

for the Textron Model 560XL(XLS+) airplane because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the applicant apply for an ATC to modify any other model included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would also apply to the other model under § 21.101.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Textron Model 560XL(XLS+) airplane must comply with the exhaust-emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34, and the noise-certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36.

The FAA issues special conditions, as defined in 14 CFR 11.19, in accordance with § 11.38, and they become part of the type certification basis under § 21.101.

Novel or Unusual Design Features

The Textron Model 560XL(XLS+) airplane will incorporate a novel or unusual design feature, which is the installation of a digital system that contains a wireless and hardwired network with hosted application functionality that allows access, from sources internal to the airplane, to the airplane's internal electronic components.

Discussion

The Textron Model 560XL(XLS+) airplane electronic system architecture and network configuration change is novel or unusual for commercial transport airplanes because it is composed of several connected wireless and hardwired networks. This proposed system and network architecture is used for a diverse set of airplane functions, including:

- Flight-safety related control and navigation systems,
- Airline business and administrative support, and
- Passenger entertainment.

The airplane's control domain and airline information services domain of these networks perform functions required for the safe operation and maintenance of the airplane. Previously, these domains had very limited connectivity with other network sources. This network architecture creates a potential for unauthorized persons to access the aircraft control domain from sources internal to the airplane, and presents security vulnerabilities related to the

introduction of computer viruses and worms, user errors, and intentional sabotage of airplane electronic assets (networks, systems, and databases) critical to the safety and maintenance of the airplane.

The existing FAA regulations did not anticipate these networked airplane-system architectures. Furthermore, these regulations and the current guidance material do not address potential security vulnerabilities, which could be exploited by unauthorized access to airplane networks, data buses, and servers. Therefore, these special conditions ensure that the security (*i.e.*, confidentiality, integrity, and availability) of airplane systems will not be compromised by unauthorized wireless or hardwired electronic connections from within the airplane. These special conditions also require the applicant to provide appropriate instruction to the operator to maintain all electronic-system safeguards that have been implemented as part of the original network design so that this feature does not allow or reintroduce security threats.

These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to the Textron Model 560XL(XLS+) airplane. Should Textron apply at a later date for an amended type certificate to modify any other model included on Type Certificate No. A22CE to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well.

Conclusion

This action affects only a certain novel or unusual design feature on Textron Model 560XL(XLS+) airplane. It is not a rule of general applicability.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority Citation

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, and 44704.

The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type

certification basis for the Textron 560XL(XLS+) airplane for airplane electronic-system internal access.

1. The applicant must ensure that the design provides isolation from, or airplane electronic-system security protection against, access by unauthorized sources internal to the airplane. The design must prevent inadvertent and malicious changes to, and all adverse impacts upon, airplane equipment, systems, networks, and other assets required for safe flight and operations.

2. The applicant must establish appropriate procedures to allow the operator to ensure that continued airworthiness of the airplane is maintained, including all post-type-certification modifications that may have an impact on the approved electronic-system security safeguards.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 17, 2023.

Patrick R. Mullen,

Manager, Technical Innovation Policy Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2023–15468 Filed 7–20–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. FAA–2021–1035; Special Conditions No. 25–819–SC]

Special Conditions: Airbus Model A321neo XLR Airplane; Side-Stick Controller—Controllability and Maneuverability

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: These special conditions are issued for the Airbus Model A321neo XLR airplanes. The airplane will have a novel or unusual design feature when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport-category airplanes. This design feature is side-stick controllers for pitch and roll control. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: This action is effective on Airbus on July 21, 2023. Send comments on or before September 5, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by Docket No. FAA–2021–1035 using any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRegulations Portal:** Go to <https://www.regulations.gov/> and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- **Mail:** Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

- **Hand Delivery or Courier:** Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- **Fax:** Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202–493–2251.

Privacy: Except for Confidential Business Information (CBI) as described in the following paragraph, and other information as described in title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) 11.35, the FAA will post all comments received without change to <https://www.regulations.gov/>, including any personal information you provide. The FAA will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact received about these special conditions.

