

Estimated Number of New Respondents (per year for succeeding year): 30.

Estimated Number of Existing Respondents—for updating (per year for succeeding year): 125.

Respondent Time Burden Estimates:

Estimated Time per New Response: 150 minutes (2.5 hours).

Estimated Number of Updates (per year): 1, for 125 existing respondents.

Estimated Time for Update: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total First Extension Year Burden: 4500 minutes (75 hours) (30 new respondents); 1875 minutes (31.25 hours) (125 updates).

Estimated Total Subsequent Year Annual Burden: 4500 minutes (75 hours) (30 new respondents); 1875 minutes (31.25 hours) (125 updates).

*Respondent Cost Burden Estimates (at \$150. per hour *):* No capital or start-up costs.

Estimated Cost per Respondent (first extension year): \$375 (new respondents); \$38 (updates).

Estimated Cost per Respondent (subsequent year): \$375 (new respondents); \$38 (updates).

Estimated Total First Extension Year Burden: \$11,250 (new respondents); \$4,750 (updates).

Estimated Total Subsequent Year Annual Burden: \$11,250 (new respondents); \$4,750 (updates).

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information and transmitting information.

Authority: 20 U.S.C. 5601–5609.

Dated the 22nd day of August 2005.

Christopher L. Helms,

Executive Director, Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National Environmental Policy Foundation, and Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 05–16985 Filed 8–25–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-FN-P

* The actual cost estimate for this information collection may be less because this hourly rate is what is paid for services as a neutral and necessarily is set by the neutral to factor in time spent in the administration of the neutral's business and overhead costs. Indeed, fully burdened labor rates for "professional specialty and technical" occupations from Bureau of Labor Statistics tables indicate that an hourly rate as low as \$36 may be appropriate.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463 as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research (DMR) #1203.

Dates & Times: September 12, 2005, 1–5 p.m.; September 13, 2005, 8 a.m.–8 p.m.; September 14, 2005, 8 a.m.–3:30 p.m.

Place: Synchrotron Radiation Center, 3731 Schneider Drive, Stoughton, WI 53589.

Type of Meeting: Partially closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Guebre X. Tessema, Program Director, National Facilities Programs, Division of Materials Research, room 1080, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, telephone (703) 292–4935.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning a renewal proposal submitted to NSF for financial support for the Synchrotron Radiation Center at Wisconsin.

Agenda

Monday, September 12 (at PSL Conference Room)

- 1 p.m.–2 p.m. Closed—Executive Session
- 2 p.m.–6 p.m. Open—Tour of SRC, with User Presentations Welcome; Introduction; Discussion

Tuesday, September 13

8:30 a.m.–12:10 p.m. Open—Overview of Programs

12:10 p.m.–1 p.m. Closed—Executive Session

1 p.m.–5 p.m. Open—Review; User Research; Safety; Education and Outreach; Beamlines and Instrumentation; Plans for the Future; Budget

5 p.m.–6:30 p.m. Closed—Executive Session

Wednesday, September 14

8:30 a.m.–1:30 p.m. Closed—Meeting with Institutional Representatives; Review and Prepare Site Visit Report

Reason for Closing: The work being reviewed may include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt

under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: August 23, 2005.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 05–17039 Filed 8–25–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40–08838]

Notice of Withdrawal of License Amendment Request From the Department of the Army, U.S. Army Garrison, Rock Island Arsenal, Rock Island, IL

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of withdrawal of license amendment request by the Department of the Army (Army or licensee) for its Jefferson Proving Ground (JPG) site.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom McLaughlin, Project Manager, Decommissioning Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; Telephone: (301) 415–5869; fax number: (301) 415–5398; e-mail: tgm@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

On September 22, 2003, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Staff received a request from the Army for a license amendment that would create a 5-year renewable possession-only license (5-year POLA) for its JPG site. On October 28, 2003, the NRC Staff published a Notice of Consideration of Amendment Request for the Jefferson Proving Ground Site and Opportunity for a Hearing in the **Federal Register**. On May 25, 2005, the Army submitted a superseding license amendment request for an alternate schedule (alternate schedule request) for decommissioning JPG. On June 16, 2005, the Staff accepted the alternate schedule request for review. On June 27, 2005, the Staff published A Notice of Consideration of Amendment Request for an Alternate Decommissioning Schedule and Opportunity to Request a Hearing in the **Federal Register**. On July 19, 2005, the Army formally withdrew its request for a 5-year POLA for JPG. Thus, the Staff has discontinued its review of the 5-year POLA.