proposed based on those results. As per 351.221(b)(4), interested parties will have an opportunity to comment. The Department will issue its final results of review in accordance with the time limitations set forth in 19 CFR 351.216(e). All written comments must be submitted to the Department and served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.

During the course of this changed circumstances review, we will not change any cash deposit instructions on the merchandise subject to this changed circumstances review, unless a change is determined to be warranted pursuant to the final results of this review.

This notice is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221.

Dated: September 24, 2001.

#### Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–24505 Filed 9–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration [A-580-841]

Structural Steel Beams from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On August 6, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("Department") received a letter on behalf of the INI Steel Company ("INI"), formerly Inchon Iron and Steel Co., Ltd. ("Inchon"), notifying the Department that Inchon's corporate name has changed to INI Steel Company. INI requests that the Department initiate a changed circumstance administrative review to confirm that INI is the successor-in-interest to Inchon.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 1, 2001.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cheryl Werner or Rick Johnson, Import Adminstration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–2667 and (202) 482–3818, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

# **Background**

In an August 6, 2001, letter to the Department, INI Steel Company, formerly Inchon Iron and Steel Co., Ltd., notified the Department that as of August 1, 2001, Inchon's corporate name had changed to INI Steel Company. INI stated that its owners, management structure, production facilities, supplier relationships and customer base are to remain unchanged and unaffected by the adoption of the new corporate name. INI provided documentation to support this claim consisting of: the minutes of Inchon's July 27, 2001 shareholders' meeting where the name change was approved; the Inchon District Court's official certification of the name change registered on July 31, 2001; and INI's Business Registration Certificate issued on August 1, 2001 by the Inchon Tax Office.

# Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review include structural steel beams that are doubly-symmetric shapes, whether hotor cold-rolled, drawn, extruded, formed or finished, having at least one dimension of at least 80 mm (3.2 inches or more), whether of carbon or alloy (other than stainless) steel, and whether or not drilled, punched, notched, painted, coated or clad. These products include, but are not limited to, wideflange beams ("W" shapes), bearing piles ("HP" shapes), standard beams ("S" or "I" shapes), and M-shapes.

All products that meet the physical and metallurgical descriptions provided above are within the scope of this investigation unless otherwise excluded. The following products are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of this investigation: structural steel beams greater than 400 pounds per linear foot or with a web or section height (also known as depth) over 40 inches.

The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at

subheadings: 7216.32.0000, 7216.33.0030, 7216.33.0060, 7216.33.0090, 7216.50.0000, 7216.61.0000, 7216.69.0000, 7216.91.0000, 7216.99.0000, 7228.70.3040, 7228.70.6000. Although the HTSUS subjeadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

# Initiation of Changed Circumstance AD Review

At the request of INI, and in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) of the Act, and § 351.216 of the Department's regulations, the Department is initiating a changed circumstance review of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Korea to determine whether INI is the successorin-interest to Inchon Iron and Steel, Co., Ltd. In making successor-in-interest determinations, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) Management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. See e.g., Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 57 FR 20460, 20461 (May 13, 1992). While no single factor, or combination of factors, will necessarily be dispositive, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to its predecessor company if the resulting operations are essentially the same as the predecessor company. See e.g., id. and Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel; Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, 59 FR 6944, 6945 (February 14, 1994). Thus, if the evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as its predecessor, the Department will treat the new company as the successorin-interest to the predecessor.

The information submitted by INI shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review under 19 CFR 351.216. We will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty changed circumstances review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4) and 351.221(c)(3)(i), which will set forth the factual and legal conclusions upon which our preliminary results are based and a description of any action proposed based on those results. As per 351.221(b)(4), interested parties will have an opportunity to comment. The Department will issue its final results of review in accordance with the time limitations set forth in 19 CFR

351.216(e). All written comments must be submitted to the Department and served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.