Confidential Business Information: Confidential Business Information (CBI) is commercial or financial information that is both customarily and actually treated as private by its owner. Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. 552), CBI is exempt from public disclosure. If your comments responsive to these special conditions contain commercial or financial information that is customarily treated as private, that you actually treat as private, and that is relevant or responsive to these special conditions, it is important that you clearly designate the submitted comments as CBI. Please mark each page of your submission containing CBI as “PROPIN.” The FAA will treat such marked submissions as confidential under the FOIA, and the indicated comments will not be placed in the public docket of these special conditions. Send submissions containing CBI to Troy Brown, Performance and Environment Unit, AIR–621A, Technical Policy Branch, Policy and Standards Division, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 1801 S Airport Rd., Wichita, KS 67209–2190; telephone and

fax 405–666–1050; email troy.a.brown@faa.gov. Comments the FAA receives, which are not specifically designated as CBI, will be placed in the public docket for these special conditions.

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at <https://www.regulations.gov/> at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Troy Brown, Performance and Environment Unit, AIR–621A, Technical Policy Branch, Policy and Standards Division, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 1801 S Airport Rd., Wichita, KS 67209–2190; telephone and fax 405–666–1050; email troy.a.brown@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The substance of these special conditions has been published in the **Federal Register** for public comment in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. Therefore, the FAA finds, pursuant to § 11.38(b), that new comments are unlikely, and notice and comment prior to this publication are unnecessary.

Comments Invited

The FAA invites interested people to take part in this rulemaking by sending written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data.

The FAA will consider all comments received by the closing date for comments. The FAA may change these special conditions based on the comments received.

Background

On September 16, 2019, Airbus applied for an amendment to Type Certificate No. A28NM to include the new Model A321neo XLR airplanes, which include the Model A321–271NY and –253NY airplanes. These airplanes are twin-engine, transport-category airplanes with seating for 244 passengers and a maximum takeoff weight of 222,000 pounds.

Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101, Airbus must show that the Model A321neo XLR airplanes meet the applicable provisions of the regulations listed in Type Certificate No. A28NM, or the applicable regulations in effect on

the date of application for the change, except for earlier amendments as agreed upon by the FAA.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (e.g., 14 CFR part 25) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the Airbus Model A321neo XLR airplanes because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the type certificate for that model be amended later to include any other model that incorporates the same novel or unusual design feature, or should any other model already included on the same type certificate be modified to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would also apply to the other model under § 21.101.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Airbus Model A321neo XLR airplanes must comply with the fuel-vent and exhaust-emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34, and the noise-certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36.

The FAA issues special conditions, as defined in § 11.19, in accordance with § 11.38, and they become part of the type certification basis under § 21.101.

Novel or Unusual Design Features

The Airbus Model A321neo XLR airplanes will incorporate the following novel or unusual design feature: Side-stick controllers for pitch and roll control.

Discussion

These proposed special conditions for the Airbus A321neo XLR airplane address the novel features of the side-stick controllers. The A321neo XLR will incorporate side-stick controllers controlling a fly-by-wire (FBW) electronic flight control system (EFCS). This system provides an electronic interface between the pilot's flight controls and the flight control surfaces for both normal and failure states, and it generates the actual surface commands that provide for stability augmentation and control about all three airplane axes. In addition, pilot control authority may be uncertain, because the side-stick controllers are not mechanically interconnected as with conventional wheel and column controls.

Current FAA regulations do not specifically address the use of side-stick controllers for pitch and roll control.

The unique features of the side stick must therefore be demonstrated through flight and simulator tests to have suitable handling and control characteristics when considering the following:

1. The handling-qualities tasks and requirements of the Airbus Model A321neo XLR airplane special conditions, and other 14 CFR part 25 requirements for stability, control, and maneuverability, including the effects of turbulence.

2. *General ergonomics*: Armrest comfort and support, local freedom of movement, displacement angle suitability, and axis harmony.

3. Inadvertent input in turbulence.

4. Inadvertent pitch-roll crosstalk.

The FAA Handling Qualities Rating Method (HQR) of Appendix E of the *Flight Test Guide for Certification of Transport Category Airplanes*, AC 25-7D, may be, but is not required to be, used to show compliance.

These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions apply to Airbus Model A321neo XLR airplanes. Should Airbus apply later for a change to the type certificate to include another model incorporating the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would apply to that model as well.

Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on one model series of airplanes. It is not a rule of general applicability.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority Citation

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for Airbus Model A321neo XLR airplanes.

(a) *Pilot Strength*. In lieu of the “strength of pilots” limits of § 25.143(d) for pitch and roll, and in lieu of the specific pitch force requirements of

§§ 25.145(b) and 25.175(d), the following applies:

The applicant must show that the temporary and maximum prolonged force levels for the side-stick controllers are suitable for all expected operating conditions and configurations, whether normal or non-normal.

(b) *Controller Coupling*. The electronic side-stick controller coupling design must provide for corrective and/or overriding control inputs by either pilot with no unsafe characteristics. Annunciation of controller status must be provided and must not be confusing to the flightcrew.

(c) *Pilot Control*. The applicant must show by flight tests that the use of side-stick controllers does not produce unsuitable pilot-in-the-loop control characteristics when considering precision path control tasks and turbulence. In addition, pitch and roll control-force sensitivity and displacement sensitivity must be compatible, so that normal inputs on one control axis will not cause significant unintentional inputs on the other.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 17, 2023.

Patrick R. Mullen,

Manager, Technical Policy Branch, Policy and Standards Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2023-15466 Filed 7-20-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 1270

[CPSC Docket No. CPSC-2013-0022]

Safety Standard for Adult Portable Bed Rails

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission or CPSC) has determined that there is an unreasonable risk of injury and death associated with entrapment and other hazards from adult portable bed rails (APBRs). CPSC has identified 284 fatal incidents related to entrapment by APBRs between January 2003 and December 2021. To address the risk, the Commission is promulgating a rule under the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA) to require that APBRs meet the requirements of the existing voluntary standard for APBRs, with modifications. CPSC estimates that the final rule will

provide up to \$298 million per year in societal benefits, while the costs associated with the rule’s requirements are expected to be approximately \$2 million per year.

DATES: The rule is effective on August 21, 2023. The incorporation by reference of the publication listed in this rule is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of August 21, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Will Cusey, Small Business Ombudsman, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504-7945 or (888) 531-9070; email: sbo@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background and Statutory Authority

In 2013, the CPSC received two requests to initiate rulemaking proceedings under the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA) to address an unreasonable risk of injury associated with APBRs. Gloria Black, the National Consumer Voice for Quality Long-Term Care, Consumer Federation of America, and 60 other organizations submitted one request; Public Citizen Health Research Group submitted the other request. Collectively, the petitioners stated that many of the deaths and injuries involving APBRs result from asphyxiation caused by entrapment within openings of the APBR rail or between the rail and the mattress or bed frame. The petitioners requested that the CPSC initiate rulemaking proceedings under section 8 of the CPSA to ban all APBRs. Alternatively, petitioners requested that the Commission initiate a rulemaking under section 9 of the CPSA to promulgate mandatory standards, including warning labels, to reduce the unreasonable risk of asphyxiation and entrapment posed by APBRs. Petitioners also requested action under section 27(e) of the CPSA to require manufacturers of APBRs to provide performance and technical data regarding the safety of their products.

The CPSC docketed the petition requests as a single petition: Petition CP 13-1, Petition Requesting a Ban or Standard on APBRs under the CPSA. On June 4, 2013, the Commission published a notice in the **Federal Register** seeking public comment on the petition. 78 FR 33393. Also in 2013, ASTM International (ASTM) formed the ASTM F15.70 subcommittee to begin developing a voluntary standard for APBRs.

On April 23, 2014, staff sent a briefing package on APBRs to the Commission