

PUERTO RICO**San Juan Municipality**

Condado Vanderbilt Hotel, 1055 Ashford Ave., San Juan, 08001110

TENNESSEE**Marion County**

Hale's Bar Dam Powerhouse, 1265 Hale's Bar Rd., Halletown, 08001111

VIRGINIA**Clarke County**

Bear's Den Rural Historic District, Generally runs along both sides of ridge along parts of Raven Rocks and Blue Ridge Mtn. Rds., Bluemont, 08001112

Loudoun County

Arcola Slave Quarters, 24837 Evergreen Mills Rd., Arcola, 08001113

Bear's Den Rural Historic District, Generally runs along both sides of ridge along parts of Raven Rocks and Blue Ridge Mtn. Rds., Bluemont, 08001112

Sussex County

Glenview, 13098 Comans Well Rd., Stony Creek, 08001114

WISCONSIN**Columbia County**

Mills, Rob, Block, 109–111 S. Main St., Lodi, 08001115

In the interest of preservation the comment period for the following action has been shortened to three (3) days.

Request for MOVE has been made for the following resources:

VIRGINIA**Mathews County**

James, Thomas, Old, Store, Main and Maple Sts., Mathews Court House, 08000244

[FR Doc. E8–26092 Filed 10–31–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–640]

In the Matter of Certain Short-Wavelength Light Emitting Diodes, Laser Diodes and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision to Review and Remand in Part an Initial Determination of the Administrative Law Judge Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Amended Complaint

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review and remand in part the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ")

initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 33) granting complainant's motion to amend the amended complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel E. Valencia, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–1999. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 25, 2008, based on a complaint filed by Gertrude Neumark Rothschild of Hartsdale, New York ("Rothschild"). 73 FR 1575 (March 25, 2008). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain short-wavelength light emitting diodes, laser diodes and products containing the same by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,252,499. The original complaint, as supplemented, named numerous respondents.

On September 2, 2008, Complainant Rothschild filed a motion to amend the amended complaint and notice of investigation to add additional respondents and to clarify the products that are at issue in the investigation. On September 10, 2008, respondent Toshiba Corporation of Japan ("Toshiba") opposed this motion. On September 19, 2008, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 33) granting Rothschild's motion to amend the complaint. On September 22, 2008, proposed respondent Citizen Electronics Co., Ltd. of Japan ("Citizen") filed a motion for leave to intervene for the limited purpose of opposing Rothschild's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. Citizen's motion was not considered by the administrative law judge when he issued Order No. 33.

On October 1, 2008, respondent Toshiba and proposed respondent Citizen filed a joint petition for review of the administrative law judge's ID. On October 8, 2008, the Commission investigative attorney and Complainant Rothschild each filed a response to the joint petition.

On October 10, 2008, the Commission extended the deadline for determining whether to review the subject ID by fourteen (14) days to November 3, 2008.

Having examined *inter alia* the administrative law judge's ID, the joint petition for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review and remand the ID in part. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review the issue of whether Citizen was properly added as a respondent to the investigation in light of the fact that Citizen's response, which the Commission finds to have been timely filed, was not considered by the presiding administrative law judge. On review, the Commission directs the administrative law judge to consider Citizen's September 22, 2008 response to Complainant's motion to add Citizen to the investigation. The Commission takes no position on the merits of Citizen's response. The Commission has determined not to review any other issue decided in Order No. 33.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42(h).

Issued: October 28, 2008.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8–26068 Filed 10–31–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1023 (Review)]

Ceramic Station Post Insulators From Japan

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of a five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on imports of ceramic station post insulators from Japan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted a review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the