

(141) Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, on June 16, 2005, submitted amendments to the State Implementation Plan to control nitrogen oxide emissions from internal combustion engines in new rule Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) 3745-14-12. This rule adds stationary internal combustion engines to the list of sources in the Ohio NO_x SIP Call emission reduction program. Also, OAC 3745-14-01, General Provisions, is amended. This rule contains definitions used for the nitrogen oxides rules, expands the definition of NO_x budget unit, adds definitions for the internal combustion engine rule, amends definition associated with continuous emissions monitoring, and makes corrections to typographical errors. OAC 3745-14-05 Portions of this rule are amended to correctly line up with the changes made in the definitions section of the NO_x plan. Typographical errors are also corrected.

(i) *Incorporation by reference.* The following sections of the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) are incorporated by reference.

(A) OAC 3745-14-01, General Provisions, effective on May 07, 2005.

(B) OAC 3745-14-05, NO_x Allowance Allocations, effective on May 07, 2005.

(C) OAC 3745-14-12, Stationary Internal Combustion Engines, effective on May 7, 2005.

[FR Doc. E8-1797 Filed 2-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

43 CFR Part 3130

[WO-310-1310-PP-241A]

RIN 1004-AD78

Oil and Gas Leasing; National Petroleum Reserve—Alaska

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is amending its regulations at 43 CFR part 3130 pertaining to oil and gas resources in the National Petroleum Reserve—Alaska (NPR-A). The rule makes oil and gas administrative procedures in NPR-A consistent with Section 347 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005. The rule amends the administrative procedures for the efficient transfer, consolidation, segregation, suspension, and unitization of Federal leases in the NPR-A. The rule

also changes the way the BLM processes lease renewals, lease extensions, lease expirations, lease agreements, exploration incentives, lease consolidations, and termination of administration for conveyed lands in the NPR-A. Finally, the rule makes the NPR-A regulation on additional bonding consistent with the regulations that apply outside of the NPR-A.

DATES: This rule is effective March 5, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Further information or questions regarding this final rule should be addressed in writing to the Director (WO-300), Bureau of Land Management, 1849 C St., NW., Washington DC 20240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Noble, Chief, Energy Branch, the BLM's Alaska State Office at (907) 267-1429 or Ian Senio at the BLM's Division of Regulatory Affairs at (202) 452-5049. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may contact these persons through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individuals. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

II. Discussion of the Final Rule and Responses to Comments on the Proposed Rule

III. Procedural Matters

I. Background

Part 3130 of 43 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) contains the regulations that apply to oil and gas leasing in the NPR-A authorized under the Naval Petroleum Reserves Production Act of 1976, as amended (NPRPA), (42 U.S.C. 6501 *et seq.*).

On April 11, 2002 (67 FR 17866), the BLM published a final rule that applies to operations under Federal oil and gas leases in NPR-A and added a new subpart allowing the formation of oil and gas units in the NPR-A.

On August 8, 2005, the President signed the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPA of 2005) (Pub. L. 109-58). Section 347 of the EPA of 2005 amends the NPRPA. These amendments require that the BLM revise our existing regulations on:

- (A) Lease extensions and renewals;
- (B) Participation in oil and gas units;
- (C) Production allocation;
- (D) Termination of administration of conveyed mineral estate; and
- (E) Waiver, suspension, and reduction of rental or minimum royalty or reduction of the royalty rate.

On May 22, 2007, the BLM published a proposed rule to amend existing regulations pertaining to oil and gas resources in the NPR-A (72 FR 28636). This final rule is substantially the same as the proposed rule. However, the final rule differs in some respects from the proposed rule. Some changes are the result of public comment on the proposed rule, and others are to make the rule clearer and more consistent with the EPA of 2005.

II. Discussion of the Final Rule and Responses to Comments on the Proposed Rule

Section 3130.0-3 Authority

This final rule amends the authority section by adding a reference to the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (Pub. L. 109-58) in a new paragraph (d). We received no substantive comment on this section and it remains as proposed.

Section 3130.0-5 Definitions

The EPA of 2005 uses three terms that we also use in this final rule. All three terms are used in the provisions having to do with the methodology for allocating production among committed tracts in a unit in the NPR-A (see section 3137.23(g)). If the unit included non-Federal land, the methodology must take into account reservoir heterogeneity and area variation in reservoir producibility. This section of the rule defines the terms "production allocation methodology," "reservoir heterogeneity," and "variation in reservoir producibility" in a manner consistent with normal usage in the field. In the final rule we revised the definitions of "production allocation methodology" and "variation in reservoir producibility" based on a commenter's suggestions. The definition of "reservoir heterogeneity" remains as proposed.

One commenter suggested modifying the definition of "production allocation methodology" to make it clear that all production from a participating area would be allocated to committed tracts forming the participating area. We agree that the suggested modification provides added clarity and in the final rule revised the definition based on this comment.

The commenter also suggested changing the definition for "variation in reservoir producibility" by deleting the sentence, "This can be dependent on where the well penetrates the reservoir", and replacing it with "These differences can result from variations in the thickness of the reservoir, porosity, and the amount of connected pore space." We accept the comment and

have revised the definition in the final rule.

Section 3133.3 Under what circumstances will BLM waive, suspend, or reduce the rental or minimum royalty or reduce the royalty rate on my NPR-A lease?

The EPOA of 2005 addresses the circumstances under which the BLM would consider waiving, suspending, or reducing the rental or minimum royalty or reducing the royalty rate on an NPR-A lease. This rule amends the existing regulations by revising paragraphs (a) and (a)(2) to state that the BLM could waive, suspend, or reduce the rental or minimum royalty or reduce the royalty rate on an NPR-A lease if it was necessary to promote development or the BLM determined that the lease could not be successfully operated under the terms of the lease.

Also, as a result of changes made to the NPRPA by the EPOA of 2005, this rule changes existing paragraph (b) by requiring the BLM to consult with the State of Alaska and the North Slope Borough within 10 days of receiving an application for waiver, suspension, or reduction of rental or minimum royalty or reduction of the royalty rate. Under new paragraph (b), the BLM would not approve an application for these benefits (under § 3133.4) until at least 30 days after the consultation is completed.

This rule adds a new paragraph (c) to this section. Under this new paragraph, if a lease included land that was made available for acquisition by a regional corporation (as defined in 43 U.S.C. 1602) under Section 1431(o) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 3101 *et seq.*), the BLM would only approve a waiver, suspension, or reduction of rental or minimum royalty or a reduction of the royalty rate if the regional corporation incurred. This change is necessary because the EPOA of 2005 requires concurrence from the regional corporation prior to approval of these actions.

One commenter expressed support for the changes in section 3133.3 that allow the BLM to waive, suspend, or reduce the rental, royalty, or minimum royalty on an NPR-A lease if the BLM believes it is needed to promote development. The commenter believes that some exploration and development incentives will be necessary for the successful development of the NPR-A.

In the final rule we revised sections 3133.3 and 3133.4 to be consistent with the NPRPA and the EPOA of 2005. Both Acts specifically grant the Secretary the authority to waive, suspend, or reduce

the rental or minimum royalty, or to reduce the royalty rate on NPR-A leases. Neither Act grants the Secretary authority to waive or suspend the royalty on NPR-A leases, as the current and proposed regulations state, and the final rule makes this clear.

Section 3133.4 How do I apply for a waiver, suspension or reduction of rental or minimum royalty or a reduction of the royalty rate for my NPR-A lease?

Under this rule, existing paragraphs (a)(6) and (a)(7) have new requirements that an applicant who is applying for a waiver, suspension, or reduction of rental or minimum royalty or a reduction of the royalty rate demonstrate that the waiver, suspension, reduction of the rental or minimum royalty or a reduction of the royalty rate encourages the greatest ultimate recovery of oil or gas or it is in the interest of conservation, and all the facts demonstrate that the applicant cannot successfully operate the lease under its terms. These new requirements are the result of changes that the EPOA of 2005 made to NPRPA.

This rule also makes a minor editorial change to existing paragraph (a)(6) (new paragraph (a)(7)) by replacing "can't" with "cannot."

In addition to the revision discussed in section 3133.3, in the final rule we also revised section 3133.4(a)(5) by adding language from previous section 3133.4(a)(7) concerning providing to the BLM, as part of the application, the amount of overriding royalty and payments out of production or other similar interests applicable to the lease. While not specifically listed in the proposed rule, this information would have been required under section (a)(5) or (a)(8) of the proposed rule, but we have included it in the final rule to make it clear that this information is needed in order for BLM to complete an evaluation of the "expenses and costs" of operating the lease. The changes are not significant and do not change the meaning or effect of the regulations. We have also made a grammatical correction to proposed sections 3133.4(a)(6) and (a)(7) by deleting the second "that" in the first sentence of each section. These edits have no substantive effect on the regulation.

Section 3134.1-2 Additional Bonds

Changes to the existing paragraph (a) on additional bonding allow the BLM to require additional bonding for all NPR-A leases, not only leases in special areas, using the criteria of section 3104.5(b) of the existing regulations. This rule adds a cross reference to

existing section 3104.5(b), which allows the BLM to require an increase in the amount of any NPR-A lease bond if the BLM determined that the operator posed a risk due to factors, including, but not limited to:

(A) A history of previous violations;

(B) A notice from the Minerals Management Service (MMS) that there are uncollected royalties due; or

(C) The total cost of plugging existing wells and reclaiming lands exceeds the present bond amount based on the estimates determined by the BLM.

The previous regulations only allow the BLM to increase the bonding amount in the Special Areas as defined in the NPRPA. This rule allows the BLM to increase the bonding amount on all NPR-A leases and would make the NPR-A oil and gas regulations consistent with the regulations that currently apply to Federal oil and gas leases outside of the NPR-A. We received no substantive comment on this section and it remains as proposed.

