

Estimate of Annual Burden:

Permit 2400-1: 4.5 minutes.
 Permit 2400-8: 4.5 minutes.
 Contract 2400-4: 5.5 minutes.

Type of Respondents:

Permit 2400-1: Individuals and small businesses.
 Permit 2400-8: Individuals.
 Contract 2400-4: Individuals and small businesses.

Estimated Annual Number of Respondents:

Permit 2400-1: 150,000.
 Permit 2400-8: 25,000.
 Permit 2400-4: 1,000.

Estimated Annual Number of Responses per Respondent:

Permit 2400-1: 4.
 Permit 2400-8: 4.
 Contract 2400-4: 5.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:

Permit 2400-1: 12,000 hours.
 Permit 2400-8: 2,000 hours.
 Permit 2400-4: 100 hours.

Comment is invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the stated purposes and the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical or scientific utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments received in response to this notice, including names and addresses when provided, will become a matter of public record. Comments will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval.

Dated: March 10, 2005.

Fredrick L. Norbury,

Associate Deputy for National Forest System.
 [FR Doc. 05-5213 Filed 3-15-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all Ranger Districts, Forests, and the Regional Office of the Pacific Southwest Region to publish legal notices of all decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR 215 and 217 and to publish notices for public comment and notice of decision subject to the provisions of 36 CFR 215. Further, these newspapers will become the newspapers of record for planning as defined in 36 CFR 219.16 and by notification required under 36 CFR 218. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers will be used to publish legal notices for public comment or decisions, thereby allowing them to receive constructive notice of a decision, to provide clear evidence of timely notice, and to achieve consistency in administering the appeals and objection processes.

DATES: Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin with decisions subject to appeal that are made after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until another notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sue Danner, Regional Appeals and Litigation Manager, Pacific Southwest Region, 1323 Club Drive, Vallejo, California 94592, 707-562-8945.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 4, 2003, updated CFR part 215 was published requiring publication of legal notice of decisions subject to appeal. On January 5, 2005, a final rule concerning 36 CFR part 219 was published requiring publication of legal notices as required by 36 CFR 215.5. The newspaper(s) of record for projects in a plan area is (are) the newspaper(s) of record for notices related to planning. Sections 215.5, 217.5, 218.2, and 219.16 require notice published in the **Federal Register** advising the public of the principal newspapers to be utilized for publishing legal notices. This newspaper publication of notices of decisions is in addition to direct notice to those who have requested notice in writing and to those known to be interested and affected by a specific decision.

In addition to the primary newspaper listed for each unit, some Forest Supervisors and District Rangers have listed newspapers providing additional notice of their decisions. The timeframe for appeal shall be based on the date of publication of the notice in the first

(primary) newspaper listed for each unit.

The newspapers to be used are as follows:

Pacific Southwest Regional Office*Regional Forester Decisions:*

Sacramento Bee, published daily in Sacramento, Sacramento County, California, for decisions affecting National Forest System lands and for any decision of Region-wide impact.

Angeles National Forest, California*Forest Supervisor Decisions:*

Los Angeles times, published daily in Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Los Angeles Ranger District: *Daily News*, published daily in Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California.

Newspapers providing additional notice of Los Angeles District Ranger decisions: *Pasadena Star News*, published in Pasadena, California; and *Foothill Leader*, published in Glendale, California.

San Gabriel river Ranger District: *Inland valley bulletin*, published daily in Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California.

Newspaper providing additional notice of San Gabriel River District Ranger decisions: *San Gabriel Valley Tribune*, published in the eastern San Gabriel Valley, California.

Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers Ranger District: *Daily News*, published daily in Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California.

Newspapers providing additional notice of Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers District Ranger decisions: *Antelope Valley Press*, published in Palmdale, California; and *Mountaineer Progress*, published in Wrightwood, California.

Cleveland National Forest, California*Forest Supervisor Decisions:*

San Diego Union-Tribune, published daily in San Diego, San Diego County California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Descanso Ranger District: *San Diego Union-Tribune*, published daily in San Diego, San Diego County, California.

Palomar Ranger District: *San Diego Union-Tribune*, published daily in San Diego, San Diego County, California.

Newspaper providing additional notice of Palomar District Ranger decisions: *Riverside Press*

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Forest Service****Newspapers of Record for the Pacific Southwest Region; California**

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

Enterprise, published daily in Riverside, Riverside County, California.

