Dated: December 18, 2008.

#### Jeffrey Shuren,

Associate Commissioner for Policy and Planning.

[FR Doc. E8–30839 Filed 12–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

#### **Coast Guard**

[Docket No. USCG-2008-1229]

# **Chemical Transportation Advisory Committee**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice seeking public comments on MARPOL Reception Facilities.

**SUMMARY:** The Chemical Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC), through its Working Group on the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL) Annex, has been tasked with providing comment and recommendations to the U.S. Coast Guard for optimizing domestic MARPOL port reception facilities. CTAC is a committee formed under the authority of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. App. (Pub. L. 92-463). To assist and complement CTAC's efforts, the Coast Guard is hereby seeking comments from the public on MARPOL reception facilities in the U.S. The Coast Guard is specifically interested in identifying all issues that negatively impact MARPOL implementing regulations for port reception facilities; and recommendations to address those

CTAC Tasking: The original Task Statement that was provided to CTAC at the April 24, 2008 meeting in Washington, DC, included the following:

- 1. Provide comments and recommendations as necessary on: (To be completed by the Spring of 2009)
- Impact, if any, on MARPOL compliance caused by a variance in disposal costs;
- Impact, if any, on MARPOL compliance caused by vessels having to shift berths to complete transfers;
- Plan to document MARPOL reception facility services required and received through an advanced notice of arrival and departure report;
- Disposal of residues at other than those facilities receiving the cargo related to those residues. Vessels currently have limited information on availability of Annex I and Annex II facilities at subsequent ports of call;

• Level of consistency in disposal procedures in fulfillment of federal, state and local MARPOL waste disposal requirements as well as operational variances among facilities. For example, in fulfillment of state requirements, some facilities may request pre-identification of constituents in Annex I as well as Annex II residues. Additionally, facilities themselves have differing disposal procedures; and,

• Feasibility of simultaneous MARPOL and cargo transfers at every facility. According to vessel operators, some facilities prohibit simultaneous discharge of MARPOL residues and cargo transfers thereby causing delays.

2. Provide a final report in items listed above, a recommended way-ahead to implement any recommendations (e.g., proposed changes to MARPOL and/or domestic regulations) and the corresponding implementing language. (To be completed by the fall of 2009)

Seeking Public Comment: Possible areas of concern for stakeholders may include:

- Conflicts with other regulations;
- Disposal cost issues at ports/ terminals;
- Requirement for lab analysis of Annex I or II wastes;
  - Segregation of Annex V wastes; and
- Additional burden, if any, of adopting standardized Advance Notice Forms (ANF) and/or Waste Delivery Receipt (WDR) forms adopted by the International Maritime Organization.

Public comments that are received will assist and complement CTAC's efforts. CTAC's MARPOL Annex working group is scheduled to meet in February 2009. Comments must be received by January 31, 2009 in order to be considered.

ADDRESSES: The public may address comments via USPS, e-mail or FAX, to Mr. James Prazak, CTAC Chairman, C/O The Dow Chemical Company, 2301 N. Brazosport Blvd., B–122, Freeport, TX 77541–3257. FAX (979) 238–9737, E-mail: jprazak@dow.com. The Coast Guard requests that copies of comments be sent HQ, U.S. Coast Guard, CG–5442, ATTN: Commander Michael Roldan, 2100 Second Street, SW., Washington, DC 20593–0001. Fax: 202–372–1906, E-mail: luis.m.roldan@uscg.mil.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Commander Michael Roldan, telephone

202–372–1130, e-mail: luis.m.roldan@uscg.mil, or David Condino, MARPOL COA Project Manager, telephone 202–372–1145, e-mail: david.a.condino@uscg.mil.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice seeking public comment is given under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (Pub. L. 92–463).

Public Meeting: A separate Notice will be given regarding the next CTAC meeting at which time the Coast Guard will seek to discuss such public comments and the recommendations of CTAC. This will be a public meeting and instructions will be provided for those wishing to make oral presentations at the meeting and/or wishing to provide written comments.

Dated: December 19, 2008.

#### J. Lantz,

Director of Commercial Regulations and Standards.

[FR Doc. E8–30805 Filed 12–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

#### **Coast Guard**

[USCG-2004-19621]

#### Dry Cargo Residue Discharges in the Great Lakes; Preparation of Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent; request for comments; notice of public scoping meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard announces its intent to prepare a new Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the next phase of this rulemaking. The new EIS will tier off the first EIS, which was prepared in support of the interim rule published in September 2008. Under the interim rule, the discharge of bulk dry cargo residue is allowed to continue in limited areas of the Great Lakes and under certain conditions. The Coast Guard plans to issue a final rule that may modify the interim rule and add new conditions for discharges. The new EIS will support the final rule. This notice requests public comments and begins a public scoping process to help determine the scope of issues to be addressed in the new EIS.