Section 3135.1-4 Effect of Transfer of a Tract

This rule revises paragraph (a) of this section to make the existing provisions clearer. This would not change the meaning or intent of this paragraph.

This rule revises the provisions on segregation in paragraph (b) of this section by changing the standard that the BLM applies when determining if a segregated lease should continue in full force and effect. The existing standard is that a segregated lease remains in full force and effect if the BLM determines that oil and gas is being produced in paying quantities from that segregated portion of the lease area or so long as drilling or well reworking operations, either actual or constructive, are being conducted. The new standard is that a lease continues in full force and effect as long as the activities on the segregated lease support lease extension under the regulations in section 3135.1-5. That section is revised by this rule as well and it is discussed further below. We received no substantive comment on this section and it remains as proposed.

Section 3135.1-5 Extension of Lease

Existing regulations on lease extensions require that the BLM extend the term of a lease beyond its primary term so long as:

(A) Oil or gas is produced from the lease in paying quantities; or

(B) Drilling or reworking operations, actual or constructive, as approved by the BLM, are being conducted on the lease.

This rule adds a new condition to paragraph (a) of this section under

which the BLM would grant a lease extension in cases where the BLM has determined in writing that oil or gas is capable of being produced in paying quantities from the lease.

The rule amends existing paragraph (a) by breaking it into subparagraphs so that it is easier to read. The last sentence of paragraph (a) is rewritten to make it clear that the BLM approves drilling or reworking operations, actual or constructive, rather than the Secretary.

This rule also adds a new paragraph (b) to this section that explains that NPR-A leases expire on the 30th anniversary of the original issuance date of the lease unless oil or gas is being produced in paying quantities from the lease. The new paragraph further explains that if a lease contains a well that is capable of production, but the lease does not produce the oil or gas due to circumstances beyond the lessee's control, the lessee may apply for a suspension under section 3135.2. If the BLM approved the suspension, the lease would not expire on the 30th anniversary of the original issuance date of the lease. These changes are in response to changes to NPRPA made by the EAct of 2005.

This rule amends what is now paragraph (c) (paragraph (b) of the existing regulation) by making it clear that the directional wells discussed in that paragraph are the BLM-approved directional wells. This is a clarification of existing practice.

One commenter supported the proposed change to this section that provides for lease extensions based on a well that is capable of producing oil or gas in paying quantities. Another commenter suggested revising section 3135.1-5 to make it clear that leases that are part of a unit can be extended as described in existing subpart 3137. While it is true that leases committed to a unit can be extended under sections 3137.10 and 3137.111, we did not modify final section 3135.1-5 as the commenter suggested. We believe, as the commenter implies, that existing regulations address the issue of extensions of leases committed to a unit. The commenter was also concerned about how leases that are only partially within a unit may be extended. All portions of a lease have the same expiration date and benefit equally from extensions. If a lease is segregated, the segregated portion of the lease would likely have different lease terms than the "parent" lease. The regulations do not address segregation of leases as a result of unitization. If segregation is appropriate it is addressed in the unit agreement. If segregation occurs, sections 3135.1-4 through 3135.1-6

describe how the segregated, non-unit lease may be extended or renewed.

Section 3135.1-6 Lease Renewal

This rule would add a new section on lease renewals to the existing NPR-A regulations that is based on changes the EAct of 2005 made to the NPRPA. The EAct of 2005 and this section address lease renewals in two parts: those leases that have a discovery of hydrocarbons and those leases that do not have a discovery.

With a Discovery. Under this section, at any time after the fifth year of the primary term of a lease, the BLM could approve a 10-year lease renewal for a lease on which there has been a well drilled and a discovery of hydrocarbons, even if the BLM had determined that the well is not capable of producing oil or gas in paying quantities. Under this section the BLM must receive the lessee's application for lease renewal no later than 60 days prior to the expiration of the primary term of the lease.

This section requires that the renewal application provide evidence, and a certification by the lessee, that the lessee has discovered oil or gas on the leased lands in such quantities that a prudent operator would hold the lease for potential future development.

Under this section, the BLM approves applications if it determines that a discovery was made and that a prudent operator would hold the lease for future development. The BLM may approve the lease renewal on the condition that the lessee drills one or more additional wells or acquires and analyzes more well data, seismic data, or geochemical survey data prior to the end of the primary term of the lease.

Under this section lease renewals are effective on the day following the end of the primary term of the lease.

Without a Discovery. Under this section, at any time after the fifth year of the primary term of a lease, the BLM could approve an application for a 10-year lease renewal for a lease on which there has not been a discovery of oil or gas. The BLM must receive the lessee's application no later than 60 days prior to the expiration of the primary term of the lease.

Under this rule, the renewal application must:

(A) Provide sufficient evidence that the lessee has diligently pursued exploration that warrants continuation of the lease with the intent of continued exploration or future potential development of the leased land. The application must show the lessee has drilled one or more wells or acquired seismic or geochemical data indicating a probability of future success, and the

application must include a plan for future exploration; or

(B) Show that all or part of the lease is part of a unit agreement covering a lease that qualifies for renewal without a discovery and that the lease has not been previously contracted out of the unit.

Under this section the BLM approves renewal applications if it determines that the application satisfied the requirements of paragraph (b)(2)(A) or (B) of this section. If the BLM approved the application for lease renewal, the applicant would be required to submit to the BLM a fee of \$100 per acre within 5 business days of receiving notification of the renewal approval.

Lease renewals are effective on the day following the end of the primary term of the lease. The BLM may approve the lease renewal on the condition that the lessee drills one or more additional wells or acquires and analyzes more well data, seismic data, or geochemical survey data prior to the end of the primary term of the lease.

The renewed lease is subject to the terms and conditions applicable to new oil and gas leases issued under the Integrated Activity Plan in effect on the date that the BLM issues the decision to renew the lease.

One commenter supported the renewal provisions in section 3135.1-6, but suggested defining the term "discovery" and offered a definition. We did not define the term "discovery" in the final rule based on this comment. We believe section 3135.1-6(a)(2) adequately describes what is necessary for the BLM to consider a request for lease renewal "with a discovery." We did revise this section to indicate that the discovery well(s) could be drilled by the lessee or the operator. Under this final rule, discovery wells must be drilled on the lease after lease issuance. This makes it clear that the wells can be drilled by the lessee as operator or another operator designated by the lessee.

Section 3135.1-7 Consolidation of Leases

This rule revises the consolidation provisions in existing regulations having to do with the term of a consolidated lease. Under the existing regulations, the term of a consolidated lease is extended beyond the primary term of the lease only as long as oil or gas is produced in paying quantities or approved constructive or actual drilling or reworking operations are conducted on the lease. Under paragraph (d) of this rule, the term of consolidated leases are extended or renewed, as appropriate, under the extension or renewal

provisions of the regulations. The change recognizes that the new standards in the extension and renewal provisions of this rule apply to consolidated leases.

This rule amends paragraph (e) of the existing regulation by making it clear that the highest of the royalty or rental rates of any original lease apply to the consolidated lease. This is consistent with existing policy and practice.

In the final rule we revised section 3135.1–7(e). The proposed rule stated that “The highest of the royalty or rental rates of any original lease shall apply to the consolidated lease.” The final rule says “The highest royalty and rental rates of the original leases shall apply to the consolidated lease.” The revision makes the final rule clearer, but has no effect on the intent of the proposed rule.

Section 3135.1–8 Termination of Administration for Conveyed Lands and Segregation

This rule adds a new section concerning the waiver of administration for conveyed lands in a lease. This new section is necessary because of changes that the EAct of 2005 made to the NPRPA. Under this new section, the BLM is required to terminate administration of any oil and gas lease if all of the mineral estate is conveyed to a regional corporation. The regional corporation would then assume the lessor’s obligation to administer any oil and gas lease.

This section explains that if a conveyance of the mineral estate does not include all of the land covered by an oil and gas lease, the lease would be segregated into two leases, one of which will cover only the mineral estate conveyed. The regional corporation would assume administration of the lease within the conveyed mineral estate.

Under this rule, if the regional corporation assumed administration of a lease under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section, all lease terms, the BLM regulations, and the BLM orders in effect on the date of assumption would continue to dictate the lessee’s obligations under the lease.

All such obligations will be enforceable by the regional corporation as the lessor until the lease terminates.

In a case in which a conveyance of a mineral estate described in paragraph (b) of this section does not include all of the land covered by the oil and gas lease, a person who owns part of the mineral estate covered by the lease is entitled to the revenues associated with its mineral rights, including all royalties resulting from oil and gas produced from or allocated to that part of the

mineral estate. We received no substantive comment on this section and with the exception of replacing “Arctic Slope Regional Corporation” and “ASRC” with “regional corporation” (see the discussion of final section 3137.11 for an explanation of this change), it remains as proposed.

Section 3137.5 What terms do I need to know to understand this subpart?

This rule makes one change to the definition of “participating area” by replacing the word “contain” with the phrase “are proven to be productive by.” Existing regulations imply that every committed tract within a participating area must contain a well that meets the productivity criteria specified in the unit agreement. The rule makes it clear that the participating area consists of tracts that have been proven productive by a well meeting the productivity criteria, but that not every committed tract in the participating area would necessarily contain a well meeting the productivity criteria. We received no substantive comment on this section and it remains as proposed.

Section 3137.11 What consultation must BLM perform if lands in the unit area are owned by a regional corporation or the State of Alaska?

This rule adds a new section on consultation if lands in a unit are owned by a regional corporation or the State of Alaska. This section is based on changes that the EAct of 2005 made to the NPRPA. The new section requires that if the BLM administers a unit containing tracts where the mineral estate is owned by a regional corporation or the State of Alaska, or if a proposed unit contains tracts where the mineral estate is owned by a regional corporation or the State of Alaska, the BLM will consult with and provide opportunities for participation with respect to the creation or expansion of the unit by:

(A) A regional corporation, if the unit acreage contains the regional corporation’s mineral estate; or

(B) The State of Alaska, if the unit acreage contains the state’s mineral estate.