Trabuco Ranger District: *Riverside Press Enterprise*, published daily in Riverside, Riverside County, California.

Newspaper providing additional notice of Trabuco District Ranger decisions: *Orange County Register*, published daily in Santa Ana, Orange County, California.

Eldorado National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Mountain Democrat published four times weekly in Placerville, El Dorado County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Mountain Democrat published four times weekly in Placerville, El Dorado County, California.

Inyo National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Inyo Register published three times weekly in Bishop, Inyo County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Inyo Register published three times weekly in Bishop, Inyo County, California.

Klamath National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Siskiyou Daily News, published daily in Yreka, Siskiyou County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Siskiyou Daily News, published daily in Yreka, Siskiyou County, California.

Newspaper sometimes providing additional notice of Goosenest District Ranger decisions: *Klamath Falls Herald and News*, published daily in Klamath Falls, Klamath County, Oregon.

Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, California and Nevada

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Tahoe Daily Tribune, published daily (five times weekly) in South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County, California.

Lassen National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Lassen County Times, published weekly in Susanville, Lassen County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Eagle Lake Ranger District: *Lassen County Times*, published weekly in Susanville, Lassen County, California.

Almanor Ranger District: *Chester Progressive*, published weekly in

Chester, Plumas County, California.
Hat Creek Ranger District:
Intermountain News, published weekly in Burney, Shasta County, California.

Los Padres National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Santa Barbara News Press, published daily in Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Monterey Ranger District: *Monterey County Herald*, published daily in Monterey, Monterey County, California.

Santa Lucia Ranger District: *Telegram Tribune*, published daily in San Luis Obispo, San Luis Obispo County, California.

Santa Barbara Ranger District: *Santa Barbara News Press*, published daily in Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara County, California.

Ojai Ranger District: *Ventura Star*, published daily in Ventura, Ventura County, California.

Mt. Pinos Ranger District: *The Bakersfield Californian*, published daily in Bakersfield, Kern County, California.

Mendocino National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Chico Enterprise-Record, published daily in Chico, Butte County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Grindstone Ranger District: *Chico Enterprise-Record*, published daily in Chico, Butte County, California.

Upper Lake and Covelo Districts: *Ukiah Daily Journal*, published daily in Ukiah, Mendocino County, California.

Modoc National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

The Modoc County Record, published weekly in Alturas, Modoc County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

The Modoc County Record, published weekly in Alturas, Modoc County, California.

Plumas National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Feather River Bulletin, published weekly in Quincy, Plumas County, California.

Newspaper providing additional notice for Environmental Impact Statements: *Sacramento Bee*, published daily in Sacramento, Sacramento County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Beckwourth Ranger District: *Portola Reporter*, published weekly in

Portola, Plumas County, California.
Newspaper occasionally providing additional notice of Beckwourth District Ranger decisions: *Feather River Bulletin*, published weekly in Quincy, Plumas County, California.
Feather River Ranger District: *Oroville Mercury Register*, published daily in Oroville, Butte County, California.

Newspaper occasionally providing additional notice of Feather River District Ranger decisions: *Feather River Bulletin*, published weekly in Quincy, Plumas County, California.
Mr. Hough Ranger District: *Feather River Bulletin*, published weekly in Quincy, Plumas County, California.
Newspaper occasionally providing additional notice of Mt. Hough District Ranger decisions: *Portola Reporter*, published weekly in Portola, Plumas County, California.

San Bernardino National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

San Bernardino Sun, published daily in San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Mountaintop Ranger District—Arrowhead Area: *Mountain News*, published weekly in Blue Jay, San Bernardino County, California.

Mountaintop Ranger District—Big Bear Area: *Big Bear Life and Grizzly*, published weekly in Big Bear, San Bernardino County, California.

Front Country Ranger District: *San Bernardino Sun*, published daily in San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California.

San Jacinto Ranger District: *Idyllwild Town Crier*, published weekly in Idyllwild, Riverside County, California.

Sequoia National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Porterville Recorder, published daily (except Sunday) in Porterville, Tulare County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Porterville Recorder, published daily (except Sunday) in Porterville, Tulare County, California.

Shasta-Trinity National Forest, California

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Record Searchlight, published daily in Redding, Shasta County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Record Searchlight, published daily in Redding, Shasta County, California.

