# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[02-018]

### Notice of Agency Report Forms Under OMB Review.

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of agency report forms under OMB review.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This information collection provides information on Goddard Space Flight Center Visitor Center volunteers.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received on or before March 13, 2002.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Desk Officer for NASA; Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs; Office of Management and Budget; Room 10236; New Executive Office Building; Washington, DC, 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Nancy Kaplan, NASA Reports Officer, (202) 358–1372.

*Title:* Application for Volunteer Program.

*OMB Number:* 2700–0057. *Type of review:* Extension.

Need and Uses: The application is used to collect information on persons applying to be a Goddard Space Flight Center Visitor Center Volunteer.

Affected Public: Individuals or households, Business or other-for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions, Farms, Federal Government, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 40. Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 30.

Hours Per Request: Approximately ½ hour.

Annual Burden Hours: 20. Frequency of Report: On occasion.

#### David B. Nelson,

Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Administrator.

[FR Doc. 02–3152 Filed 2–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[02-017]

## Notice of Agency Report Forms Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of Agency Report Forms Under OMB Review.

**SUMMARY:** The National Aeronautics and Space Administration has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received on or before March 13, 2002.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Desk Officer for NASA; Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs; Office of Management and Budget; Room 10236; New Executive Office Building; Washington, DC, 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Nancy Kaplan, NASA Reports Officer, (202) 358–1372.

Réports: None.

Title: NASA Safety Reporting System. OMB Number: 2700–0063. Type of Review: Extension.

Need and Uses: NASA employees and contractors can voluntarily and confidentially report to an independent agent, any safety concerns or hazards pertaining to any NASA program or project, which have not been resolved through the normal process.

Affected Public: Federal government. Estimated Number of Respondents: 75.

Responses Per Respondent: 1. Estimated Annual Responses: 75. Estimated Hours Per Request: ¼ hr. Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 19

Frequency of Report: As needed.

### David B. Nelson,

Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Administrator.

[FR Doc. 02–3153 Filed 2–8–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-P

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation. **ACTION:** Submission for OMB review; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) has submitted the

following information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725-17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, and to Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or send e-mail to splimpto@nsf.gov. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling 703-292-7556.

NSF may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: Survey of Colleges and Universities Providing Graduate Degrees and Specializations in Evaluation and Providers of Professional Development Offerings.

OMB Control No.: 3145—NEW. Expiration Date of Approval: Not applicable.

Abstract: This document has been prepared to support the clearance of data collection instruments to be used in the Surveys of Colleges and Universities Providing Graduate Degrees and Specializations in Evaluation, and Providers of Evaluation Professional Development Offerings. A major problem that NSF faces is the lack of qualified evaluators to serve as

resources to NSF-funded projects. Therefore, the Evaluation Program has set as part of its mission the building of capacity in the field of evaluation. NSF's efforts will serve both to guarantee that there will be adequate numbers of trained evaluators to meet NSF's needs and to aid in creating a solid knowledge base for this relatively new professional field. Fundamental to both of these purposes is the collection of data on current capacity in the evaluation field to conduct training. This includes both formal education that leads to the granting of degrees, and informal education that fosters the acquisition of specific knowledge and skills through short courses, workshops, or Internet offerings. The approach encompasses two surveys. One is of university and college-based formal evaluation training programs leading to a major or minor course of graduate degree studies; the other is of professional training activities in evaluation that are regularly provided and may result in continuing education certificates.

Expected Respondents: The expected respondents are twofold. Those responding to the college and university degree programs will be those institutions that offer formal degree or specialization programs in the field of evaluation. Those receiving the second type of survey will be institutions, companies and organizations that provide regular, short-term, intensive training programs, such as institutes and short courses for both current and novice evaluators.

Burden On The Public: The total elements for these two collections are 32 burden hours for a maximum of 120 participants annually, assuming an 80–100% response rate. The average annual reporting burden is under 20 minutes per respondent. The burden on the public is negligible, as the survey is limited to colleges, universities and other entities that provide degrees, areas of specialization, and professional development in the field of evaluation.

Dated: February 5, 2002.

### Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 02–3230 Filed 2–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-460]

### **Energy Northwest Nuclear Project No.** 1

#### Order

Energy Northwest (formerly Washington Public Power Supply, permittee) is the current holder of Construction Permit No. CPPR-134, issued by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on December 23, 1975, for construction of Nuclear Project No. 1 (WNP-1). The facility is presently in a deferred construction status at the permittee's site at the U.S. Department of Energy's Hanford Reservation in Benton County, Washington, approximately eight miles north of Richland, Washington.

On April 9, 2001, the permittee submitted a request pursuant to section 50.55(b) of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR Section 50.55(b)) that the completion date for WNP–1 be extended from June 1, 2001, to June 1, 2011. In addition, the permittee requested the NRC to update the permit to reflect an administrative change in the permit holder's name from the Washington Public Power Supply System to Energy Northwest. The permittee requested this extension for WNP–1 for the following reasons, as stated in its application:

Increased electrical load in the Pacific Northwest has underscored the need for a flexible range of power generation options and alternatives to meet the region's growing base-load power supply needs. Furthermore, in response to the energy crisis in the Western United States, some of our stakeholders have requested that we conduct a viability study on the completion of the facility. Until the viability study is completed and decisions on generating options to meet future load forecasts are finalized, maintaining WNP-1 as a deferred facility is consistent with our commitment to maintain potential generating resources.

Energy Northwest also stated that the extension request is consistent with Section A.2 of Generic Letter (GL) 87–15, "Policy Statement on Deferred Plants." The NRC's Policy Statement on Deferred Plants addresses extension of construction permits for plants in a deferred status and states that the staff will consider such extensions in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55(b). Section 50.55(b) does not specify any limit on the length of an extension the staff may grant, but states that "[u]pon good cause shown the Commission will

extend the completion date for a reasonable period of time." The staff has concluded that the permitee's stated bases for the requested extension represent good cause, and are reasonable.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that extending the construction completion date will have no significant impact on the environment.

The NRC staff has prepared an environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact which was published in the **Federal Register** on January 30, 2002 (67 FR 4475).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application dated April 9, 2001, and the NRC staff's letter and safety evaluation of the request for extension of the construction permit, dated January 30, 2002. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and are accessible electronically through the ADAMS public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (http://www.nrc.gov).

It is hereby ordered that the latest completion date for Construction Permit No. CPPR–134 is extended from June 1, 2001, to June 1, 2011, and that the permit holder's name be changed from Washington Public Power Supply System to Energy Northwest.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of January 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Jon R. Johnson,

Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02–3227 Filed 2–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-368]

Entergy Operations, Inc.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-6, issued to Entergy Operations, Inc. (Entergy, or the licensee), for operation of Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2 (ANO-2) located in Pope County, Arkansas.

The proposed amendment would revise the technical specifications by