

of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

- does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this proposed rule, which proposes approval of the District's progress report SIP, does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: May 5, 2017.

**Cecil Rodrigues,**

*Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 2017–10910 Filed 5–26–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R10–OAR–2015–0333; FRL–9962–50–Region 10]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon: Revisions; Reopening of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is reopening the public comment period on the proposed rule “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon: Permitting and General Rule Revisions” published March 22, 2017. A commenter requested more time to review the proposal and prepare comments. In response, the EPA is providing an additional 30 days for public comment.

**DATES:** For the proposed rule published March 22, 2017 (82 FR 14654), comments must be received on or before June 29, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2015–0333, at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not electronically submit Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information that is restricted from disclosure by statute. Please note that multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kristin Hall, Air Planning Unit, Office of Air and Waste (OAW–150), Environmental Protection Agency—

Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave. Seattle, WA 98101; telephone number: (206) 553–6357; email address: [hall.kristin@epa.gov](mailto:hall.kristin@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 22, 2017, the EPA published a proposed rule to approve changes to the Oregon State Implementation Plan (82 FR 14654). The changes, submitted by the State of Oregon on April 22, 2015, account for new federal requirements for fine particulate matter, update the major and minor source pre-construction permitting programs, and add state level air quality designations. The changes also address public notice procedures for informational meetings, and tighten emission standards for dust and smoke. A commenter requested more time to review the proposal and prepare comments. In response to this request, the EPA is reopening the public comment period.

Dated: April 26, 2017.

**Michelle L. Pirzadeh,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.*

[FR Doc. 2017–10935 Filed 5–26–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[EPA–R04–OAR–2017–0086; FRL–9962–24–Region 4]

#### Air Plan Approval and Air Quality Designation; TN; Redesignation of the Knoxville 2006 24-Hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> Nonattainment Area to Attainment

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** On December 20, 2016, Tennessee, through the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC), submitted a request for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to redesignate the Knoxville-Sevierville-La Follette, TN fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) nonattainment area (hereinafter referred to as the “Knoxville Area” or “Area”) to attainment for the 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) and to approve a state implementation plan (SIP) revision containing a maintenance plan and a reasonably available control measures (RACM) determination for the Area. EPA is proposing to approve Tennessee’s RACM determination for the Knoxville Area and incorporate it into the SIP; to approve Tennessee’s plan for maintaining the 2006 24-hour