

intermediary will return, as an extra payment on the loan, any funds delivered to the intermediary that have not been used by the intermediary in accordance with the work plan. The Agency, at its sole discretion, may allow the intermediary additional time to use the loan funds. Regular loan payments will be based on the amount of funds actually drawn by the intermediary.

(10) For IRP intermediaries, IRP funds in excess of \$250,000 that have not been used to make loans to ultimate recipients for 6 months or more will be returned to Rural Development unless Rural Development provides an exception to the intermediary. Any exception would be based on evidence satisfactory to Rural Development that every effort is being made by the intermediary to utilize the IRP funding in conformance with program objectives.

■ 12. Section 4274.361 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 4274.361 Requests to make loans to ultimate recipients.

(a) An intermediary may use revolved funds to make loans to ultimate recipients in accordance with § 4274.314(b) without obtaining prior Agency concurrence. Prior Agency

Dated: May 28, 2014.
Mark T. Welch,
General Manager.
[FR Doc. 2014-12762 Filed 6-2-14; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3670-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

13 CFR Parts 125 and 127

RIN 3245-AG20

Acquisition Process: Task and Delivery Order Contracts, Bundling, Consolidation; Correction

AGENCY: Small Business Administration.
ACTION: Correcting amendments.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) published a final rule in the **Federal Register** on October 2, 2013, which amended its regulations

concurrence is required when an intermediary proposes to use Agency IRP loan funds to make a loan to an ultimate recipient.

* * * * *

Dated: May 20, 2014.
Douglas J. O'Brien,
Deputy Under Secretary, Rural Development.
Dated: May 15, 2014.
Michael T. Scuse,
Under Secretary, Farm and Foreign Agricultural Services.
[FR Doc. 2014-12633 Filed 6-2-14; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-XY-P

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

10 CFR Part 1703

FOIA Fee Schedule Update

AGENCY: Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.
ACTION: Establishment of FOIA Fee Schedule.

SUMMARY: The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board is publishing its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Fee Schedule Update pursuant to the Board's regulations.

governing small business prime contracting by implementing provisions of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010. That rule was published with inadvertent errors in two of the regulatory sections. Those errors are corrected in this document.

DATES: Effective June 3, 2014.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dean Koppel, Office of Government Contracting, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., 8th Floor, Washington, DC 20416; (202) 205-7322.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 2, 2013, SBA published a final rule to implement provisions of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 pertaining to small business contracting procedures. 78 FR 61114. As discussed in detail below, the rule contained

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 1, 2014.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark T. Welch, General Manager, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC 20004-2901, (202) 694-7060.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FOIA requires each Federal agency covered by the Act to specify a schedule of fees applicable to processing of requests for agency records. 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(A)(i). On April 23, 2014 the Board published for comment in the **Federal Register** its Proposed FOIA Fee Schedule, 79 FR 22596. No comments were received in response to that notice, and the Board is now establishing the Fee Schedule.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 1703.107(b)(6) of the Board's regulations, the Board's General Manager will update the FOIA Fee Schedule once every 12 months. The previous Fee Schedule Update went into effect on July 23, 2012. 77 FR 41258.

Board Action

Accordingly, the Board issues the following schedule of updated fees for services performed in response to FOIA requests:

inadvertent errors in the instructions for sections 125.6 and 127.503, which affected the final regulatory text for those sections.

In § 125.6, SBA intended to amend paragraph (a) by revising the introductory text only. However, the final rule contained an instruction to revise paragraph (a). As a result, the final rule inadvertently removed paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(4). SBA is correcting § 125.6 by reinserting these paragraphs.

In § 127.503, SBA intended to remove paragraphs (a)(2) and (b)(2) and redesignate paragraphs (a)(3) and (b)(3) as paragraphs (a)(2) and (b)(2), respectively. However, the rule mistakenly instructed to revise paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), (b)(1), and (b)(2). As a result of this erroneous instruction, paragraphs (a)(3) and (b)(3) were not redesignated and are currently

Search or Review Charge	\$83.00 per hour.
Copy Charge (paper)	\$.05 per page, if done in-house, or generally available commercial rate (approximately \$.10 per page).
Electronic Media	\$5.00 per electronic media.
Copy Charge (audio and video cassette)	Actual commercial rates.
Duplication of DVD	\$25.00 for each individual DVD; \$16.50 for each duplicate DVD.
Copy Charge for large documents (e.g., maps, diagrams)	Actual commercial rates.

duplicates of paragraphs (a)(2) and (b)(2). SBA is correcting this duplication in § 127.503 by removing paragraphs (a)(3) and (b)(3).

List of Subjects

13 CFR Part 125

Government contracts, Government procurement, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Small businesses, Technical assistance.

13 CFR Part 127

Government procurement, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Small businesses.

Accordingly, 13 CFR Parts 125 and 127 are corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

PART 125—GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING PROGRAMS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 125 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 632(p), (q); 634(b)(6); 637; 644, 657(f); and 657(q).

■ 2. Amend § 125.6 by adding paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(4) to read as follows:

§ 125.6 What are the prime contractor performance requirements (limitations on subcontracting)?

(a) * * *

(1) In the case of a contract for services (except construction), the concern will perform at least 50 percent of the cost of the contract incurred for personnel with its own employees.

(2) In the case of a contract for supplies or products (other than procurement from a non-manufacturer in such supplies or products), the concern will perform at least 50 percent of the cost of manufacturing the supplies or products (not including the costs of materials).

(3) In the case of a contract for general construction, the concern will perform at least 15 percent of the cost of the contract with its own employees (not including the costs of materials).

(4) In the case of a contract for construction by special trade contractors, the concern will perform at least 25 percent of the cost of the contract with its own employees (not including the cost of materials).

* * * * *

PART 127—WOMEN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS FEDERAL CONTRACT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

■ 3. The authority for part 127 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 632, 634(b)(6), 637(m), and 644.

§ 127.503 [Amended]

■ 4. In § 127.503, remove paragraphs (a)(3) and (b)(3).

Calvin Jenkins,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Government Contracting and Business Development.

[FR Doc. 2014-12609 Filed 6-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2013-1073; Directorate Identifier 2012-NM-039-AD; Amendment 39-17856; AD 2014-11-06]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airplanes Originally Manufactured by Lockheed for the Military as Model P-3A and P3A Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain airplanes originally manufactured by Lockheed Martin Aeronautics Company for the military as Model P-3A or P3A airplanes. This AD was prompted by a determination that the existing maintenance or inspection program must be revised to address fatigue cracking of the airplane. This AD requires revising the maintenance or inspection program, as applicable, to incorporate certain limitations. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct fatigue cracking, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

DATES: This AD is effective July 8, 2014.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of July 8, 2014.

ADDRESSES: For service information identified in this AD, contact Avenger Aircraft and Services, 103 N. Main Street, Suite 106, Greenville, SC 29601-4833; telephone: 864-232-8073; fax: 864-232-8074; email: AAS@AvengerAircraft.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425-227-1221.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2013-1073; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (phone: 800-647-5527) is Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George Garrido, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, CA 90712-4137; phone: 562-627-5357; fax: 562-627-5210; email: george.garrido@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to certain airplanes originally manufactured by Lockheed Martin Aeronautics Company for the military as Model P-3A or P3A airplanes. The NPRM published in the **Federal Register** on January 21, 2014 (79 FR 3341). The NPRM was prompted by a determination that the existing maintenance program must be revised to address fatigue cracking of the airplane. The NPRM proposed to require revising the maintenance or inspection program, as applicable, to incorporate certain limitations. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct fatigue cracking, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We have considered the comment received. The single commenter, Lockheed Martin (Lockheed) did not request a change to the NPRM (79 FR 3341, January 21, 2014).

Conclusion

We reviewed the relevant data, considered the comment received, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting this AD as proposed except for minor editorial changes. We have determined that these minor changes: