

and the Tier I and Tier II Exemptions at 40 CFR part 725, subpart G.

B. What action is the agency taking?

Effective October 1, 2013, due to the lack of authorized funding (i.e., a Fiscal Year 2014 Appropriations Bill or a Continuing Resolution), certain EPA functions were suspended. During the shutdown, no review work was performed on the TSCA section 5 notifications received by EPA on or before October 1, 2013, and for which the review period had not yet expired as of October 1, 2013. Because of the shutdown, EPA is now extending, pursuant to TSCA sections 5(c) and 26(c) and 40 CFR 720.75(c), the review periods of all TSCA section 5 notifications received on or before October 1, 2013, and for which the review period has not yet expired as of October 1, 2013.

There is a possibility that the chemical substances submitted for review in these TSCA section 5 notifications may need to be regulated by EPA under TSCA. The Agency requires an extension of the review periods to complete its risk assessment, to examine its regulatory options, and to prepare the necessary documents, should regulatory action be required. Therefore, EPA has determined that good cause exists to extend, under TSCA section 5(c), the review period for each such TSCA section 5 notification.

The duration of this extension period is equivalent to the duration of the shutdown, i.e., equivalent to the number of days accruing from October 1, 2013, and the date on which this shutdown terminated on October 16, 2013, and EPA operations resumed on October 17, 2013, or a total of 16 days. Under TSCA section 5(c), the total extensions of the review period for an individual PMN shall in no event exceed 90 days. Thus, since the extension described in this notice is for less than 90 days, EPA reserves the right to issue additional extensions under TSCA section 5(c) in the future for good cause up to a total of 90 days.

Because of these circumstances, EPA is taking the following actions and is requesting the assistance of notifiers as described in this unit:

Category 1—TSCA section 5 notices and exemptions submitted to EPA on or after October 1, 2013, and before the date on which the affected operations resumed on October 17, 2013. During the shutdown, submissions made through e-PMN/CDX or other methods were not processed. Consequently, the review period for any TSCA section 5 notice submitted during the shutdown

did not begin until operations resumed on October 17, 2013.

Category 2—TSCA section 5 exemption notices scheduled to expire on or after October 1, 2013. For any exemption notices that have not been granted by EPA and for which the review period was scheduled to expire on or after October 1, 2013, EPA is hereby extending the notice review period by 16 days (the number of days equivalent to the duration of the shutdown). This additional time is required to ensure there is sufficient opportunity to determine that the chemical substances that are the subject of the exemption applications will not present an unreasonable risk.

Category 3—TSCA section 5 PMNs, MCANs, and SNUNs scheduled to expire on or after October 1, 2013. For any PMN, MCAN, or SNUN for which the review period was scheduled to expire on or after October 1, 2013, EPA is hereby extending the notice review period by 16 days (the number of days equivalent to the duration of the shutdown).

C. Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, generally provides that before the Agency can impose binding requirements like those contained in a rule, the Agency must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the document, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Although this document is not a rule, it is binding in the sense that the suspension of the review periods announced in this document are binding. EPA has submitted a report to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of this document in the **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Microbial Commercial Activity Notices, Microorganisms, Premanufacture Notices, Significant New Use Notices, Test Marketing Exemptions.

Dated: October 21, 2013.

Maria J. Doa,

Director, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 2013-25386 Filed 10-25-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0490; FRL-9902-06]

FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel; Notice of Cancellation

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Agency is issuing this notice to cancel the 3-day meeting of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act Scientific Advisory Panel (FIFRA SAP) to consider and review scientific uncertainties associated with corn rootworm resistance monitoring for Bt corn Plant Incorporated Protectants (PIPs) scheduled for October 30–November 1, 2013. The meeting was announced in the **Federal Register** on August 9, 2013. The meeting will be rescheduled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Jenkins, DFO, Office of Science Coordination and Policy (7201M), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (202) 564-3327; fax number: (202) 564-8382; email address: jenkins.fred@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting was announced in the **Federal Register** on August 9, 2013 (78 FR 48672, FRL-9394-3). This meeting will be rescheduled in the near future and announced in the **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: October 23, 2013.

David Dix,

Director, Office of Science Coordination and Policy.

[FR Doc. 2013-25572 Filed 10-24-13; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0485; FRL-9902-08]

FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel; Notice of Cancellation

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Agency is issuing this notice to cancel the 1-day meeting of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act Scientific Advisory Panel (FIFRA SAP) to consider and review RNAi Technology as a Pesticide:

Problem Formulation for Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment scheduled for October 29, 2013. The meeting was announced in the **Federal Register** of August 15, 2013. The meeting will be rescheduled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sharlene Matten, DFO, Office of Science Coordination and Policy (7201M), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: 202-564-0130; fax number: 202-564-8382; email address: Matten.sharlene@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting was announced in the **Federal Register** of August 15, 2013 (78 FR 49750) (FRL-9393-3). The meeting will be rescheduled in the near future. EPA will announce the rescheduled meeting in the **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: October 23, 2013.

David Dix,

Director, Office of Science Coordination and Policy.

[FR Doc. 2013-25568 Filed 10-24-13; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission Under Delegated Authority

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Federal Communications Commission invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s). Comments are requested concerning: whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents,

including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid OMB control number.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before December 27, 2013. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Submit your PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via fax at 202-395-5167 or via Internet at Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov and to Benish Shah, Federal Communications Commission, via the Internet at Benish.Shah@fcc.gov. To submit your PRA comments by email send them to: PRA@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Benish Shah, Office of Managing Director, (202) 418-7866.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060-1079.

Title: Section 15.240, Radio

Frequency Identification Equipment.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved.

Form No.: N/A

Respondents: Business or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 10 respondents; 20 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: 2 hour.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirements and recordkeeping requirements.

Obligation to Respond: Mandatory. Statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. sections 154(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f) and 303(r).

Total Annual Burden: 200 hours.

Total Estimated Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality.

Needs and Uses: The Commission will submit this expiring information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) after this 60 day comment period in order to obtain the three year clearance. The Commission is

requesting an extension (no change in reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements) of this information collection.

Section 15.240 requires each grantee of certification for Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) Equipment to register the location of the equipment/devices its markets with the Commission. The information that the grantee must supply to the Commission when registering the device(s) shall include the name, address and other pertinent contact information of users, the geographic coordinates of the operating location, and the FCC identification number(s) of the equipment. The improved RFID equipment could benefit commercial shippers and have significant homeland security benefits by enabling the entire contents of shipping containers to be easily and immediately identified, and by allowing a determination of whether tampering with their contents has occurred during shipping.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 2013-25347 Filed 10-25-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Information Collections Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission; Comments Requested

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Federal Communications Commission invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collections. Comments are requested concerning: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of