

evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). The final rule requires any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The final rule also modified 19 CFR 351.301 so that, rather than providing general time limits, there are specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. These modifications are effective for all proceeding segments initiated on or after May 10, 2013, and thus are applicable to this investigation. Interested parties should review the final rule, available at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt> prior to submitting factual information in this investigation.

### Revised Extension of Time Limits Regulation

On September 20, 2013, the Department modified its regulation concerning the extension of time limits for submissions in AD and CVD proceedings.<sup>46</sup> The modification clarifies that parties may request an extension of time limits before a time limit established under 19 CFR part 351 expires, or as otherwise specified by the Secretary. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the time limit established under Part 351 expires. For submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Examples include but are not limited to: (1) Case and rebuttal briefs, filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309; (2) factual information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c), or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2) filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3) and rebuttal, clarification and correction information filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(iv); (3) comments concerning the selection of a surrogate country and surrogate values and rebuttal; (4) comments concerning U.S. Customs and Border Protection data; and (5) quantity and value questionnaires. Under certain circumstances, the Department may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be

considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, the Department will inform parties in a letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. This modification also requires that an extension request be made in a separate, stand-alone submission, and clarifies the circumstances under which the Department will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on or after October 21, 2013, and thus are applicable to this investigation. Interested parties should review *Extension of Time Limits; Final Rule*, available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm>, prior to submitting requests to extend time limits in this investigation.

### Certification Requirements

Any party submitting factual information in an AD or CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.<sup>47</sup> Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials, as well as their representatives. Investigations initiated on the basis of petitions filed on or after August 16, 2013, and other segments of any AD or CVD proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2013, should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the *Final Rule*.<sup>48</sup> The Department intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with the applicable revised certification requirements.

### Notification to Interested Parties

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders (“APO”) in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Parties wishing to participate in this investigation should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of letters of

appearance as discussed in 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.203(c).

Dated: March 11, 2015.

**Christian Marsh**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

### Appendix I

#### Scope of the Investigation

The scope of this investigation covers all forms, sizes and compositions of silicomanganese, except low-carbon silicomanganese, including silicomanganese briquettes, fines, and slag. Silicomanganese is a ferroalloy composed principally of manganese, silicon, and iron, and normally contains much smaller proportions of minor elements, such as carbon, phosphorus, and sulfur. Silicomanganese is sometimes referred to as ferrosilicon manganese.

Silicomanganese generally contains by weight not less than 4 percent iron, more than 30 percent manganese, more than 8 percent silicon and not more than 0.2 percent phosphorus. Silicomanganese is properly classifiable under subheading 7202.30.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”).

Low-carbon silicomanganese is excluded from the scope of this investigation. It is sometimes referred to as ferromanganese-silicon. The low-carbon silicomanganese excluded from this investigation is a ferroalloy with the following chemical specifications by weight: minimum 55 percent manganese, minimum 27 percent silicon, minimum 4 percent iron, maximum 0.10 percent phosphorus, maximum 0.10 percent carbon, and maximum 0.05 percent sulfur. Low-carbon silicomanganese is classifiable under HTSUS subheading 7202.30.0000.

The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2015–06142 Filed 3–16–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–822]

### Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On November 7, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain helical spring lock washers (HSLW)

<sup>46</sup> See *Extension of Time Limits; Final Rule*, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013).

<sup>47</sup> See section 782(b) of the Act.

<sup>48</sup> See *Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (*Final Rule*); see also frequently asked questions regarding the *Final Rule*, available at [http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual\\_info\\_final\\_rule\\_FAQ\\_07172013.pdf](http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf).

from the People's Republic of China (PRC).<sup>1</sup> The period of review (POR) is October 1, 2012, through September 30, 2013. For the final results, we continue to find that Jiangsu RC Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu RC) made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value. We also continue to find that Suzhou Guoxin Group Wang Shun Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd. (Guoxin) is not eligible for a separate rate and remains part of the PRC-wide entity. Finally, we are not rescinding the review with respect to Winnen Industry Co., Ltd. (Winnen).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 17, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mary Kolberg or Sergio Balbontin, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1785, (202) 482-6478, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On November 7, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. We received case and rebuttal briefs with respect to the *Preliminary Results*. We conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the order are HSLWs. The product is currently classified under subheading 7318.21.0000, 7318.21.0030, and 7318.21.0090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.<sup>2</sup>

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to

this notice as an appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS).<sup>3</sup> ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is also available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Enforcement and Compliance Web site at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our analysis of comments received, we made revisions that have changed the results for Jiangsu RC. These changes include changes to the valuation of certain factors of production and calculation programming changes. For further details on the changes we made for these final results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

**PRC-Wide Rate and PRC-Wide Entity**

For the *Preliminary Results*, the Department assigned to the PRC-wide entity the rate of 128.63 percent, the rate determined for the PRC-wide entity in this proceeding.<sup>4</sup> Based on comments from interested parties in this administrative review, as discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we have calculated a final margin for Jiangsu RC of 192.88 percent, which is also the new rate for the PRC-wide entity.<sup>5</sup>

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determined that Guoxin, which ceased participating in this review, did not demonstrate its eligibility for a separate rate and, therefore, Guoxin is part of the PRC-

wide entity. No party commented on this finding. For the final results, we have continued to treat Guoxin as part of the PRC-wide entity.

In the *Preliminary Results* we also determined that, while the request for review had been timely withdrawn for Winnen, Winnen did not have a separate rate prior to the *Preliminary Results*. Accordingly, the Department did not rescind the review with respect to Winnen and it remained part of the PRC-wide entity, which remained under review.<sup>6</sup> No party commented on this finding. For the final results, we continue to treat Winnen as part of the PRC-wide entity.

**Final Results of the Review**

As a result of this administrative review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist:

| Exporter                                  | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Jiangsu RC Import & Export Co., Ltd. .... | 192.88                                    |
| PRC-wide Rate .....                       | 192.88                                    |

**Assessment**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. For customers or importers of Jiangsu RC for which we do not have entered value, we calculated customer-/importer-specific antidumping duty assessment amounts based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping duties calculated for the examined sales of subject merchandise to the total sales quantity of those same sales.<sup>7</sup> For customers or importers of Jiangsu RC for which we received entered-value

<sup>1</sup> See *Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 66356 (November 7, 2014) (*Preliminary Results*).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China; 2012–2013" dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>3</sup> On November 24, 2014, Enforcement and Compliance changed the name of Enforcement and Compliance's AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS) to AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). The Web site location was changed from <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> to <http://access.trade.gov>. The Final Rule changing the references to the regulations can be found at 79 FR 69046 (November 20, 2014).

<sup>4</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China*, 58 FR 53914 (October 19, 1993) and *Amended Final Determination and Amended Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China*, 58 FR 61859 (November 23, 1993).

<sup>5</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>6</sup> See, e.g., *Narrow Woven Ribbons With Woven Selvage From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 47363, 47365 (August 8, 2012), unchanged in *Narrow Woven Ribbons With Woven Selvage From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010–2011*, 78 FR 10130 (February 13, 2013). As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, a change in practice with respect to the conditional review of the PRC-wide entity is not applicable to this administrative review. See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65964, 65969–70 (November 4, 2013).

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

information, we have calculated customer/importer-specific antidumping duty assessment rates based on customer/importer-specific *ad valorem* rates in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases.<sup>8</sup> Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, including, in this case, Guoxin and Winnsen, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the revised PRC-wide rate of 192.88 percent. In addition, for companies for which the Department determined that the exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.

We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For subject merchandise exported by the companies listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in these final results of review for each exporter as listed above; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 9, 2015.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix I

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Separate Rate/PRC-Wide Entity
5. Surrogate Country
6. Discussion of the Issues

##### *Surrogate Values*

Comment 1: Whether the Department Used the Correct Surrogate Value and/or Time Period for Hot-Rolled Circular Silico-Manganese Steel Bar

Comment 2: Whether the Department's SAS Program Included a Value for Plywood

Comment 3: Whether the Department's SAS Program Properly Calculated TOTCOM

##### *Financial Statements/Ratios*

Comment 4: Whether the Department Should Use the Financial Statements of System 3

Comment 5: Whether the Department Should Use the Financial Statements of Mahajak Autoparts, and Hitech Fasteners

Comment 6: Whether the Department Should Adjust the Financial Ratio Calculations Based on the Financial Statements of Siam Anchor, System 3, and Bangkok

Fastenings  
*Value-Added Taxes*

Comment 7: Whether the Department Should Continue to Deduct from U.S. Price Irrecoverable Value-Added Tax

#### 7. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-05957 Filed 3-16-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Economic Development Administration

#### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy

**AGENCY:** Economic Development Administration (EDA), Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before May 18, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [Jjessup@doc.gov](mailto:Jjessup@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to David Ives, Senior Program Analyst, Performance and National Programs Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dives@eda.gov](mailto:dives@eda.gov)).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The mission of the Economic Development Administration (EDA) is to lead the federal economic development agenda by promoting innovation and competitiveness, preparing American regions for growth and success in the worldwide economy. In order to effectively administer and monitor its economic development assistance programs, EDA collects certain information from applications for, and recipients of, EDA investment assistance. This 60-day **Federal Register** Notice covers: Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDs). The collection of this

<sup>8</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).