Signed in Washington, DC, on November 8, 2022.

Treena V. Garrett,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, U.S. Department of Energy.

[FR Doc. 2022-24667 Filed 11-10-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER23-375-000]

Colice Hall Solar, LLC; Supplemental Notice That Initial Market-Based Rate Filing Includes Request for Blanket Section 204 Authorization

This is a supplemental notice in the above-referenced proceeding of Colice Hall Solar, LLC's application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate tariff, noting that such application includes a request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR part 34, of future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing protests with regard to the applicant's request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR part 34, of future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability, is November 28, 2022.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at http://www.ferc.gov. To facilitate electronic service, persons with internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically may mail similar pleadings to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426. Hand delivered submissions in docketed proceedings should be delivered to Health and Human Services, 12225 Wilkins Avenue, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

In addition to publishing the full text of this document in the Federal **Register**, the Commission provides all interested persons an opportunity to view and/or print the contents of this document via the internet through the Commission's Home Page (http:// www.ferc.gov) using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. At this time, the Commission has suspended access to the Commission's Public Reference Room, due to the proclamation declaring a National Emergency concerning the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19), issued by the President on March 13, 2020. For assistance, contact the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call toll-free, (886) 208-3676 or TYY, (202) 502-8659.

Dated: November 7, 2022.

Debbie-Anne A. Reese,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-24696 Filed 11-10-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings

Take notice that the Commission has received the following Natural Gas Pipeline Rate and Refund Report filings:

Filings in Existing Proceedings

Docket Numbers: RP23-77-001. Applicants: ANR Pipeline Company. Description: Tariff Amendment: Jackson Generation Amended NCNR Agmt No. 132120_2 to be effective 11/1/2022.

Filed Date: 11/4/22.

Accession Number: 20221104-5121. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/16/22.

Any person desiring to protest in any the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.211) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date.

Filings Instituting Proceedings

Docket Numbers: RP23–170–000. Applicants: Wyoming Interstate Company, L.L.C.

Description: § 4(d) Rate Filing: Firm Daily Balancing Service Update to be effective 12/1/2022.

Filed Date: 11/4/22.

Accession Number: 20221104–5101. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/16/22. Docket Numbers: RP23–171–000. *Applicants:* Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC.

Description: § 4(d) Rate Filing: Capacity Release Agreements—Vitol, Direct Energy and Constellation Energy to be effective 11/1/2022.

Filed Date: 11/4/22.

Accession Number: 20221104–5118. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/16/22. Docket Numbers: RP23–172–000. Applicants: Nautilus Pipeline

Company, L.L.C.

Description: § 4(d) Rate Filing:

Negotiated Rates—Walter OG 630249 eff

11-7-22 to be effective 11/7/2022.

Filed Date: 11/7/22.

Accession Number: 20221107-5072. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/21/22.

Any person desiring to intervene or protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

The filings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system (https://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/search/fercgensearch.asp) by querying the docket number.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf. For other information, call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: November 7, 2022.

Debbie-Anne A. Reese,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–24697 Filed 11–10–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. AD22-12-000]

Joint FERC-DOE Supply Chain Risk Management, Technical Conference; Supplemental Notice of Technical Conference

Take notice that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) will convene a Joint Technical Conference with the U.S. Department of Energy in the above-referenced proceeding on December 7, 2022, from approximately 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time. The conference will be held in-person at the Commission's headquarters at 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426 in the Commission Meeting Room.

The purpose of this conference is to discuss supply chain security challenges related to the Bulk-Power System, ongoing supply chain-related activities, and potential measures to secure the supply chain for the grid's hardware, software, computer, and networking equipment. FERC Commissioners and DOE's Office of Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Emergency Response (CESER) Director will be in attendance, and panels will involve multiple DOE program offices, the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), trade associations, leading vendors and manufacturers, and

The conference will be open for the public to attend, and there is no fee for attendance. This notice provides additional information regarding each panel and seeks nominations for interested panelists. The Commission will issue a further supplemental notice with a full agenda and the list of panelists. Information on this technical conference will also be posted on the Calendar of Events on the Commission's website, www.ferc.gov, prior to the event.

The conference will also be transcribed. Transcripts will be available for a fee from Ace Reporting, (202) 347–3700.

Those who wish to nominate their names for consideration as a panel participant should submit their name, title, company (or organization they are representing), telephone, email, a one-paragraph biography, picture, and topic they wish to address to: 2022SupplyChainTechConference@ferc.gov by close of business on Friday, November 18, 2022.

Commission conferences are accessible under section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For accessibility accommodations, please send an email to accessibility@ferc.gov, call toll-free (866) 208–3372 (voice) or (202) 208–8659 (TTY), or send a fax to (202) 208–2106 with the required accommodations.

