executive Director to the project sponsor, shall expire 15 years from the date of such notification, and shall be deemed to rescind any previous consumptive use approvals.

(f) Approval by rule for consumptive use related to natural gas well

development.

(1) Any project involving the development of natural gas wells subject to review and approval under §§ 806.4, 806.5, or 806.6 of this part shall be subject to review and approval by the Executive Director under this paragraph (f) regardless of the source or sources of water being used consumptively.

(2) Notification of Intent: Prior to undertaking a project or increasing a previously approved quantity of consumptive use, the project sponsor shall submit a Notice of Intent (NOI) on forms prescribed by the Commission, and the appropriate application fee, along with any required attachments.

- (3) Within 10 days after submittal of a NOI under paragraph (f)(2) of this section, the project sponsor shall satisfy the notice requirements set forth in § 806.15 and send a copy of the NOI to the appropriate agencies of the member
- (4) The project sponsor shall comply with metering, daily use monitoring and quarterly reporting as specified in § 806.30, or as otherwise required by the approval by rule. Daily use monitoring

shall include amounts delivered or withdrawn per source, per day, and amounts used per gas well, per day, for well drilling, hydrofracture stimulation, hydrostatic testing, and dust control. The foregoing shall apply to all water and fluids, including additives, flowback and brines, utilized by the

(5) The project sponsor shall comply with the mitigation requirements set

forth in § 806.22(b).

(6) Any flowback fluids or produced brines utilized by the project sponsor for hydrofracture stimulation undertaken at the project shall be separately accounted for, but shall not be included in the daily consumptive use amount calculated for the project, or be subject to the mitigation requirements of § 806.22(b).

(7) The project sponsor shall obtain all necessary permits or approvals required for the project from other federal, state, or local government agencies having jurisdiction over the project. The Executive Director reserves the right to modify, suspend or revoke any approval under this paragraph (f) if the project sponsor fails to obtain or maintain such approvals.

(8) The project sponsor shall certify to the Commission that all flowback and produced fluids, including brines, have been treated and disposed of in accordance with applicable state and

(9) The Executive Director may grant or deny or condition an approval to operate under this approval by rule and will notify the project sponsor of such determination, including the sources and quantity of consumptive use approved. The issuance of any such approval shall not be construed to waive or exempt the project sponsor from obtaining Commission approval for any water withdrawals or diversions subject to review pursuant to § 806.4(a).

(10) Approval by rule shall be effective upon written notification from the Executive Director to the project sponsor, shall expire five years from the date of such notification, and supersede any previous consumptive use approvals to the extent applicable to the

project.

- (11) Subsequent to the issuance of an approval by rule pursuant to paragraph (f)(9) of this section, authorization to utilize additional sources of water for the project other than those identified in the approval by rule may be obtained as follows:
- (i) Water withdrawals or diversions requiring and receiving approval by the Commission pursuant to § 806.4(a), provided such withdrawal source is approved for such use and is registered

with the Commission at least 10 days prior to use on a form and in a manner as prescribed by the Commission.

(ii) Sources of water other than those subject to paragraph (f)(11)(i) of this section, including, but not limited to, public water supply, wastewater discharge or other reclaimed waters, provided such sources are approved prior to use as a modification to the approval by rule. Any request to modify an approval by rule to utilize such source(s) shall be submitted on a form and in a manner as prescribed by the Commission, and shall be subject to review pursuant to the standards set forth in subpart C of this part.

Dated: December 11, 2008.

### Thomas W. Beauduy,

Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. E8-30315 Filed 12-22-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7040-01-P

# PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

### 29 CFR Part 4022

Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing and Paying Benefits

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation's regulation on Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans prescribes interest assumptions for valuing and paying certain benefits under terminating single-employer plans. This final rule amends the benefit payments regulation to adopt interest assumptions for plans with valuation dates in January 2009. As discussed below, PBGC will publish a separate final rule document dealing with interest assumptions under its regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans for January 2009. Interest assumptions are also published on PBGC's Web site (http:// www.pbgc.gov).

DATES: Effective January 1, 2009.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine B. Klion, Manager, Regulatory and Policy Division, Legislative and Regulatory Department, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202–326– 4024. (TTY/TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.)

supplementary information: PBGC's regulations prescribe actuarial assumptions—including interest assumptions—for valuing and paying plan benefits of terminating single-employer plans covered by title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The interest assumptions are intended to reflect current conditions in the financial and annuity markets.

These interest assumptions are found in two PBGC regulations: the regulation on Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans (29 CFR part 4022) and the regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans (29 CFR part 4044). PBGC normally updates the assumptions under the two regulations each month in a single rulemaking document. Because of delays in obtaining data used in setting the assumptions for January 2009, PBGC is publishing two rulemaking documents to update the two regulations for January 2009. This document is a final rule updating the benefit payments regulation.

Two sets of interest assumptions are prescribed under the benefit payments regulation: (1) A set for the PBGC to use to determine whether a benefit is payable as a lump sum and to determine lump-sum amounts to be paid by the PBGC (found in Appendix B to Part 4022), and (2) a set for private-sector pension practitioners to refer to if they wish to use lump-sum interest rates determined using the PBGC's historical methodology (found in Appendix C to Part 4022).

This amendment (1) adds to Appendix B to Part 4022 the interest assumptions for the PBGC to use for its own lump-sum payments in plans with valuation dates during January 2009, and (2) adds to Appendix C to Part 4022 the interest assumptions for private-sector pension practitioners to refer to if they wish to use lump-sum interest rates determined using the PBGC's historical methodology for valuation dates during January 2009.

The interest assumptions that the PBGC will use for its own lump-sum payments (set forth in Appendix B to part 4022) will be 4.00 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status and 4.00 percent during any years

preceding the benefit's placement in pay status. These interest assumptions represent a decrease (from those in effect for December 2008) of 0.75 percent in the immediate annuity rate and are otherwise unchanged. For private-sector payments, the interest assumptions (set forth in Appendix C to part 4022) will be the same as those used by the PBGC for determining and paying lump sums (set forth in Appendix B to part 4022).

The PBGC has determined that notice and public comment on this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest. This finding is based on the need to determine and issue new interest assumptions promptly so that the assumptions can reflect current market conditions as accurately as possible.

Because of the need to provide immediate guidance for the valuation and payment of benefits in plans with valuation dates during January 2009, the PBGC finds that good cause exists for making the assumptions set forth in this amendment effective less than 30 days after publication.

The PBGC has determined that this action is not a "significant regulatory action" under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12866.

Because no general notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this amendment, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 does not apply. See 5 U.S.C. 601(2).

### List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 4022

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance, Pensions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

■ In consideration of the foregoing, 29 CFR part 4022 is amended as follows:

# PART 4022—BENEFITS PAYABLE IN TERMINATED SINGLE—EMPLOYER PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 4022 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 1302, 1322, 1322b, 1341(c)(3)(D), and 1344.

■ 2. In appendix B to part 4022, Rate Set 183, as set forth below, is added to the table.

Appendix B to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates For PBGC Payments

\* \* \* \* \*