Sierra National Forest, California*Forest Supervisor Decisions:*

Fresno Bee, published daily in Fresno, Fresno County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Fresno Bee, published daily in Fresno, Fresno County, California.

Six Rivers National Forest, California*Forest Supervisor Decisions:*

Times Standard, published daily in Eureka, Humboldt County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

Smith River National Recreation Area: *Del Norte Triplicate*, published daily (five times weekly) in Crescent City, Del Norte County, California.

Orleans and Lower Trinity Districts: *The Kourie*, published weekly in Willow Creek, Humboldt County, California.

Mad River District: *Times Standard*, published daily in Eureka, Humboldt County, California.

Stanislaus National Forest, California*Forest Supervisor Decisions:*

The Union Democrat, published daily (five times weekly) in Sonora, Tuolumne County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

The Union Democrat, published daily (five times weekly) in Sonora, Tuolumne County, California.

Newspaper sometimes providing additional notice of Groveland District Ranger decisions: *Mariposa Gazette*, published weekly in Mariposa, California.

Newspaper sometimes providing additional notice of Calaveras District Ranger decisions: *Calaveras Enterprise*, published twice weekly in San Andreas, California.

Tahoe National Forest, California*Forest Supervisor Decisions:*

The Union, published daily (except Sunday) in Grass Valley, Nevada County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:

American River Ranger District: *Auburn Journal*, published daily in Auburn, Placer County, California.
Sierraville Ranger District: *Mountain Messenger*, published weekly in Downieville, Sierra County, California.

Newspapers providing additional notice of Sierraville District Ranger decisions: *Sierra Booster*, published weekly in Loyalton, Sierra County, California; and *Portola Recorder*, published weekly in Portola, Plumas County, California.

Truckee Ranger District: *Sierra Sun*, published weekly in Truckee,

Nevada County, California.

Newspaper providing additional notice of Truckee District Ranger decisions: *Tahoe World*, published weekly in Tahoe City, Placer County, California.

Yuba River Ranger District: *The Union*, published daily (except Sunday) in Grass Valley, Nevada County, California.

Newspaper providing additional notice of Yuba River District Ranger decisions: *Mountain Messenger*, published weekly in Downieville, Sierra County, California.

Dated: March 9, 2005.

Barbara J. Rate,

Deputy Regional Forester for Operations.

[FR Doc. 05-5134 Filed 3-15-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Forest Service****Newsome Creek Watershed Rehabilitation Project, Nez Perce National Forest, Idaho County, ID**

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; intent to prepare environmental impact statement.

Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7

SUMMARY: The Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement to disclose the environmental impacts of implementing watershed improvement activities within the Newsome Creek Watershed Rehabilitation project area. Individuals interested in actions of this nature are encouraged to submit comments and become involved in the planning process.

DATES: Comments concerning the scope of the analysis should be received at the address below on or before May 6, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Terry Nevius, Red River District Ranger, P.O. Box 416, Elk City, ID 83525.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Bransford, Project Coordinator, (208) 842-2113.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Newsome Creek Watershed Rehabilitation Project area is located on the Nez Perce National Forest in northern Idaho within Idaho County. Newsome Creek joins the South Fork Clearwater River 53 miles upstream of Kooshia, Idaho. This watershed has a very high habitat potential for both anadromous and resident fish with spawning occurring in the upper reaches and providing important

subadult/adult rearing habitat for fish in the lower section (USDA Forest Service, 1998).

The Newsome Creek Watershed Rehabilitation Project entails three main components; road decommissioning and improvements, culvert replacements, and the rehabilitation of approximately four miles of the mainstream Newsome Creek channel and floodplain.

Approximately 52 miles of roads have been identified for either decommissioning or improvement. Treating these roads will reduce chronic sediment delivery to the watershed's stream system.

Two culverts have been identified for replacement. They are located on a road that is identified above for improvement. These culverts are currently undersized and fish passage barriers. New culverts will be designed using natural stream simulation and will be able to handle bankfull stream flows.

In 2004, a feasibility study was done on rehabilitating approximately 4 miles of stream channel and floodplain that have been heavily altered by past dredge mining activities. From the feasibility study an alternative has been chosen that includes regrading sections of tailings piles to regain a functioning floodplain as well as reconstructing sections of stream channel that currently has little or no habitat diversity. This component of the project will create more fish habitat for spawning and rearing as well as providing a functioning riparian area and floodplain.

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45-days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

It is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1980) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court