For more information about this technical conference, please contact Simon Slobodnik at Simon.Slobodnik@ ferc.gov or (202) 502–6707. For information related to logistics, please contact Lodie White at Lodie.White@ ferc.gov or (202) 502–8453.

Dated: November 7, 2022. **Kimberly D. Bose,** *Secretary.*



Supply Chain Risk Management Technical Conference

Docket No. AD22-12-000

December 7, 2022, 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

8:30 a.m.—Opening Remarks and Introductions

9:00 a.m.—Panel I: Supply Chain Risks Facing the Bulk-Power System

The U.S. energy sector procures products and services from a globally distributed, highly complex, and increasingly interconnected set of supply chains. Information Technology (IT) and Operational Technology (OT) systems enable increased interconnectivity, process automation, and remote control. As a result, supply chain risks will continue to evolve and likely increase. This panel will discuss the state of supply chain risks from a national and geopolitical perspective. Specifically, the panel will explore current supply chain risks to the security of grid's hardware, software, computer, and networking equipment and how well-resourced campaigns perpetrated by nation states, such as the SolarWinds incident, affect supply chain risk for the electric sector. Panelists will discuss the origins of these risks, their pervasiveness, the possible impacts they could have on Bulk-Power System reliability, and approaches to mitigating them. The panelists will also discuss challenges associated with supply chain visibility and covert embedded spyware or other compromising software or hardware in suppliers' products, parts, or services.

This panel may include a discussion of the following topics and questions:

 Describe the types of challenges and risks associated with globally

- distributed, highly complex, and increasingly interconnected supply chains.
- 2. Describe the difficulties associated with supply chain visibility and how origins of products or components may be obscured.
- 3. How are foreign-supplied Bulk-Power System components being manipulated and is there a particular phase in the product lifecycle where the product is manipulated for nefarious intent?
- 4. How are these supply chain challenges and risks currently being managed?
- 5. How has the current geopolitical landscape impacted the energy sector's ability to manage supply chain challenges and risks?
- 6. How can Sector Risk Management Agencies and Regulators promote and/ or incentivize supply chain transparency at the earlier stages of product development and manufacturing?
- 7. Discuss the pathways (e.g., voluntary best practices and guidelines, mandatory standards) that together could address the current supply chain challenges and risks?
- 8. What actions can government take, both formal regulatory actions and coordination, to help identify and mitigate risks from the global supply chain for the energy sector?

 10:30 a.m.—Break

 10:45 a.m.—Panel II: Current Supply
- Chain Risk Management (SCRM)
 Reliability Standards, Implementation
 Challenges, Gaps, and Opportunities
 for Improvement

It has now been more than six years since the Commission directed the development of mandatory standards to address supply chain risks, and more than two years since the first set of those standards became effective. As discussed in Panel 1, supply chain risks have continued to grow in that time. In light of that evolving threat, panelists will discuss the existing SCRM Reliability Standards, including: (1) their effectiveness in securing the Bulk-Power System; (2) lessons learned from implementation of the current SCRM Reliability Standards; and (3) possible gaps in the currently effective SCRM Reliability Standards. This panel will also provide an opportunity to discuss any Reliability Standards in development, and how these new standards will help enhance security and help address some of the emerging supply chain threats.

This panel may include a discussion of the following topics and questions:

1. Are the currently effective SCRM Reliability Standards sufficient to

¹ See U.S. Dep't. of Energy, America's Strategy to Secure the Supply Chain for a Robust Clean Energy Transition: Response to Executive Order 14017, America's Supply Chains, 42, (Feb. 24, 2022), https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2022-02/ America's%20Strategy%20to%20Secure%20the %20Supply%20Chain%20for%20a%20Robust%20 Clean%20Energy%20Transition%20FINAL.docx_ 0.pdf.

successfully ensure Bulk-Power System reliability and security in light of existing and emerging risks?

2. What requirements in the SCRM Reliability Standards present implementation challenges for registered entities and for vendors?

3. How are implementation challenges being addressed for utilities and for vendors?

4. Are there alternative methods for implementing the SCRM Reliability Standards that could eliminate challenges or enhance effectiveness moving forward?

5. Based on the current and evolving threat landscape, would the currently effective SCRM Reliability Standards benefit from additional mandatory security control requirements and how would these additional controls improve the security of the Bulk-Power System?

6. Are there currently effective SCRM criteria or standards that manufacturers must adhere to in foreign countries that may be prudent to adopt in the U.S.?

12:15 p.m.—Lunch

1:15 p.m.—Panel III: The U.S. Department of Energy's Energy Cyber Sense Program

Through the Energy Cyber Sense Program, DOE will provide a comprehensive approach to securing the nation's critical energy infrastructure and supply chains from cyber threats with this voluntary program. The Energy Cyber Sense Program will build upon direction in Section 40122 of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, as well as multiple requests from industry, leveraging existing programs and technologies, while also initiating new efforts. Through Energy Cyber Sense, DOE aims to work with manufacturers and asset owners to discover, mitigate, and engineer out cyber vulnerabilities in digital components in the Energy Sector Industrial Base critical supply chains. This program will provide a better understanding of the impacts and dependencies of software and systems used in the energy sector; illuminate the digital provenance of subcomponents in energy systems, hardware, and software; apply best-in-class testing to discover and address common mode vulnerabilities; and provide education and awareness, across the sector and the broader supply chain community to optimize management of supply chain risks. This panel will discuss specific supply chain risks that Energy Cyber Sense will address as well as some of the programs and technologies DOE will bring to bear under the program to address the risks.

This panel may include a discussion of the following topics and questions:

1. How are emerging orders, standards, and process guidance, such as Executive Order 14017, Executive Order 14028, NIST Special Publication 800-161r1, ISA 62443, CIP-013-1, and others, changing how we assess our digital supply chain?

2. Given the dependence of OT on application-specific hardware, how could the inclusion and linkage of Hardware Bill of Materials (HBOMs) with Software Bill of Materials (SBOMs) increase our ability to accurately and effectively assess and mitigate supply chain risk? To what degree is this inclusion and linkage of HBOMs with SBOMs taking place today and what steps should be taken to fill any remaining gaps?

3. Given that much of the critical technology used in the energy sector is considered legacy technology, how can manufacturers, vendors, asset owners and operators, aided by the federal government, national laboratories, and other organizations, manage the supply chain risk from legacy technology? How can this risk management be coordinated with newer technologies that are more likely to receive SBOMs, HBOMs, and attestations?

4. Where does testing, for example Cyber Testing for Resilient Industrial Control Systems (CyTRICS) and thirdparty testing, fit in the universe of ʻrigorous and predictable mechanisms for ensuring that products function securely, and as intended?" 2

5. More than ever, developers are building applications on open-source software libraries. How can developers address the risks inherent with opensource software and how can asset owners work with vendors to validate that appropriate open-source risk management measures have been taken?

6. U.S. energy systems have significant dependencies on hardware components, including integrated circuits and semiconductors, most of which are manufactured outside of the US. What tools and technologies are needed to understand the provenance of hardware components used in U.S. energy systems and the risks from foreign manufacture? How will the newly passed CHIPS and Science Act change the risk landscape? What is needed in terms of regulation, standards, and other guidance to

strengthen the security of the hardware component supply chain from cyber and other risks?

2:45 p.m.—Break 3:00 p.m. Panel IV: Enhancing the Supply Chain Security Posture of the Bulk-Power System

This panel will discuss forwardlooking initiatives that can be used to improve the supply chain security posture of the Bulk-Power System. These initiatives could include vendor accreditation programs, product and service verification, improved internal supply chain security capability, third party services, and private and public

partnerships.

Vendor accreditation can be established in various ways. One of the more prominent ways is currently being explored by the North American Transmission Forum through its Supply Chain Security Assessment model and the associated questionnaire.³ The panel will also explore certain programs and practices used by utilities to verify the authenticity and effectiveness of products and services. Internal supply chain security capabilities include hiring people with the appropriate background and knowledge, while also developing relevant skills internally, through training on broad supply chain topics and applying them to the specific needs of the organization. Finally, this panel will address private and public partnerships on supply chain security and how they can facilitate timely access to information that will help better identify current and future supply chain threats to the Bulk-Power System and best practices to address those risks.

This panel may include a discussion of the following topics and questions:

1. What vendor accreditation programs currently exist or are in development? How can entities vet a vendor in the absence of a vendor accreditation program?

2. What are the challenges, benefits, and risks associated with utilizing thirdparty services for maintaining a supply chain risk management program?

3. What are the best practices and other guidance for security evaluation of vendors?

4. What programs and practices are currently in use to ensure product and service integrity?

5. What processes are used to test products prior to implementation?

6. What is the right balance between vendor and product security and cost? Is there a point of diminishing returns?

7. What are effective strategies for recruiting personnel with the

² See Exec. Order No. 14028, 86 FR 26,633, 26,646 (May 12, 2021) (The Executive Order declared that the security of software used by the Federal Government is "vital to the Federal Government's ability to perform its critical functions." The Executive Order further cited a "pressing need to implement more rigorous and predictable mechanisms for ensuring that products function securely, and as intended.")

³ https://www.natf.net/industry-initiatives/ supply-chain-industry-coordination.

appropriate background and SCRM skills to strengthen internal security practices? How do you provide the training necessary to further develop the skills specific to your unique organizational challenges?

8. What are the best ways to meaningfully assimilate SBOM information and what subsequent analyses can be done to strengthen internal security practices?