The EAct of 2005 requires that the BLM provide opportunity for participation by the State of Alaska or the regional corporation in the creation and expansion of units if those units include acreage in which the State of Alaska or the regional corporation has an interest in the mineral estate. If a proposed oil and gas unit included lands where one or both of these entities owned an interest in the mineral estate, the BLM will require the unit proponent to allow the State of Alaska and/or the

regional corporation to participate in the negotiations of the unit agreement terms and the unit agreement area. This allows the State of Alaska and the regional corporation to protect their interests in the unit agreement before they commit their tracts to the unit.

Similarly, if a unit expansion is proposed, and the existing unit or the acreage included in the expansion included lands in which the State of Alaska or a regional corporation owned a mineral interest, the State of Alaska or the regional corporation will participate in the negotiation of the terms of the expanded unit and in the determination of the expanded unit area.

“Participation” in this case does not mean sharing of revenues or production. Instead, the term means participation by the regional corporation or the state, as applicable, in the process of government oversight, through consultation, of the unit’s creation or expansion.

The BLM received two comments addressing proposed section 3137.11. One commenter suggested that the BLM should incorporate language in the regulations that would give the BLM the option to request that the regional corporation and/or the State of Alaska join the unit agreement, as negotiated by the BLM, if the non-federal ownership comprises less than 10% of the surface acreage of the proposed unit. We made no changes to the final rule as a result of this comment. The EAct of 2005 requires the BLM to provide non-federal entities opportunities for participation in the creation and expansion of units and does not condition this requirement on the percentage of lands involved.

Another commenter noted that this “opportunity for participation” has the potential to complicate unit negotiations, but conceded that this would be the case with any unit agreement involving multiple mineral owners. We agree that having more parties participating in negotiating the initial terms of a unit agreement or the modified terms necessary to expand a unit has the potential to complicate negotiations, but we made no changes to the final rule as a result of this comment. The EAct of 2005 created a statutory requirement for a process that would have been necessary in almost any case. While it is the BLM’s responsibility to consult with and provide non-federal mineral owners an opportunity to participate in unit negotiations involving the creation and expansion of units, it will be the responsibility of the proposed unit operator to propose terms in the unit agreement that are acceptable to the mineral interests involved if commitment of those mineral interests

is necessary for the unit operator to have effective control of unit operations. The BLM will not approve a unit unless the proposed unit operator has sufficient commitment of mineral interests to demonstrate effective control of the unitized area. At any point after the non-federal mineral owners have had the opportunity to negotiate unit terms, the BLM will review the agreement, if it is submitted by a qualified unit operator. The BLM will approve the unit agreement if the unit operator will have effective control of the unit area, it is in the interest of conservation of the natural resources, it is determined to be necessary or advisable in the public interest, it meets all mandatory terms described in these regulations, and it complies with all special conditions that may be in effect for the NPR-A.

The same commenter requested clarification as to who would be the administrator of a unit agreement and suggested that the rule state that the BLM will be the administrator of a unit if a well drilled on a BLM lease leads to the application for a unit. The location of the initial well or well leading to the application for a unit does not determine who will administer the unit and we did not revise this section as a result of this comment. If the BLM approves a unit, the BLM will be the administrator of the unit and subpart 3137 will apply. The BLM can also commit lands to a unit administered by the State and/or regional corporation as provided for in section 3137.15.

One commenter suggested that all references to "Arctic Slope Regional Corporation" be changed to "regional corporation" to conform to other references in the regulations. We agree and have made these changes in the final rule.

Section 3137.21 *What must I include in an NPR-A unit agreement?*

The rule makes one minor change to section 3137.21(a)(3) by replacing the word "proposed" with the word "anticipated." Existing regulations assume that in all cases the applicant would be in a position to propose the participating area size and well locations at the application stage. The wording change recognizes that at the early application stage in the process an applicant may not be able to propose the participating area size or anticipated well locations. Using the word "anticipated" instead of "proposed" better reflects on-the-ground circumstances.

This rule adds a new paragraph (a)(5) to this section that requires unit agreements that contain the regional corporation's mineral estate or the

state's mineral estate to acknowledge that, with respect to those two entities, the BLM consulted with them and provided opportunities for participation in the creation of the unit and that the BLM will consult with them and provide opportunities for participation in the expansion of the unit, as appropriate. Existing regulations do not contain this consultation requirement, which is now necessary due to changes to NPRPA made by the EPAct of 2005.

This rule also makes a minor editorial change to existing paragraph (a)(5) (renumbered paragraph (a)(6)) by adding "that" between "subpart" and "you."

We received one comment on section 3137.21. The commenter wanted to confirm that, by approving the unit agreement, the BLM would be simultaneously ratifying the statement required by section 3137.21(a)(5), (i.e., acknowledgement that the BLM consulted with and provided opportunities to the State of Alaska and/or the regional corporation for participation in the creation of the unit and that the BLM will consult with and provide opportunities to the State of Alaska and/or the regional corporation for participation in the expansion of the unit when state and/or regional corporation mineral estate is involved). We did not revise the final rule as a result of this comment, but we agree with the commenter that, by approving the unit agreement, the BLM would be confirming that the requirements of section 3137.21(a)(5) have been met.

Section 3137.23 *What must I include in my NPR-A unitization application?*

This rule adds to the existing regulation a provision requiring in the unit application a discussion of the proposed methodology for allocating production among the committed tracts. If the unit includes non-Federal oil and gas mineral estate, new paragraph (g) requires that the application explain how the methodology would take into account reservoir heterogeneity and area variation in reservoir producibility. These changes are necessary because of changes that the EPAct of 2005 made to the NPRPA. Also, as discussed earlier, the terms "reservoir heterogeneity" and "variation in reservoir producibility" are defined in section 3130.0-5 of this rule. We received no substantive comment on this. We made one grammatical change to this section by revising existing paragraph (d) to make it grammatically correct.

Section 3137.41 *What continuing development obligations must I define in a unit agreement?*

This rule amends the section on continuing development obligations by requiring that a unit agreement provide for the submission of supplemental or additional plans of development which obligate the operator to a program of exploration and development. The existing regulations require that the unit agreement actually obligate the operator to a program of exploration and development. The change recognizes that at the early stages of a unit agreement, an operator would not be able to identify the program of exploration and development and therefore it might not be possible for an operator to commit to one at that time. The rule allows an operator to submit plans of development later in the process, allowing the operator to collect additional data prior to requiring the operator to obligate itself to a program of exploration and development. We received no substantive comment on this section and it remains as proposed.

Section 3137.80 *What are participating areas and how do they relate to the unit agreement?*

This rule makes two changes to this section. The first change revises paragraph (a) of the section by replacing "that contain" with "that are proven to be productive by." The existing regulations imply that every committed tract within a participating area must contain a well that meets the productivity criteria specified in the unit agreement. The revision makes it clear that a participating area contains committed tracts in a unit area that are proven to be productive by a well meeting the productivity criteria specified in the unit agreement, but that not every committed tract in the participating area would necessarily contain a well meeting the productivity criteria.

The second change this rule makes is to paragraph (b) of this section. Under the new rule, an applicant is required to include "a description of the anticipated participating area(s) size in the unit agreement" rather than merely stating that the unit area "contain" a well meeting the productivity criteria (see existing section 3137.80(a)). This change makes it clear that the application must contain a description of the anticipated participating area size. We received no substantive comment on this section and it remains as proposed.

Section 3137.81 What is the function of a participating area?

The rule revises paragraph (a) of this section by changing how the BLM allocates production, for royalty purposes, to each committed tract within the participating area. Under existing regulations, the BLM allocates to each committed tract within the participating area in the same proportion as that tract's surface acreage in the participating area to the total acreage in the participating area. Under this rule, the BLM allocates production for royalty purposes to each committed tract within the participating area using the allocation methodology agreed to in the unit agreement (see section 3137.23(g)). This change allows for variations in the reservoir geology and producibility when calculating allocations for royalty purposes. We received no substantive comment on this section and it remains as proposed.

Section 3137.85 What is the effective date of a participating area or modified allocation schedule?

This rule revises paragraph (b) of this section by changing how the BLM determines the effective date of a modified participating area or modified allocation schedule. Under existing regulations, the effective date of a modified participating area or modified allocation schedule is the earlier of the first day of the month in which you: (1) Complete a new well meeting the productivity criteria; or (2) Should have known you need to revise the allocation schedule. Under this rule, the effective date of a modified participating area or allocation schedule is the earlier of the first day of the month in which you file a proposal for modification or such other date as may be provided in the unit agreement. It has been common practice with oil and gas units administered by the State of Alaska to allow for an earlier effective date when participating areas or allocation schedules are modified.

The rule allows the BLM to approve an earlier effective date of the participating area, if it is warranted, consistent with the approach that the State of Alaska takes. Under this rule, rather than just determining a fair, current allocation of a revised participating area, the BLM is able to approve an effective date back in time. This allows corrections of past, errant allocations rather than just moving forward with a fair allocation from the time new information is acquired. This method of "backward-looking" reallocation creates a greater administrative workload for the BLM

and the MMS, but it is the superior approach because it allows for corrections of allocations that were incorrect and helps to ensure that parties to the unit are treated equitably. We received no substantive comment on this section and it remains as proposed.

Section 3137.111 When will BLM extend the primary term of all leases committed to a unit agreement or renew all leases committed to the unit?

