

of Environmental Review (MBER) adopted the revisions to the Missoula program rules regarding program authority and administration, definitions, failure to attain standards, emergency episode planning, general provisions, standards for stationary sources (including air quality permit program), outdoor burning, fugitive particulate, solid fuel burning devices, fuels, motor vehicles, enforcement and administrative procedures, and penalties. EPA's approval would make these revisions federally enforceable. In addition, the State requested that rules of the Missoula program that are not appropriate for incorporation into the SIP be removed from the federally approved plan. Finally, the Governor's April 30, 2001 submittal consists of several other revisions to Montana regulations, which will be handled separately.

In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before December 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Montana Department of Environmental Quality, 1520 E. 6th Avenue, Helena, Montana, 59620-0901.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy Platt, EPA, Region VIII, (303) 312-6449.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final

action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: October 5, 2001.

Jack W. McGraw,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII.

[FR Doc. 01-28190 Filed 11-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MD120-3071b ; FRL-7100-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; VOC RACT Determinations for the Thomas Manufacturing Corporation in the Baltimore Ozone Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland for the purpose of establishing and requiring reasonably available control technology (RACT) for the Thomas Manufacturing Corporation, a major source of volatile organic compounds (VOC) in the State of Maryland. This source is located in the Baltimore ozone nonattainment area. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. The rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by December 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business

hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 2500 Broening Highway, Baltimore, Maryland 21224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine L. Magliocchetti at (215) 814-2174, the EPA Region III address above or by e-mail at magliocchetti.catherine@epa.gov. Please note that while questions may be posed via telephone and e-mail, formal comments must be submitted, in writing, as indicated in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action, Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; VOC RACT Determinations for the Thomas Manufacturing Corporation in the Baltimore Ozone Nonattainment Area, that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: October 31, 2001.

Thomas Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 01-28188 Filed 11-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 2

[IB Docket No. 01-185, ET Docket No. 95-18, DA 01-2548]

Flexibility for Delivery of Communications by Mobile Satellite Service Providers in the 2 GHz Band, the L-Band, and the 1.6/2.4 GHz Band

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission gives notice that it extended the period for reply comment in the proceeding that it initiated to explore proposals to bring flexibility to the delivery of communications by Mobile Satellite Service ("MSS") providers. The Commission extended the period for reply comment at the request of the Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association (CTIA) and Motient Services, Inc. (Motient) in order to allow sufficient time to establish the most complete and well-developed record possible on which to base a decision.