DATES: Comments and related material must either be submitted to our online docket via http://www.regulations.gov on or before March 30, 2009 or reach the Docket Management Facility by that date. The public scoping meeting will be held on January 28, 2009, from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. Comments and related material must reach the Docket Management Facility on or before March 30, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** The public scoping meeting will be held at the Hotel Blake, 500 South Dearborn, Chicago, IL 60605. The

contact telephone number for the Hotel Blake is (312) 986–1234.

In addition to submitting written statements or making verbal comments at the public scoping meeting, you may submit comments identified by docket number USCG-2004-19621 using any one of the following methods:

- (1) Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.
  - (2) Fax: 202–493–2251.
- (3) Mail: Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- (4) Hand delivery: Same as mail address above, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

To avoid duplication, please use only one of these methods. For instructions on submitting comments, see the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If

you have questions regarding this notice, please contact Mr. Greg Kirkbride, U.S. Coast Guard, telephone 202–372–1479, e-mail *Gregory.B. Kirkbride@uscg.mil.* If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Ms. Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Public Participation and Request for Comments

We encourage you to submit comments and related material during the public scoping process. All comments received will be posted, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov and will include any personal information you have provided.

Submitting comments: If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this notice (USCG–2004–19621) and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation. You may submit your comments and material online, or by fax, mail or hand delivery, but please use only one of these means.

To submit your comment online, go to http://www.regulations.gov, select the Advanced Docket Search option on the right side of the screen, insert "USCG—2004—19621" in the Docket ID box, press Enter, and then click on the balloon shape in the Actions column. If you submit your comments by mail or

hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 81/2 by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period.

Viewing the comments: To view the comments go to http:// www.regulations.gov, select the Advanced Docket Search option on the right side of the screen, insert USCG-2004-19621 in the Docket ID box, press Enter, and then click on the item in the Docket ID column. If you do not have access to the internet, you may view the docket online by visiting the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. We have an agreement with the Department of Transportation to use the Docket Management Facility.

Privacy Act: Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act, system of records notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008 issue of the Federal Register (73 FR 3316).

#### **Public Scoping Meeting**

If you need special arrangements, please use the contact information in FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. The meeting will start with an overview presentation, followed by a formal public comment period. Following the formal public comment period, we will hold an informal open house. At the open house, Coast Guard personnel will be available to provide more information about the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Coast Guard rulemaking processes, and dry cargo residue discharges. A court reporter will be present during both the formal public comment period and the informal open house to record verbal comments from the public. The public will also be able to submit written comments related to this rulemaking at any time during the meeting. Verbal comments will be recorded and transcribed, and the transcription will be placed in the public docket along with any written statements that may be submitted during the meeting. These comments and statements will be

addressed by the Coast Guard as part of the tiered Environmental Impact Statement.

#### **Background and Purpose**

Bulk dry cargo vessels on the Great Lakes sometimes wash the residue of non-hazardous and non-toxic cargo, like taconite (iron ore) pellets, coal, and grain, overboard. This "sweeping," or discharge, of dry cargo residue (DCR) is allowed, under certain conditions, by 33 CFR 151.66, as amended by an interim rule published on September 29, 2008 (73 FR 56492), which was supported by an EIS (the "first EIS").

The interim rule also announced the Coast Guard's intent to conduct a second phase of this rulemaking before issuing a final rule. In the second phase, we want to determine what additional regulatory changes, if any, should be imposed on DCR discharges to offset any potential long term impacts from this practice. Those additional changes could include, among other possible measures, the mandatory use of DCR control measures or adjustment to the geographical boundaries within which discharges are currently allowed. A tiered EIS (40 CFR 1508.28; hereinafter referred to as the "second EIS") will allow the Coast Guard to focus on these specific issues, while excluding those that were decided in the first phase of the rulemaking, in order to determine whether further adjustments to the interim rule are needed.

As required by 40 CFR 1501.7, a Council on Environmental Quality regulation that implements NEPA, this notice begins an early and open public "scoping process" for determining the scope of issues to be addressed in the second EIS. We invite public comment on our current plan for preparing the second EIS. Currently, we intend to:

- Conduct an inventory of shoreside facilities for types of control measures used when loading and unloading dry cargo to and from vessels and types of dry cargo handled.
- Conduct an inventory of vessels that carry DCR for types of control measures used on board the vessel when loading and unloading.
- Quantify the current amount of cargo residues on vessels, with and without control measures.
- Review and analyze vessel DCR reporting forms in order to quantify DCR discharge amounts by cargo type, vessel class, and control measure.
- Evaluate costs for implementing, operating, and maintaining vessel and shoreside DCR control measures.
- Update previous impact analyses of DCR discharge on water quality changes and DCR disposition.

We may modify this plan in light of public comment received during the scoping process. This information will be used as a basis for selecting the proposed action from alternatives under consideration. Analysis of this information may also be used to develop additional alternatives not listed below that can be considered.

#### Possible Alternatives

Alternatives currently being considered for future Coast Guard action include:

- Adopting the interim rule as a final rule without changes. This will allow the current level of DCR discharges to continue in limited areas of the Great Lakes and under certain conditions. For the purposes of our environmental review in this second EIS, this represents the "no-action" alternative;
- Adopting a final rule based on the interim rule, but with changes designed to reduce the potential environmental impact of DCR discharges. Possible changes would be specified and could include:
- Adoption of the mandatory use of DCR control measures;
  - Control measures on vessels, and/or
- Control measures at the loading and unloading facilities;
  - DCR quantity discharge limits;
- DCR quantity limits could be scaled according to vessel class, size and/or route length;
  - Cargo type discharge limits; or
- Additional restrictions on DCR discharge locations;
- Prohibit all DCR discharges in the Western Basin
- Zero-Discharge Alternative. This is not an exhaustive list of alternatives. We intend to be guided by data on DCR discharges and DCR control measures and by consideration of all public comments.

### **Scoping Process**

Public scoping is an early and open process for determining the scope of issues to be addressed in this second EIS and for identifying the issues related to the proposed action that may have a significant effect on the Great Lakes environment. The scoping process begins with publication of this notice and ends after the Coast Guard has:

- Invited the participation of Federal, State, and local agencies, any affected Indian tribe, and other interested persons;
- O The Coast Guard has requested the Environmental Protection Agency, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Marine Fisheries Service, the National Park Service, and the United States Army Corps of Engineers

to serve as cooperating agencies in the preparation of this second EIS. With this Notice of Intent, we are asking Federal, State, and local agencies with jurisdiction or special expertise with respect to environmental issues in the Great Lakes region, in addition to those we have already contacted, to formally cooperate with us in the preparation of this tiered EIS.

- Determined the scope and the issues to be analyzed in depth in the second EIS;
- From our first EIS, we have identified this preliminary list of environmental resources to receive attention in the second EIS:
  - Sediment physical structure
  - Protected and Sensitive Areas
  - Benthic Community
  - Invasive Species
  - Socioeconomic Resources
- Identified and eliminated from detailed study those issues that are not significant or that have been covered elsewhere (for example, we do not anticipate detailed study of the following environmental resources that we determined, in the first EIS, to have "no impact" from DCR discharges: fish and other pelagic organisms, waterfowl, and recreational or commercial fishing);
- Allocated responsibility for preparing the tiered EIS components;
- Indicated any related environmental assessments or environmental impact statements that are not part of the tiered EIS;
- Identified other relevant environmental review and consultation requirements, such as Coastal Zone Management Act consistency determinations, and threatened and endangered species and habitat impacts;
- Indicated the relationship between timing of the environmental review and other aspects of the application process; and
- Exercised our option under 40 CFR 1501.7(b) to hold the public scoping meeting announced in this notice.

Once the scoping process is complete, the Coast Guard will prepare a draft second EIS, and we will publish a **Federal Register** notice announcing its public availability. If you wish to be mailed or e-mailed the announcement of the second EIS's notice of availability, please contact the person named in **FOR** FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT or send a request to be added to our contact mailing list along with your name and mailing address or an e-mail address online, by fax, mail, or hand delivery according to the Submitting Comments instructions above. If you provide comments on this notice, we will automatically add your contact information to our contact mailing list

and you will automatically be sent an announcement of the draft second EIS's notice of availability. We will provide the public with an opportunity to review and comment on the draft second EIS. After the Coast Guard considers those comments, we will prepare the final second EIS and similarly announce its availability and solicit public review and comment.

Dated: December 19, 2008.

#### Jeffery G. Lantz,

Director of Commercial Regulations and Standards, U.S. Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. E8–30804 Filed 12–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### **Transportation Security Administration**

### Extension of Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review: Aircraft Operator Security

**AGENCY:** Transportation Security Administration, DHS.

**ACTION:** 30-day Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces that the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) has forwarded the Information Collection Request (ICR), OMB control number 1652-0003, abstracted below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval of an extension of the currently approved collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. TSA published a Federal Register notice, with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments, of the following collection of information on October 10, 2008, 73 FR 60310. TSA has implemented aircraft operator security standards at 49 CFR part 1544, which require each aircraft operator to which this part applies to adopt and implement a security program.

**DATES:** Send your comments by January 28, 2009. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security/TSA, and sent via electronic mail to oira\_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–6974.