This rule revises this section by adding lease renewals to this section and referencing the rule governing extensions (43 CFR 3135.1–5). The EPAct of 2005 addresses lease renewals and provides for a renewal fee of \$100 per acre for each lease in the unit that is renewed without a discovery under 43 CFR 3135.1–6 of this rule. Renewals are addressed under 43 CFR 3135.1–6 of this rule. This section incorporates those changes in this section of the NPR–A unit regulations. As a result of these changes and because the EPAct of 2005 addresses extensions and lease renewals, existing section 3137.111 is superseded by the statutory provisions that this rule implements. We received no substantive comment on this section and it remains as proposed.

Section 3137.131 What happens if the unit terminated before the unit operator met the initial development obligations?

Section 3137.134 What happens to committed leases if the unit terminates?

These two existing sections address what happens to leases in a unit in the event a unit terminates. This rule revises these sections by adding the option of a lessee applying for a renewal upon unit termination and by adding a cross-reference to the lease renewal provisions in these final regulations. We received no substantive comments on these sections, but made minor changes to the final rule to make it clear that it is not enough to qualify for extension or renewal but that the BLM had to have granted the extension or renewal.

III. Procedural Matters

Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review

In accordance with the criteria in Executive Order 12866, this rule is not a significant regulatory action. The Office of Management and Budget makes the final determination under Executive Order 12866.

a. This rule will not have an annual economic effect of \$100 million or adversely affect an economic sector, productivity, jobs, the environment, or other units of government (see below).

A cost-benefit and economic analysis is not required.

b. This rule will not create inconsistencies with other agencies' actions. These rule changes are administrative in nature and will not effect other agencies' actions. There are provisions in the rule that require the BLM to consult with or request concurrence from the state, North Slope Borough, or the regional corporation before approving certain actions. These provisions are to the benefit of these other agencies because they help ensure that their rights are protected. These provisions will more than likely help ensure that the actions taken under this rule would not create inconsistencies with those agencies' actions.

c. This rule will not materially affect entitlements, grants, user fees, loan programs, or the rights and obligations of their recipients. The one fee this rule implements (lease renewals without a discovery) is a per-acre fee mandated by Congress. As stated below, when compared to the scope and cost of operations in NPR–A, this fee is not significant.

d. This rule will not raise novel legal or policy issues. All of the NPR–A oil and gas regulation changes that this rule implements are currently addressed similarly in other existing BLM regulations or policies.

The following discusses the potential impacts of the rule changes:

Waiver, Suspension, or Reduction of the Rental or Minimum Royalty or Reduction of the Royalty Rate

The rule adds a provision that allows the BLM to waive, suspend, or reduce the rental or minimum royalty or reduce the royalty rate on an NPR–A lease if it is necessary to promote development or the BLM determines that the lease can not be successfully operated under the terms of the lease. The BLM will not allow for any of these to take place unless it is necessary to promote development or if we determine that the lease can not be successfully operated under the terms of the lease.

Operators will benefit from this provision since they will be able to continue to operate their leases. The Federal Government will benefit since producible wells will not be shut in and the Federal Government will continue to receive revenue from wells that might otherwise be shut in, which may result in waste of Federal oil and gas. Furthermore, since this provision may reduce the risk of investment to lessees, it may result in higher bonus bids for new leases. State, local and tribal governments and communities will be positively affected since wells that

would under other circumstances be shut in, will continue to produce, providing jobs and revenues to local areas. Any impacts on the economy, productivity, competition or jobs are anticipated to be positive, but they are too speculative to predict.

Also, as a result of changes made to the NPRPA by the EAct of 2005, the rule changes existing regulations by requiring the BLM to consult with the State of Alaska and the North Slope Borough within 10 days of receiving an application for waiver, suspension, or reduction of rental or minimum royalty or reduction of royalty. This provision could increase costs slightly for the BLM, the State of Alaska, and the North Slope Borough because under this rule these parties will be involved in consultation that is currently not required. However, consultation will help ensure that the rights of the state and the North Slope Borough are protected.

The rule adds a new provision to the regulations stating that if a lease includes land that is made available for acquisition by a regional corporation under the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, the BLM will only approve a waiver, suspension, or reduction of rental or minimum royalty or a reduction of the royalty rate if the regional corporation concurs. This change is necessary because the EAct requires concurrence from the regional corporation prior to approval of these actions. Concurrence by the regional corporation is not currently required. Therefore, this provision could minimally increase administrative costs for the Federal Government and for the regional corporation; however, requiring concurrence would help ensure that the rights of the regional corporation are protected.

Additional Bonding

Changes to the bonding regulations allow the BLM to require additional bonding under certain circumstances. The existing regulations only allow BLM to increase the bonding amount in the Special Areas as defined in the NPRPA. This rule allows the BLM to require an increase in the amount of an NPR-A lease bond for any NPR-A lease if the BLM determines that the operator poses a risk due to factors, including, but not limited to:

(A) A history of previous violations;
(B) A notice from the MMS that there are uncollected royalties due; or

(C) The total cost of plugging existing wells and reclaiming lands exceeds the present bond amount based on the estimates determined by the BLM.

The rule change makes the existing regulations on bonding of NPR-A leases consistent with the Mineral Leasing Act regulations that currently apply to Federal oil and gas leases outside of the NPR-A. The BLM has used this authority on lands leased under the Mineral Leasing Act. The increases have most often been based on the significant liabilities that an operator has under a single bond. Under these circumstances, the average bond increase has been about 200 percent. While it is not possible, at this time, to predict how much any specific bond amount might be increased once this provision is effective, increasing an area-wide NPR-A bond (\$300,000) by 200 percent would make the increased bond amount \$900,000. This is more consistent with bonding of other agencies on the North Slope than is the area-wide bond amount under existing regulations. For example, the State of Alaska requires bonding of \$700,000 for multiple oil wells and the MMS requires bonding of \$3,000,000 for offshore development.

This provision will economically impact only those operators who have a history of previous violations, those who have uncollected royalties that are due, and those who have leases where the total cost of plugging existing wells and reclaiming lands exceeds the present bond amount based on the estimates determined by the BLM. We expect the economic impact to these operators to be minimal when compared to the value of an oil and gas lease in the NPR-A, and when compared to the additional protection the Federal Government and Federal lands will receive.

A typical development in NPR-A is expected to produce approximately 20,000 barrels per day or 7,300,000 barrels per year. With a market price of \$60 per barrel¹ in the lower 48 states and approximately \$8 in transportation costs per barrel to get the oil from NPR-A to the lower 48 states, the wellhead price would be approximately \$52 per barrel.

A typical bond amount for a lease in the NPR-A is approximately \$300,000. Raising the bonding requirement from \$300,000 to \$900,000, makes the annual bonding fee the operator will pay go from approximately \$3,000 per year to \$9,000 per year (the cost of a surety bond is approximately 1% per year), an increase of \$6,000 per year.

¹ According to the Alaska Department of Revenue, Tax Division, the per-barrel price for oil between January 2005 and April 2006 fluctuated between \$41.12 and \$67.74 per barrel. We cannot predict price fluctuations in the future; however, \$60 represents an estimate of average prices expected.

How does that compare to other costs the operator faces? The transportation cost to get the production to the lower 48 states is approximately \$58,400,000 per year. Receipts at the wellhead are approximately \$379,600,000 per year. The lifting costs are about \$33,000,000. Royalties are approximately \$47,450,000 per year. We anticipate that a \$6,000 increase in costs per year will have minimal impact on the operator.

Effect of Transfer of a Tract-Segregation

This rule changes the standard that the BLM applies when determining if a segregated lease should continue in full force and effect. The existing standard is that a segregated lease remains in full force and effect if the BLM determines that oil and gas is being produced in paying quantities from that segregated portion of the lease area or so long as drilling or well reworking operations, either actual or constructive, are being conducted. The new standard is that a lease will continue in full force and effect as long as oil or gas is produced or is capable of being produced from the lease in paying quantities or drilling or reworking operations, actual or constructive, as approved by the BLM, are being conducted on the lease. We anticipate that this rule change will have the same economic impact as discussed under the "Lease Extension" and "Lease Renewal" sections since the segregated lease will be able to be extended or renewed based on the same criteria used for all NPR-A leases.

Lease Extension

Existing regulations on lease extensions require that the BLM extend the term of a lease beyond its primary term so long as:

(A) Oil or gas is produced from the lease in paying quantities; or
(B) Drilling or reworking operations, actual or constructive, as approved by the BLM, are being conducted on the lease.

This final rule adds a new condition under which the BLM will grant a lease extension in cases where the BLM has determined that oil or gas is capable of being produced in paying quantities from the lease.

This rule also adds a new provision that explains that NPR-A leases expire on the 30th anniversary of the original issuance date of the lease unless oil or gas is being produced from the lease. This provision is required by the EAct of 2005.

Prior to the EAct of 2005, NPR-A lease terms were fixed at 10 years. Longer lease terms as a result of extensions are preferable since there are harsh climatic conditions and a short

“winter only” exploration window in the NPR-A that make it difficult to operate in that region. Extensions of lease terms allow operators additional time to deal with these conditions. Under the existing regulations, the long lead time between exploration and production on the North Slope (6–8 years) reduces the incentive for operators to explore on leases with less than 6–8 years left in their primary term. The new rule provides incentives for operators to continue exploration in the later years of the primary term of the lease. The timeframe for bringing a gas discovery to production is even longer. Without a gas pipeline to the North Slope, operators currently have little incentive to explore in gas-prone areas or to further delineate gas discoveries. The new rule may have the effect of increasing the value of the NPR-A leases, increasing the level of exploration activity, and increasing the likelihood of eventual production from NPR-A leases. The value of these benefits, if any, is too speculative to predict. These changes also have minor administrative savings and economic benefit to operators and to the Federal Government since lessees will not be required to file for lease extensions as frequently and since the Federal Government will not be required to process those lease extensions.

Lease Renewal

This final rule adds a new section on lease renewals based on changes the EPOA of 2005 made to the NPRPA. The rule addresses lease renewals in two parts: those leases that have a discovery of hydrocarbons and those leases that do not have a discovery.

With a Discovery. Under this section, the BLM may approve a 10-year lease renewal for a lease on which there has been a well drilled and a discovery of hydrocarbons, even if the BLM had determined that the well is not capable of producing oil or gas in paying quantities. This section requires that the applicant provide evidence that oil or gas has been discovered on the leased lands in such quantities that a prudent operator would hold the lease for potential future development. This regulatory change is required by the EPOA of 2005.

The economic impact of this provision will be positive. Existing regulations do not provide for lease renewals, but do provide for lease extensions if there is actual production or as long as drilling and reworking operations are being conducted. This provision allows for lease renewal for a 10-year term if a discovery was made and a prudent operator would hold the

lease for future development. This provision provides an incentive for an operator to explore, even if there is not enough time to meet the current conditions for lease extensions. This change allows the lessee another 10 years to explore and develop the lease without having to compete for the lease again in a subsequent lease sale. Leases in the NPR-A typically are either 5,760 or 11,520 acres and the average high bid is approximately \$70 per acre. The Federal Government may be foregoing between \$400,000 and \$800,000 for each of these lease renewals, since lessees who were granted a lease renewal would not be required to compete for a new lease for the same lands. In exchange for this “opportunity cost” the lease has a much greater likelihood of being developed and developed sooner.

It is also possible that without the option of renewal, the lease which has been explored without a paying well discovery would have less value and not receive bids in the next sale. In this case, the United States would lose the value of lease rental (\$60,000–\$150,000 per year). Lease bonuses and lease rentals are both lesser considerations for the United States in realizing the value of leased lands, however. The value of potential production from an NPR-A lease far exceeds either of these revenue streams. A typical North Slope development produces about 20,000 barrels of oil per day. At a \$60 per barrel oil price, the United States would collect between \$45 and \$60 million dollars per year in royalties. If the renewals make the likelihood of development greater, the identified “opportunity costs” are viewed as beneficial to the United States.

Furthermore, this could reduce risk of investment to the lessee, which may increase bonus bids on future leases.

Without a Discovery. Under this section, the BLM could approve an application for a 10-year lease renewal for a lease on which there has not been a discovery of oil or gas.

Under this rule, the renewal application must:

(A) Provide sufficient evidence that the lessee has diligently pursued exploration that warrants continuation of the lease with the intent of continued exploration or future potential development of the leased land; or

(B) Show that all or part of the lease is part of a unit agreement covering a lease that qualifies for renewal without a discovery and that the lease has not been previously contracted out of the unit.

If the BLM approves an application for lease renewal, the applicant will be required to submit to the BLM a fee of

\$100 per acre within 5 working days of receiving notification of the renewal approval. This fee is mandated by the EPOA of 2005.

As discussed above, existing regulations do not allow for lease renewals, only lease extensions if there is actual production or as long as drilling and reworking operations are being conducted. This new provision allows for lease renewal without a discovery under certain circumstances and would require that lessees pay a fee of \$100 per acre for the renewal. The economic impact of this provision will be minimal. As with lease renewal with a discovery, this provision provides the lessee with incentive to explore, even if there is not sufficient time to take actions to qualify for a lease extension. As discussed above, the cost to obtain the lease in a subsequent sale will likely be around \$70 per acre. The new rule allows the lessee to retain the lease without competition or the risk of loss of the lease, for a cost above what it might cost in a competitive lease sale, but it allows the operator to seamlessly pursue exploration. This is likely to have the effect of accelerating the eventuality of bringing the lease into production. It is also possible, as discussed above, that without the option of renewal the lease which has been explored without a discovery would have less value and not receive bids in the next sale. In this case the United States would lose the value of lease rental (\$60,000–\$150,000 per year). Furthermore, nothing compels a lessee to apply for a lease renewal and pay the per acre fee. If the lessee believes the lease may be valuable, but not worth \$100 per acre, he can relinquish the lease and try to obtain it at a lower price in a subsequent competitive lease sale. Operators may still apply for lease extensions under the revised provisions of this rule. Operators may also apply for a renewal under other provisions of this rule and avoid paying the fee by a discovery and a showing that a prudent operator would hold the lease for future development.

The new rule has the effect of allowing the government to be compensated for the lease without having the administrative costs of conducting a new lease sale. The new rule also increases the likelihood of production and royalty payments at an earlier date. The value of potential production from an NPR-A lease far exceeds the value of lease bonuses. A typical North Slope development produces about 20,000 barrels of oil per day. At a \$60 per barrel oil price, the United States would collect between

\$45 and \$60 million dollars per year in royalties.

This provision could lower the risk of investment to the lessee and possibly result in higher bonus bids at future lease sales. Like other changes this rule makes, any benefits of this provision are too speculative to predict.

Lease Consolidation

This rule revises the consolidation provisions in existing regulations having to do with the term of a consolidated lease. Under existing regulations, the term of a consolidated lease is extended beyond the primary term of the lease only as long as oil or gas is produced in paying quantities or approved constructive or actual drilling or reworking operations are conducted on the lease. Under this rule, the term of a consolidated lease will be extended or renewed, as appropriate, under the extension or renewal provisions of the regulations. The change recognizes that the new standards in the extension and renewal provisions of this rule apply to consolidated leases. We expect that this rule change will have the same economic impacts as discussed under the "Lease Extension" and "Lease Renewal" sections above, i.e., it could have the effect of increasing the value of the NPR-A leases, increasing the level of exploration activity, increasing the likelihood of production from NPR-A leases, and increasing future bonus bids.

Termination of Administration for Conveyed Lands and Segregation

This rule adds a new section concerning the waiver of administration for conveyed lands in a lease. This new section is necessary because of changes that the EAct of 2005 made to the NPRPA. Under this new section, the BLM is required to terminate administration of any oil and gas lease if all of the mineral estate is conveyed to a regional corporation. The regional corporation would then assume the lessor's obligation to administer any oil and gas lease. This provision does not provide the authority to convey the mineral estate to the regional corporation, only that once a conveyance is made, the BLM would no longer administer any oil and gas lease. This change will have a minor positive economic impact on the Federal Government because costs for administration of these types of leases would no longer be borne by the BLM. Under this final rule, the regional corporation would be responsible for administration and likewise be responsible for administrative costs.

This section explains that if a conveyance of the mineral estate does

not include all of the land covered by an oil and gas lease, the lease would be segregated into two leases, one of which will cover only the mineral estate conveyed. The regional corporation would assume administration of the lease within the conveyed mineral estate. The segregation of a lease would not impair the mineral estate owners' rights to royalties for oil and gas produced from, or allocated to, their portions of land covered by the lease. This provision is purely administrative in nature and will have a minimal economic impact. We expect that it will decrease administrative costs for the Federal Government and increase the administrative costs to regional corporations for leases that have been conveyed.

Change to the Definition of Participating Area

This rule makes one change to the definition of "participating area" by replacing the word "contain" with the phrase "are proven to be productive by." Existing regulations are not clear that a committed tract does not need to contain a well that meets the productivity criteria specified in the unit agreement. Instead, a unit well meeting the productivity criteria proves that the committed tract is productive. This change has no economic impact since this change merely clarifies existing policy.

Consultation If Lands in the Unit Area Are Owned by the Regional Corporation or the State of Alaska

This rule adds a new section on consultation if lands in a unit are owned by a regional corporation or the State of Alaska. This section is based on changes that the EAct of 2005 made to the NPRPA. The new section requires that if the BLM administers a unit containing tracts where the mineral estate is owned by a regional corporation or the State of Alaska, or if a proposed unit contains tracts where the mineral estate is owned by a regional corporation or the State of Alaska, the BLM will consult with and provide opportunities for participation with respect to the creation or expansion of the unit by:

(A) The regional corporation, if the unit acreage contains the regional corporation's mineral estate; or

(B) The State of Alaska, if the unit acreage contains the state's mineral estate.

The rule will have minor economic impacts on the BLM, the State of Alaska, and the regional corporation. All parties involved in the consultation could incur minor additional costs; however, consultation will help ensure that the

rights of all parties to the unit are protected.

NPR-A Unitization Application

The final rule requires the unit application to explain the proposed methodology for allocating production among the committed tracts. If a unit includes non-Federal mineral estate, the applicant is required to explain how the methodology would take into account reservoir heterogeneity and area variation in reservoir producibility. These changes are necessary because of changes that the EAct of 2005 made to the NPRPA. The economic impacts of this provision are expected to be minor, but not measurable, since the change will impact different unit agreements differently. However, the rule will help to ensure fair allocation of production among unit participants and ensure that the Federal Government receives the correct royalty payment.

Continuing Development Obligations in a Unit Agreement

This final rule amends the provisions on continuing development obligations in existing regulations by requiring that a unit agreement provide for the submission of supplemental or additional plans of development which obligate the operator to a program of exploration and development. The existing regulations require that the unit agreement actually obligate the operator to a program of exploration and development.

The change recognizes that at the early stages of a unit agreement, an operator may not be able to identify the program of exploration and development and therefore it might not be possible for an operator to commit to one at that time. The rule allows an operator to submit plans of development later in the process, allowing for the operator to collect additional data prior to requiring the operator to obligate itself to a program of exploration and development. Under the existing process, because the data may be incomplete, the operator may be required to submit information several times as the data becomes available. The new provision will likely have minor positive economic benefits for applicants and the BLM since it allows commitment to a program of exploration and development at a more appropriate time when sufficient data is available.