During the course of this changed circumstances review, we will not change any cash deposit instructions on the merchandise subject to this changed circumstances review, unless a change it determined to be warranted pursuant to the final results of this review.

This notice is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221.

Dated: September 24, 2001.

# Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–24506 Filed 9–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# **International Trade Administration**

[A-580-601]

Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware From the Republic of Korea: Amended Final Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: We are amending our final results of the 1999 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on top-of-the-stove-stainless steel cooking ware from the Republic of Korea, published on August 29, 2001 (66 FR 45664), to reflect the correction of ministerial errors made in the final results. This correction is in accordance with section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.224 of the Department's regulations. The period covered by these amended final results of review is January 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2001.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Paige Rivas or Ron Trentham, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0651 or 482–6320, respectively.

# The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

# **Background**

On February 23, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the 1999 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on top-of-thestove stainless steel cooking ware from the Republic of Korea. The Department published the final results of review on August 29, 2001. See Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware From the Republic of Korea: Final Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 66 FR 45664 (August 29, 2001) (Final Results).

On August 30, 2001, we received timely allegations from Dong Won Metal Co., Ltd. (Dong Won) (a respondent) that the Department made ministerial errors in the final results of review regarding Dong Won. The petitioner did not submit any comments in reply to these ministerial error allegations.

# Scope of Review

The merchandise subject to this antidumping order is top-of-the-stove stainless steel cookware from Korea. The subject merchandise is all nonelectric cooking ware of stainless steel which may have one or more lavers of aluminum, copper or carbon steel for more even heat distribution. The subject merchandise includes skillets, frying pans, omelette pans, saucepans, double boilers, stock pots, dutch ovens, casseroles, steamers, and other stainless steel vessels, all for cooking on stove top burners, except tea kettles and fish poachers. Excluded from the scope of the order are stainless steel oven ware and stainless steel kitchen ware. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 7323.93.00 and 9604.00.00. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description remains dispositive.

The Department has issued several scope clarifications for this order. The Department found that certain stainless steel pasta and steamer inserts (63 FR 41545, August 4, 1998), certain stainless steel eight-cup coffee percolators (58 FR 11209, February 24, 1993), and certain stainless steel stock pots and covers are within the scope of the order (57 FR 57420, December 4, 1992). Moreover, as a result of a changed circumstances review, the Department revoked the order on Korea in part with respect to certain stainless steel camping ware (1) made of single-ply stainless steel having a thickness no greater than 6.0 millimeters; and (2) consisting of 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 quart saucepans without handles and with lids that also serve as fry pans (62 FR 3662, January 24, 1997).

# **Amendment of Final Results**

Comment 1

Dong Won states that the model matching programming language as applied for the final results for Dong Won fails to restrict the search for similar matches to products with the same "product type," as was the Department's clearly stated intent. According to Dong Won, as currently written, the Department's margin program model matching methodology instead allows U.S. models to be compared to third country models of any body type. Dong Won urges the Department to correct this significant ministerial error.

Department's Position: After a review of Dong Won's allegation, we agree with Dong Won and have corrected our model match program. See Calculation Memorandum dated September 24, 2001 for the corrections.

# Comment 2

Dong Won contends that, as in the preliminary results, the Department's final margin program contains a step in which the weighted-average third country selling expense data for matching models is merged with Dong Won's U.S. sales file. According to Dong Won, because the conversion of these expenses is done with a "data merge," the Department's SAS program incorrectly multiplies the wondenominated third country selling expenses by the exchange rate twice, thus significantly understating the value of DINLFTPT, CREDIT2T, DIRSEL2T, DINVCART, and PACKT for certain records.

Dong Won points out that this same clerical error was addressed in its administrative Case Brief. See Letter from Hogan & Hartson to the U.S. Department of Commerce, dated March 26, 2001. According to Dong Won, in response, the Department indicated in Comment 7 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Administrative Review of Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Cooking Ware from Korea; Final Results,