collection request; Abstract: Instructors will be asked to complete a questionnaire before the course begins. In most cases, it will be specified in the instructor's contract that they complete the questionnaire. This survey instrument contains six questions, most requiring only a simple fill-in-the blank response. Data and information from this questionnaire will establish a baseline of the instructor's expectations and intentions to be used in measuring changes at the end of the course. Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are instructors who lead training sessions sponsored by the U.S. Institute. Burden Statement: It is estimated that the annual national public burden and associated costs will be approximately 33.3 hours and \$1,200, respectively. These values were calculated assuming that on average: (a) instructors require 10 minutes to complete the questionnaire; and (b) each year there are 200 instructors who work on training sessions sponsored by the U.S. Institute. Cost burden estimates assume: (a) there are no capital or startup costs for respondents, and (b) respondents' time is valued at \$36 hr.

(17) Training—Instructor Questionnaire, at the conclusion; New collection request; Abstract: When the course concludes, instructors will be asked to complete a questionnaire. In most cases, it will be specified in their contract that they complete this questionnaire. The survey instrument contains five questions, most requiring only a simple fill-in-the blank response. Data and information from this questionnaire will help establish a contextual baseline for evaluating survey data from the training participants. As well, this instrument is also intended to generate useful feedback on ways to improve the U.S. Institute's training projects. Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are instructors who lead training sessions sponsored by the U.S. Institute. Burden Statement: It is estimated that the annual national public burden and associated costs will be approximately 33.3 hours and \$1,200, respectively. These values were calculated assuming that on average: (a) instructors require 10 minutes to complete the questionnaire; and (b) each year there are 200 instructors who work on training sessions sponsored by the U.S. Institute. Cost burden estimates assume: (a) there are no capital or startup costs for respondents, and (b) respondents' time is valued at \$36 hr.

## Meeting Facilitation

U.S. Institute staff and contractors facilitate and provide leadership for

many public meetings, ranging from small group meetings to large public convenings of several hundred attendees. In order to maximize the probability that such meeting objectives will be accomplished, the meeting participants must both understand the objectives for the meeting, and perceive that the meeting was managed in a fair and efficient manner. This requires that the right facilitator run the meeting, and the right people attend the meeting.

(18) Meeting Facilitation—Meeting Attendees Questionnaire, at the conclusion of the process; New collection request; Abstract: Attendees at public meetings run by U.S. Institute staff or contractors will be asked to complete a voluntary questionnaire at the conclusion of the meeting. The questionnaire used in this case contains nine questions, two-thirds requiring only a simple fill-in-the blank response. Information from this questionnaire will help evaluate the effectiveness of individual facilitators and particular meeting process designs. Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are individuals who participate in these public meetings. Burden Statement: It is estimated that the annual national public burden and associated costs will be approximately 833.3 hours and \$22,500, respectively. These values were calculated assuming that on average: (a) meeting attendees require 10 minutes to complete the questionnaire; (b) the U.S. Institute conducts 100 public meetings each year; and (c) 50 people attend the average meeting. Cost burden estimates assume: (a) there are no capital or start-up costs for respondents; and (b) respondents' time is valued at \$27 hr.

Dated: December 18, 2001.

## Christopher L. Helms,

Executive Director, Morris K. Udall Foundation.

[FR Doc. 01–31587 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 6820-FN-P$ 

## **NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**

# DOE/NSF Nuclear Science Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

*Name:* DOE/NSF Nuclear Science Advisory Committee (1176).

Date and Time: Monday, Jan. 14, 2002 8 a.m.–6 p.m.; Tuesday, Jan. 15, 2002; 8 a.m.–6 p.m.

Place: Rm 585–II 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Contact Person: Dr. Bradley D. Keister, Program Director for Nuclear Physics, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone (703) 292–7380.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning the scientific programs of the NSF and DOE in the area of basic nuclear physics research.

Dated: December 19, 2001.

#### Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–31640 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Biweekly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations

(Note: The publication date for this notice will change from every other Wednesday to every other Tuesday, effective January 8, 2002. The notice will contain the same information and will continue to be published biweekly.)

### I. Background

Pursuant to Public Law 97-415, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or NRC staff) is publishing this regular biweekly notice. Public Law 97–415 revised section 189 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), to require the Commission to publish notice of any amendments issued, or proposed to be issued, under a new provision of section 189 of the Act. This provision grants the Commission the authority to issue and make immediately effective any amendment to an operating license upon a determination by the Commission that such amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, notwithstanding the pendency before the Commission of a request for a hearing from any person.

This biweekly notice includes all notices of amendments issued, or proposed to be issued from December 3, 2001 through December 14, 2001. The last biweekly notice was published on December 12, 2001 (66 FR 64284).

## Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the following amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation