rate for PRC exporters who received a separate rate in a prior segment of the proceeding will continue to be the rate assigned in the most recent segment of the proceeding during which they were reviewed; (3) the cash deposit rate for the PRC-wide entity (i.e., all other exporters, which have not been reviewed) will continue to be 27.71 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and in the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO material or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanctions.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 23, 2001.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memorandum

Comments and Responses

- Excluding aberrational data from the Indian import data used in valuing wooden tool handles
- 2. Use of *TEDDY* for Indian electricity surrogate values

[FR Doc. 01–27165 Filed 10–26–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board

AGENCY: Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee

Meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board (DSB) Task Force on Aircraft Carriers of the Future will meet in closed session on October 22-23, 2001; November 5-7, 2001: November 15-16, 2001: December 11-12, 2001; January 16-17, 2001; February 21-22, 2002; and March 13-14, 2002. All meetings will be held at Strategic Analysis Inc., 3601 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22201, with the exception of the November 5-7 and November 15-16 meetings, which will be held in San Diego, CA. The Task Force will assess how aircraft carriers should serve the nation's defense needs in the 21st Century and beyond.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Task Force will examine the expected naval environment and the role of the Navy for the next 20-50 years; the role of the carrier and the carrier battle group in a joint environment in which technology has progressed at an appropriate pace for both the U.S. and its potential adversaries; the effects of Unmanned Combat Air Vehicles on the role of the carrier and the carrier battle group; how the carrier should evolve or be transformed to best meet mission requirements in a joint environment; how the role of the aircraft carrier might change and the characteristics that might affect the change; and the technology improvement barriers that need to be overcome to significantly improve the ability of the carrier to execute its missions.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. No. 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II), it has been determined that these DSB Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), and that accordingly these meetings will be closed to the public.

Dated: October 23, 2001.

L. M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 01–27064 Filed 10–26–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Acting Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 28, 2001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Acting Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.