9. How can the industry keep informed of the latest supply chain compromises? How do entities currently respond to these compromises to keep their systems secure? Are there ways to improve these responses? What actions can government take, both formal regulatory actions and coordination, to help keep industry informed of supply chain compromises and to facilitate effective responses?

10. What key risk factors do entities need to consider prior to leveraging third party services and how should those risk factors be balanced with an entity's organizational policy? What SCRM controls do you have in place to ensure your systems and products have a reduced risk of compromise? Please discuss any challenges that you have experienced as well as successes.

11. How should government and industry prioritize and coordinate federal cross-agency and private sector collaboration and activities regarding SCRM?

4:45 p.m.—Closing Remarks 5:00 p.m.—Adjourn

[FR Doc. 2022–24710 Filed 11–10–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 298-000]

Southern California Edison Company; Notice of Authorization for Continued Project Operation

The license for the Kaweah Hydroelectric Project No. 298 was issued for a period ending December 31, 2021.

Section 15(a)(1) of the FPA, 16 U.S.C. 808(a)(1), requires the Commission, at the expiration of a license term, to issue from year-to-year an annual license to the then licensee(s) under the terms and conditions of the prior license until a new license is issued, or the project is otherwise disposed of as provided in section 15 or any other applicable section of the FPA. If the project's prior license waived the applicability of section 15 of the FPA, then, based on

section 9(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 558(c), and as set forth at 18 CFR 16.21(a), if the licensee of such project has filed an application for a subsequent license, the licensee may continue to operate the project in accordance with the terms and conditions of the license after the minor or minor part license expires, until the Commission acts on its application. If the licensee of such a project has not filed an application for a subsequent license, then it may be required, pursuant to 18 CFR 16.21(b), to continue project operations until the Commission issues someone else a license for the project or otherwise orders disposition of the project.

If the project is subject to section 15 of the FPA, notice is hereby given that an annual license for Project No. 298 is issued to the Southern California Edison Company for a period effective January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022, or until the issuance of a new license for the project or other disposition under the FPA, whichever comes first. If issuance of a new license (or other disposition) does not take place on or before December 31, 2022, notice is hereby given that, pursuant to 18 CFR 16.18(c), an annual license under section 15(a)(1) of the FPA is renewed automatically without further order or notice by the Commission, unless the Commission orders otherwise.

If the project is not subject to section 15 of the FPA, notice is hereby given that the Southern California Edison Company is authorized to continue operation of the Kaweah Hydroelectric Project under the terms and conditions of the prior license until the issuance of a new license for the project or other disposition under the FPA, whichever comes first.

Dated: November 7, 2022.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–24711 Filed 11–10–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings #1

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric corporate filings:

Docket Numbers: EC22–121–000; EC22–127–000.

Applicants: Desert Harvest II LLC, Desert Harvest, LLC, Milligan 1 Wind LLC, BigBeau Solar LLC, BigBeau Solar, LLC. Description: Response to October 27, 2022 Deficiency Letter of BigBeau Solar, LLC et al.

Filed Date: 11/3/22.

Accession Number: 20221103-5184. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/14/22.

Docket Numbers: EC23–23–000.
Applicants: ENBALA Power Networks (USA). Inc.

Description: Application for Authorization Under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act of ENBALA Power Networks (USA) Inc.

Filed Date: 11/3/22.

Accession Number: 20221103-5186. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/25/22.

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric rate filings:

Docket Numbers: ER23–376–000.

Applicants: Oak Solar, LLC.

Description: Baseline eTariff Filing:

Co-Tenancy and Shared Facilities Agreement to be effective 12/31/2022.

Filed Date: 11/4/22.

Accession Number: 20221104–5133. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/25/22. Docket Numbers: ER23–377–000.

Applicants: PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Original NSA, Service Agreement No. 6691; Queue No. AD2–115 to be effective 10/6/2022.

Filed Date: 11/7/22.

Accession Number: 20221107–5023. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/28/22.

Docket Numbers: ER23–378–000. Applicants: PJM Interconnection,

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: ISA, Original SA No. 6667; Queue No. AE1–157 to be effective 10/7/2022.

Filed Date: 11/7/22.

Accession Number: 20221107–5043. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/28/22.

Docket Numbers: ER23–379–000. Applicants: EWO Marketing, LLC.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: SRPSA Capacity Rate Adjustment to be effective 1/1/2023.

Filed Date: 11/7/22.

Accession Number: 20221107-5057. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/28/22.

Docket Numbers: ER23–380–000. Applicants: PacifiCorp.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Tri-State—Heward Interconnection Agrmt to be effective 1/7/2023.

Filed Date: 11/7/22.

Accession Number: 20221107-5067. Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 11/28/22.

Docket Numbers: ER23–381–000. Applicants: Midcontinent

Independent System Operator, Inc. Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: 2022–11–07_SA 3393 Ameren IL-