Participating Areas

This final rule makes two changes to the provisions on participating areas. The first change makes it clear that a participating area contains committed tracts in a unit area that are proven to

be productive by a well meeting the productivity criteria specified in the unit agreement. The second change is that this rule makes it clear that the unit agreement must contain a description of the anticipated participating area size. Neither of these changes will have an economic impact because they merely clarify existing policy.

Function of a Participating Area

The rule revises the participating area provisions of existing rules by changing how the BLM allocates production, for royalty purposes, to each committed tract within the participating area. Under existing regulations, the BLM allocates to each committed tract within the participating area in the same proportion as that tract's surface acreage in the participating area to the total acreage in the participating area. Under this final rule, the BLM allocates production for royalty purposes to each committed tract within the participating area using the allocation methodology agreed to in the unit agreement. This change allows for variations in the reservoir geology and producibility when calculating allocations for royalty purposes. This change implements changes mandated by Congress in the EPAct of 2005.

This rule change will have little economic impact to industry or the Federal Government, but will help ensure proper production allocations on a case-by-case basis.

Effective Date of a Participating Area

This rule revises how the BLM determines the effective date of a modified participating area or modified allocation schedule. Under existing regulations, the effective date of a modified participating area or modified allocation schedule is the earlier of the first day of the month in which you: (1) Complete a new well meeting the productivity criteria; or (2) Should have known you need to revise the allocation schedule. Under this rule, the effective date of a modified participating area or allocation schedule is the earlier of the first day of the month in which you file a proposal for modification or such other date as may be provided in the unit agreement. This change allows the BLM to approve an earlier effective date, if warranted. Rather than just determining a fair current allocation of a revised participating area, the BLM will be able to approve an effective date back in time. This will allow corrections of past erroneous allocations rather than just moving forward with a fair allocation from the time new information is acquired. This provides greater flexibility and certainty that

allocations will be equitably determined for all parties and overall will have no economic impact except that it could affect individual allocations.

Extension of the Primary Term of Leases Committed to a Unit Agreement or Renewal of Leases Committed to a Unit

This final rule revises the provisions on the term of leases committed to a unit by adding lease renewals as an option. The EPAct of 2005 addresses lease renewals and provides for a renewal fee of \$100 per acre for each lease in the unit that is renewed without a discovery. This section incorporates those changes in this section of the NPR-A unit regulations. As a result of these changes and because the EPAct of 2005 addresses extensions and lease renewals, existing provisions on lease extensions for leases in a unit are superseded by the statutory provisions that this rule implements. We anticipate that the economic impacts of this rule will be the same as described under the "Lease Extension" section above.

Leases in Terminated Units and Lease Renewal

The rule change addresses what happens to leases in a unit in the event a unit terminates. The rule allows a lessee to apply for a lease renewal upon unit termination and conforms the provisions addressing termination with Congress' mandates regarding extension in the EPAct of 2005. Existing regulations allow lease extensions upon unit termination, but do not provide for lease renewals in these circumstances. These changes will likely have a minor positive economic impact by allowing lessees the option of applying for lease renewal upon unit termination.

National Environmental Policy Act

The BLM has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) and has found that the rule does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment under Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C). A detailed statement under NEPA is not required. The BLM has placed the EA and the Finding of No Significant Impact on file in the BLM Administrative Record at the address specified in the **ADDRESSES** section.

The action of modifying the existing regulations will have very little impact on the environment. The new regulations create more favorable lease terms for oil and gas companies (e.g., allowing lease extensions and renewals, potential for relief from royalty, rental and minimum royalty) and this may

increase the likelihood of exploration and development in the NPR-A. The revised regulations also allow the BLM greater flexibility in granting relief from rentals and royalty which may also have the effect of encouraging development. But while the likelihood of exploration and development may be greater, the character or intensity of exploration and development remains unchanged. The potential impacts from exploration and development have been addressed in three environmental impact statements (EIS) written for the Integrated Activity Plans for the Northeast and Northwest NPR-A, seven EAs written for individual exploration proposals, and the Alpine Satellites Development EIS.

To the extent that recent Court decisions may require further NEPA analysis with respect to the environmental impacts of proposed leasing in the NPR-A, the BLM would address such analysis within the context of its consideration of land use planning and any proposed leasing. However, these regulations do not invoke any significant environmental impact requiring additional NEPA analysis beyond the environmental assessment.

The revised regulations may also have the effect of allowing the oil and gas operators to pursue exploration and development at a more measured pace since terms of the lease can be extended beyond what was previously available.

The change to bonding levels will provide the BLM more certainty that environmental obligations, such as reclamation and well plugging, are honored. We expect that this will lessen the likelihood of adverse environmental impacts to the NPR-A.

Changes in the regulations that require: (1) The BLM to allow participation from the regional corporation and the State of Alaska in the creation and expansion of oil and gas units; (2) Consultation with the regional corporation, State of Alaska, and the North Slope Borough when considering relief from royalty, rentals, or minimum royalty; (3) Allocation of production based on reservoir characteristics; and (4) The BLM to give the regional corporation administration of leases conveyed to the regional corporation, are strictly administrative in nature and will have no effect on the environment.

This view as to the minimal environmental effects of the changes in the regulations is consistent with the Department's previously expressed policies as indicated by provisions of the Departmental Manual (DM) which establish categorical exclusions under NEPA for actions by the BLM of the type addressed by these regulations. The

categorical exclusions include “(3) Approval of unitization [*sic*] agreements * * * (4) Approval of suspensions of operations, *force majeure* suspensions, and suspensions of operations and production.” See 516 DM Chapter 11.9B(3) and (4) (72 FR 45504, 45539 (August 14, 2007)).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

Congress enacted the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) of 1980, as amended, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, to ensure that Government regulations do not unnecessarily or disproportionately burden small entities. The RFA requires

a regulatory flexibility analysis if a rule would have a significant economic impact, either detrimental or beneficial, on a substantial number of small entities.

This rule will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities as defined under the RFA. An initial or final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is not required. Accordingly, a Small Entity Compliance Guide is not required.

The BLM cannot determine how many lessees may qualify as small businesses or how many will be adversely affected by this rule because

the BLM does not track this type of information and it is not readily available. The BLM believes that several of the types of businesses identified in the North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) (codified in the Small Business Administration regulations at 13 CFR 121.201) may do business in the NPR-A. These businesses, NAICS codes, and size standards in millions of dollars in receipts annually or number of employees are listed in the following table:

NAICS code	NAICS U.S. industry title	Size standard in millions of dollars	Size standard in number of employees
211111	Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas Extraction		500
211112	Natural Gas Liquid Extraction		500
213111	Drilling Oil and Gas Wells		500
213112	Support Activities for Oil and Gas Operations	6.5	
237120	Oil and Gas Pipeline and Related Structures Construction	31	

As stated above, the businesses in the table represent ones that may operate in NPR-A. However, we do not believe that businesses with the NAICS codes 213111, 213112, or 237120 will be impacted by the changes this rule makes to the current regulations. Of the businesses listed in the table, businesses with NAICS codes 211111 and 211112 may be impacted by the changes this rule makes because the regulatory changes primarily affect lessees, and lessees may fall into one or both of these two categories.

Due to the scale and cost of operations on the North Slope (see the discussion under Executive Order 12866 above), it is not likely that operators in NPR-A will be small businesses. Furthermore, the BLM is unaware of any small businesses operating on lands in NPR-A under existing regulations, and because of the large scale and high cost of operations in NPR-A, we do not anticipate that small businesses will enter the market in the future. Even if a small business did begin doing business in NPR-A, when compared to the costs of operating in the NPR-A and the potential receipts involved if production were to take place (see the discussion under Executive Order 12866 above), the impact of this rule will be minimal. Therefore, the changes will likely not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule:

a. Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more. Please see the discussion under Executive Order 12866 above.

b. Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, state, or local government agencies, or geographic regions. Please see the discussion under Executive Order 12866.

c. Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises. These rule changes should have no adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises because their impact, economic and otherwise, will be minimal.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

In accordance with the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1501, *et seq.*):

a. This rule will not “significantly or uniquely” affect small governments. A Small Government Agency Plan is not required.

b. This rule will not produce a Federal mandate of \$100 million or

greater in any year, i.e., it is not a “significant regulatory action” under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act.

This final rule will not mandate additional expenditures by any state or local government, any Federal agency, or any other entity. The State of Alaska and the regional corporation may incur minor additional expenses under the consultation provisions of this rule, but the consultations are for the benefit of those parties.

Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference With Constitutionally Protected Property Rights (Takings)

The final rule does not represent a government action capable of interfering with constitutionally protected property rights. The rule primarily extends benefits to leaseholders. The cost of additional bonding is too minor to constitute a taking. Therefore, the Department of the Interior has determined that the rule will not cause a taking of private property or require further discussion of takings implications under this Executive Order.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism

The final rule will not have a substantial direct effect on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

In accordance with Executive Order 13132, the rule does not have significant

Federalism implications. A Federalism assessment is not required.

The rule has the potential for a minimal effect on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, and on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. There are certain consultation provisions in the rule where the state would be invited to participate in the discussion of the creation or expansion of Federal unit agreements in NPR-A which contain state lands. The consultation burden is minimal and it is in the interest of the state to participate to help ensure that allocations to the state were fair.

Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform

Under Executive Order 12988, the Office of the Solicitor has determined that this rule does not unduly burden the judicial system and that it meets the requirements of sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of the Order. The BLM has worked closely with the Office of the Solicitor to help ensure that the rule is written clearly and to help eliminate drafting errors.

Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Executive Order 13175 (E.O. 13175) provides that Federal agencies must consult with Indian Tribal Governments before formal promulgation of regulations "that have Tribal implications." E.O. 13175 defines "Indian Tribes" for purposes of government-to-government consultation as those "that the Secretary of the Interior acknowledges to exist as an Indian tribe pursuant to the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994, 25 U.S.C. 479a" (E.O. 13175 at section 1(b)). In accordance with this mandate, the Bureau of Indian Affairs recently published a list of recognized tribes, including a large number of Native Alaskan entities including villages, communities, and tribes (see 72 FR 13648 (March 22, 2007)). If there were a duty of government-to-government consultation, prior to promulgation of these regulations, it would be owed to those listed tribal governments.

None of the recognized tribal governments have significant oil and gas interests within NPR-A or within the vicinity of NPR-A. Therefore, nothing in these final regulations has "substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of

power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes" (see section 1(a) of E.O. 13175). Accordingly, the final regulations do not have tribal implications and there is no government-to-government consultation obligation in this case.

Additionally, we are aware that a number of Alaska regional corporations organized under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1601 *et seq.*) (ANCSA) may own an interest in the mineral estate. The rule provides for consultation with the regional corporation in accordance with the requirements of the EAct of 2005 if a unit or a proposed unit contains tracts where the mineral estate is owned by a regional corporation. Also, the rule provides for concurrence by the regional corporation before the BLM approves a waiver, suspension, or reduction of rental or minimum royalty or a reduction of royalty under section 3133.3 if the lease includes land that was made available for acquisition by the regional corporation under Section 1431(o) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) (Pub. L. 96-487). Additionally, these corporations could potentially become participants in units that include Federal NPR-A leases. If so, they would be eligible to participate in those unit agreements in the same manner as any other participants. However, no special consultation beyond that required by the EAct of 2005 or by these rules with such corporations is required as a matter of law. The Bureau of Indian Affairs has recently declined to include such corporations on the list of recognized tribes eligible for government-to-government consultation (see 72 FR 13648 (March 22, 2007)). The Bureau of Indian Affairs previously indicated that ANCSA corporations are formally state-chartered corporations rather than tribes in the conventional legal or "political sense" and that Alaskan Native Villages were Indian tribes. See "Indian Entities Recognized and Eligible to Receive Services From the United States Bureau of Indian Affairs," (60 FR 9250 (February 16, 1995)).

The BLM provided opportunity for the tribal governments, along with the public generally, to comment during the comment period, in accordance with the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act. We received no comments from tribes on the proposed rule.

Therefore, in accordance with E.O. 13175, we have found that this rule does not include policies that have tribal implications.

Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

In accordance with Executive Order 13211, the BLM has determined that the final rule will not have significant adverse effects on the energy supply, distribution or use, including a shortfall in supply or price increase. For the most part, this rule does not represent the exercise of agency discretion inasmuch as a substantial portion of this rule is mandated by the EAct of 2005. Congress' mandate to amend the BLM's existing NPR-A oil and gas regulations may result in an increase in oil and gas production of unknown amounts.

Executive Order 13352, Facilitation of Cooperative Conservation

In accordance with Executive Order 13352, the BLM has determined that this rule does not impede facilitating cooperative conservation; takes appropriate account of and considers the interests of persons with ownership or other legally recognized interests in land or other natural resources; properly accommodates local participation in the Federal decision-making process; and provides that the programs, projects, and activities are consistent with protecting public health and safety. The rule may positively affect the facilitation of cooperative conservation because the rule seeks to add provisions to the existing NPR-A oil and gas regulations requiring that the BLM consult with the regional corporation and the state in certain circumstances where consultation is not currently required.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The BLM has determined that this rulemaking does not contain any new information collection requirements that the Office of Management and Budget must approve under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Data Quality Act

When the BLM developed this rule, it did not conduct or use a study, experiment, or survey requiring peer review under the Data Quality Act (Pub. L. 106-554, Appendix C, § 515, 114 Stat. 2763, 2763A-153-154).

Authors

The principal authors of this rule are Greg Noble, Chief, Energy Branch, Bureau of Land Management, Alaska State Office, and Erick Kaarlela, Special Assistant to the Assistant Director, Minerals, Realty and Resource Protection, assisted by the Department of the Interior Office of the Solicitor and

BLM's Division of Regulatory Affairs, Washington, DC.

List of Subjects in 43 CFR Part 3130

Alaska, Government contracts, Mineral royalties, Oil and gas exploration, Oil and gas reserves, Public lands—mineral resources, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Surety bonds.

Dated: January 18, 2008.

C. Stephen Allred,

Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management.

■ For the reasons stated in the preamble, the BLM amends 43 CFR part 3130 as set forth below:

PART 3130—OIL AND GAS LEASING: NATIONAL PETROLEUM RESERVE, ALASKA

■ 1. The authority citation for part 3130 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 6508, 43 U.S.C. 1733 and 1740.

§ 3130.0–3 [Amended]

■ 2. Amend § 3130.0–3 by adding a new paragraph (d) to read as follows:

* * * * *

(d) The Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 6506a(o)).

■ 3. Amend § 3130.0–5 by adding three new paragraphs (g), (h), and (i) to read as follows:

§ 3130.0–5 Definitions.

* * * * *

(g) *Production allocation methodology* means a way of attributing the production of oil and gas produced from a unit well or wells to individual tracts committed to the unit and forming a participating area.

(h) *Reservoir heterogeneity* means spatial differences in the oil and gas reservoir properties. This can include, but is not limited to, the thickness of the reservoir, the amount of pore space in the reservoir rock that contains oil, gas, or water, and the amount of water contained in the reservoir rock. This information may be used to allocate production.

(i) *Variation in reservoir producibility* means differences in the rates oil and gas wells produce from the reservoir. These differences can result from variations in the thickness of the reservoir, porosity, and the amount of connected pore space.

■ 4. Amend § 3133.3 by revising paragraphs (a) introductory text, (a)(2), and (b) and by adding a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 3133.3 Under what circumstances will BLM waive, suspend, or reduce the rental, or minimum royalty, or reduce the royalty rate on my NPR–A lease?

(a) BLM will waive, suspend, or reduce the rental or minimum royalty or reduce the royalty rate on your lease if BLM finds that—

(1) * * *

(2) It is necessary to promote development or the BLM determines the lease cannot be successfully operated under the terms of the lease.

(b) The BLM will consult with the State of Alaska and the North Slope Borough within 10 days of receiving an application for waiver, suspension, or reduction of rental or minimum royalty, or reduction of the royalty rate and will not approve an application under § 3133.4 of this subpart until at least 30 days after the consultation.

(c) If your lease includes land that was made available for acquisition by a regional corporation (as defined in 43 U.S.C. 1602) under the provision of Section 1431(o) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) (16 U.S.C. 3101 *et seq.*), the BLM will only approve a waiver, suspension, or reduction of rental or minimum royalty, or reduction of the royalty rate if the regional corporation concurs.

■ 5. Amend § 3133.4 by revising paragraphs (a)(5), (a)(6), and (a)(7) to read as follows:

§ 3133.4 How do I apply for a waiver, suspension or reduction of rental or minimum royalty or a reduction of the royalty rate for my NPR–A lease?

(a) * * *

(5) A detailed statement of expenses and costs of operating the entire lease, including the amount of any overriding royalty and payments out of production or similar interests applicable to your lease;

(6) All facts that demonstrate the waiver, suspension, or reduction of the rental or minimum royalty, or the reduction of the royalty rate encourages the greatest ultimate recovery of oil or gas or it is in the interest of conservation; and

(7) All facts that demonstrate you cannot successfully operate the lease under the terms of the lease;

* * * * *

■ 6. Amend § 3134.1–2 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 3134.1–2 Additional bonds.

(a) The authorized officer may require the bonded party to supply additional bonding in accordance with § 3104.5(b) of this chapter.

* * * * *

■ 7. Revise § 3135.1–4 to read as follows:

§ 3135.1–4 Effect of transfer of a tract.

(a) When a transfer is made of all the record title to a portion of the acreage in a lease, the transferred and retained portions are divided into separate and distinct leases. The BLM will not approve transfers of a tract of land:

(1) Of less than 640 acres that is not compact; or

(2) That would leave a retained tract of less than 640 acres.

(b) Each segregated lease shall continue in full force and effect for the primary term of the original lease and so long thereafter as the activities on the segregated lease support extension in accordance with § 3135.1–5.

■ 8. Revise § 3135.1–5 to read as follows:

§ 3135.1–5 Extension of lease.

(a) The term of a lease shall be extended beyond its primary term:

(1) So long as oil or gas is produced from the lease in paying quantities;

(2) If the BLM has determined in writing that oil or gas is capable of being produced in paying quantities from the lease; or

(3) So long as drilling or reworking operations, actual or constructive, as approved by the BLM, are conducted thereon.

(b) Your lease will expire on the 30th anniversary of the original issuance date of the lease unless oil or gas is being produced in paying quantities. If your lease contains a well that is capable of production, but you fail to produce the oil or gas due to circumstances beyond your control, you may apply for a suspension under § 3135.2. If the BLM approves the suspension, the lease will not expire on the 30th anniversary of the original issuance date of the lease.

(c) A lease may be maintained in force by the BLM-approved directional wells drilled under the leased area from surface locations on adjacent or adjoining lands not covered by the lease. In such circumstances, drilling shall be considered to have commenced on the lease area when drilling is commenced on the adjacent or adjoining lands for the purpose of directional drilling under the leased area through any directional well surfaced on adjacent or adjoining lands. Production, drilling or reworking of any such directional well shall be considered production or drilling or reworking operations on the lease area for all purposes of the lease.

■ 9. Redesignate § 3135.1–6 as § 3135.1–7 and add a new § 3135.1–6 to read as follows:

§ 3135.1–6 Lease Renewal.

(a) *With a discovery*—(1) At any time after the fifth year of the primary term of a lease, the BLM may approve a 10-year lease renewal for a lease on which there has been a well drilled and a discovery of hydrocarbons even if the BLM has determined that the well is not capable of producing oil or gas in paying quantities. The BLM must receive the lessee's application for lease renewal no later than 60 days prior to the expiration of the primary term of the lease.

(2) The renewal application must provide evidence, and a certification by the lessee, that the lessee or its operator has drilled one or more wells and discovered producible hydrocarbons on the leased lands in such quantities that a prudent operator would hold the lease for potential future development.

(3) The BLM will approve the renewal application if it determines that a discovery was made and that a prudent operator would hold the lease for future development.

(4) The lease renewal will be effective on the day following the end of the primary term of the lease.

(5) The lease renewal may be approved on the condition that the lessee drills one or more additional wells or acquires and analyzes more well data, seismic data, or geochemical survey data prior to the end of the primary term.

(b) *Without a discovery*—(1) At any time after the fifth year of the primary term of a lease, the BLM may approve an application for a 10-year lease renewal for a lease on which there has not been a discovery of oil or gas. The BLM must receive the lessee's application no later than 60 days prior to the expiration of the primary term of the lease.

(2) The renewal application must:

(i) Provide sufficient evidence that the lessee has diligently pursued exploration that warrants continuation of the lease with the intent of continued exploration or future potential development of the leased land. The application must show the:

(A) Lessee or its operator has drilled one or more wells or has acquired and analyzed seismic data, or geochemical survey data on a significant portion of the leased land since the lease was issued;

(B) Data collected indicates a reasonable probability of future success; and

(C) Lessee's plans for future exploration; or

(ii) Show that all or part of the lease is part of a unit agreement covering a lease that qualifies for renewal without

a discovery and that the lease has not been previously contracted out of the unit.

(3) The BLM will approve the renewal application if it determines that the application satisfies the requirements of paragraph (b)(2)(i) or (ii) of this section. If the BLM approves the application for lease renewal, the applicant must submit to the BLM a fee of \$100 per acre within 5 business days of receiving notification of approval.

(4) The lease renewal will be effective on the day following the end of the primary term of the lease.

(5) The lease renewal may be approved on the condition that the lessee drills one or more additional wells or acquires and analyzes more well data, seismic data or geochemical survey data prior to the end of the primary term.

(c) *Renewed lease*. The renewed lease will be subject to the terms and conditions applicable to new oil and gas leases issued under the Integrated Activity Plan in effect on the date that the BLM issues the decision to renew the lease.

■ 10. Amend newly designated § 3135.1–7 by revising paragraph (d) and by adding a new sentence to the end of paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 3135.1–7 Consolidation of leases.

* * * * *

(d) The effective date, the anniversary date, and the primary term of the consolidated lease will be those of the oldest original lease involved in the consolidation. The term of a consolidated lease may be extended, or renewed, as appropriate, beyond the primary lease term under § 3135.1–5 or 3135.1–6.

(e) * * * The highest royalty and rental rates of the original leases shall apply to the consolidated lease.

■ 11. Add a new § 3135.1–8 to read as follows:

§ 3135.1–8 Termination of administration for conveyed lands and segregation.

(a) If all of the mineral estate is conveyed to a regional corporation, the regional corporation will assume the lessor's obligation to administer any oil and gas lease.

(b) If a conveyance of the mineral estate does not include all of the land covered by an oil and gas lease, the lease will be segregated into two leases, one of which will cover only the mineral estate conveyed. The regional corporation will assume administration of the lease covering the conveyed mineral estate.

(c) If the regional corporation assumes administration of a lease under

paragraph (a) or (b) of this section, all lease terms, BLM regulations, and BLM orders in effect on the date of assumption continue to apply to the lessee under the lease. All such obligations will be enforceable by the regional corporation as the lessor until the lease terminates.

(d) In a case in which a conveyance of a mineral estate described in paragraph (b) of this section does not include all of the land covered by the oil and gas lease, the owner of the mineral estate in any particular portion of the land covered by the lease is entitled to all of the revenues reserved under the lease as to that portion including all of the royalty payable with respect to oil or gas produced from or allocated to that portion.

■ 12. Amend § 3137.5 by revising the definition of "Participating area" to read as follows:

§ 3137.5 What terms do I need to know to understand this subpart?

* * * * *

Participating area means those committed tracts or portions of those committed tracts within the unit area that are proven to be productive by a well meeting the productivity criteria specified in the unit agreement.

* * * * *

■ 13. Add a new § 3137.11 to read as follows:

§ 3137.11 What consultation must the BLM perform if lands in the unit area are owned by a regional corporation or the State of Alaska?

If the BLM administers a unit containing tracts where the mineral estate is owned by a regional corporation or the State of Alaska, or if a proposed unit contains tracts where the mineral estate is owned by a regional corporation or the State of Alaska, the BLM will consult with and provide opportunities for participation in negotiations with respect to the creation or expansion of the unit by—

(a) The regional corporation, if the unit acreage contains the regional corporation's mineral estate; or

(b) The State of Alaska, if the unit acreage contains the state's mineral estate.

■ 14. Amend § 3137.21 by revising paragraph (a)(3), redesignating paragraph (a)(5) as paragraph (a)(6), adding a new paragraph (a)(5) and revising newly designated paragraph (a)(6) to read as follows:

§ 3137.21 What must I include in an NPR—A unit agreement?

(a) * * *

(3) The anticipated participating area size and well locations (see § 3137.80(b) of this subpart);

* * * * *

(5) A provision that acknowledges the BLM consulted with and provided opportunities for participation in the creation of the unit and a provision that acknowledges that the BLM will consult with and provide opportunities for participation in the expansion of the unit by —

(A) The regional corporation, if the unit acreage contains the regional corporation's mineral estate; or

(B) The State of Alaska, if the unit acreage contains the state's mineral estate.

(6) Any optional terms which are authorized in § 3137.50 of this subpart that you choose to include in the unit agreement.

* * * * *

■ 15. Amend § 3137.23 by revising paragraph (d) introductory text, removing “and” from the end of the paragraph (f), redesignating paragraph (g) as paragraph (h), and adding a new paragraph (g) to read as follows:

§ 3137.23 What must I include in my NPR—A unitization application?

* * * * *

(d) A statement certifying—

* * * * *

(g) A discussion of the proposed methodology for allocating production among the committed tracts. If the unit includes non-Federal oil and gas mineral estate, you must explain how the methodology takes into account reservoir heterogeneity and area variation in reservoir producibility; and

* * * * *

■ 16. Amend § 3137.41 by revising the introductory paragraph of the section to read as follows:

§ 3137.41 What continuing development obligations must I define in a unit agreement?

A unit agreement must provide for submission of supplemental or additional plans of development which obligate the operator to a program of exploration and development (see § 3137.71 of this subpart) that, after completion of the initial obligations —

* * * * *

■ 17. Amend § 3137.80 by revising paragraph (a) and the first sentence of paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 3137.80 What are participating areas and how do they relate to the unit agreement?

(a) Participating areas are those committed tracts or portions of those committed tracts within the unit area

that are proven to be productive by a well meeting the productivity criteria specified in the unit agreement.

(b) You must include a description of the anticipated participating area(s) size in the unit agreement for planning purposes to aid in the mitigation of reasonably foreseeable and significantly adverse effects on NPR—A surface resources. * * *

* * * * *

■ 18. Amend § 3137.81 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 3137.81 What is the function of a participating area?

(a) The function of a participating area is to allocate production to each committed tract within a participating area. The BLM will allocate production for royalty purposes to each committed tract within the participating area using the allocation methodology agreed to in the unit agreement (see § 3137.23(g) of this subpart).

* * * * *

■ 19. Amend § 3137.85 by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 3137.85 What is the effective date of a participating area or modified allocation schedule?

* * * * *

(b) The effective date of a modified participating area or modified allocation schedule is the earlier of the first day of the month in which you file the proposal for a modification or such other effective date as may be provided for in the unit agreement and approved by the BLM, but no earlier than the effective date of the unit.

■ 20. Revise § 3137.111 to read as follows:

§ 3137.111 When will BLM extend the primary term of all leases committed to a unit agreement or renew all leases committed to a unit agreement?

If the unit operator requests it, the BLM will extend the primary term of all NPR—A leases committed to a unit agreement or renew the leases committed to a unit agreement if any committed lease within the unit is extended or renewed under §§ 3135.1–5 or 3135.1–6. If the BLM approves a lease renewal under § 3135.1–6(b), the BLM will require a renewal fee of \$100 per acre for each lease in the unit that is renewed.

■ 21. Amend § 3137.131 by revising the second and third sentences of the section to read as follows:

§ 3137.131 What happens if the unit terminated before the unit operator met the initial development obligations?

* * * You, as lessee, forfeit all further benefits, including extensions and suspensions, granted any NPR—A lease because of having been committed to the unit. Any lease that the BLM extended because of being committed to the unit would expire unless it had been granted an extension or renewal under §§ 3135.1–5 or 3135.1–6.

■ 22. Amend § 3137.134 by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 3137.134 What happens to committed leases if the unit terminates?

* * * * *

(b) An NPR—A lease that has completed its primary term on or before the date the unit terminates will expire unless it is granted an extension or renewal under §§ 3135.1–5 or 3135.1–6.

[FR Doc. E8–1647 Filed 2–1–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–84–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 64

[CC Docket No. 94–129; FCC 07–222]

Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers; LEC Coalition Application for Review Regarding Carrier Change Rules

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission denies an Application for Review filed by a coalition of local exchange carriers (“LEC Petitioners”) regarding the Commission's carrier change verification rules. Specifically, the Commission affirms that it is not permissible for an executing carrier to block a carrier change submission by a submitting carrier, based on the executing carrier's own finding that the customer's information does not match exactly the information in the executing carrier's records.

DATES: Effective February 4, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Stevenson, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202)