

copy of the test report with its petition which can be found on the docket. Forest River states that video of the testing is available to NHTSA to view.

Forest River notes that no production changes are necessary because it ceased manufacturing the subject school buses in June 2020.

According to Forest River, the purpose of S5.2.3 of FMVSS No. 222, “is to mitigate against the effects of injury if an occupant is thrown against the restraining barrier in a crash.”

Forest River states that its testing conducted in January 2023 demonstrates that the subject frontal barrier complies with the relevant performance requirements because it indicates that the 30-inch frontal barrier “substantially exceeds” the S5.2.3 performance requirement. Forest River contends that its January 2023 testing was conducted in accordance with S5.2.3, “thus any noncompliance in this product (to the extent one actually exists) is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.” Forest River says that the testing apparatus used to conduct the testing “was sufficiently robust so that it remained stable during operation.” Forest River says that because the testing apparatus was sufficiently rigid, “the path of each of the loading bars remained laterally centered and maintained a straight path to the barrier and with minimal deflection, as the test procedure requires.”

Forest River notes that NHTSA has previously stated that one of its considerations when evaluating inconsequentiality petitions is the safety risk to individuals who experience the type of event against which the recall would otherwise protect.<sup>1</sup> According to Forest River, the subject noncompliance does not cause an enhanced risk to an occupant of an affected school bus because “the data clearly and unambiguously demonstrates that the frontal barriers meet the performance requirements of S5.2.3.” Forest River contends that its petition is unlike other inconsequential noncompliance petitions that involve a noncompliance with a performance requirement because there is no performance-related concern for the subject noncompliance, as shown by Forest River’s test results.

Forest River adds that no complaints, reports, or claims of any type have been received concerning the performance of the subject frontal barriers. Forest River acknowledges that NHTSA does not consider the absence of injuries or

complaints when determining the inconsequentiality of a noncompliance, however, Forest River believes that “this dearth of data in this case, when coupled with all of the other relevant data and information is instructive given the long field history of the subject barriers.”

To conduct the January 2023 testing, Forest River states that the test facility obtained four frontal barriers with the correct specifications directly from the supplier and selected one of those frontal barriers to evaluate.

Forest River claims that NHTSA “has not accounted for the deviations in the test procedure utilized by its own testing contractor.” Forest River states that S5.2.3 of FMVSS No. 222 requires the barrier performance forward testing to be conducted in accordance with the conditions stated in S5.1.3.1–S5.1.3.4 of FMVSS No. 222. Forest River contends that KARCO did not set up the test apparatus in accordance with FMVSS No. 222 when evaluating the subject frontal barrier on behalf of NHTSA. Forest River says that KARCO’s setup caused the test apparatus “to not be sufficiently rigid or stable and thus allowed it to inappropriately contort during testing.” According to Forest River, the test setup allowed the upper loading bar “to change course dramatically by veering to the left and pushing the force of the loading bar on the left side of the barrier.” Therefore, Forest River says, “It did not remain laterally centered against the barrier as required by S5.1.3.1 and S5.1.3.3 and deflected more than the 25 mm allowable by S6.5.1.” which “prevented the upper loading bar’s longitudinal axis from maintaining a transverse plane as required S5.1.3.1 and S5.1.3.3.”

Forest River concludes by stating its belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety and its petitions to be exempted from providing notification of the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118, and a remedy for the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120, should be granted.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, any decision on this petition only applies to the subject buses that Forest River no longer controlled at the time it determined that the noncompliance

existed. However, any decision on this petition does not relieve vehicle distributors and dealers of the prohibitions on the sale, offer for sale, or introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of the noncompliant buses under their control after Forest River notified them that the subject noncompliance existed.

(Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8)

**Otto G. Matheke, III,**

*Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2023–14725 Filed 7–11–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–59–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Interest Rate Paid on Cash Deposited To Secure U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Immigration Bonds

**AGENCY:** Departmental Offices, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** For the period beginning July 1, 2023, and ending on September 30, 2023, the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Immigration Bond interest rate is 3 per centum per annum.

**DATES:** Rates are applicable July 1, 2023 to September 30, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments or inquiries may be mailed to Will Walcutt, Supervisor, Funds Management Branch, Funds Management Division, Fiscal Accounting, Bureau of the Fiscal Services, Parkersburg, West Virginia 26106–1328.

You can download this notice at the following internet addresses: <<http://www.treasury.gov>> or <<http://www.federalregister.gov>>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ryan Hanna, Manager, Funds Management Branch, Funds Management Division, Fiscal Accounting, Bureau of the Fiscal Service, Parkersburg, West Virginia 261006–1328 (304) 480–5120; Will Walcutt, Supervisor, Funds Management Branch, Funds Management Division, Fiscal Accounting, Bureau of the Fiscal Services, Parkersburg, West Virginia 26106–1328, (304) 480–5117.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Federal law requires that interest payments on cash deposited to secure immigration bonds shall be “at a rate determined by the Secretary of the Treasury, except that in no case shall the interest rate exceed 3 per centum per annum.” 8 U.S.C. 1363(a). Related Federal

<sup>1</sup> See *Gen. Motors, LLC; Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance*; 78 FR 35355 (June 12, 2013).

regulations state that “Interest on cash deposited to secure immigration bonds will be at the rate as determined by the Secretary of the Treasury, but in no case will exceed 3 per centum per annum or be less than zero.” 8 CFR 293.2.

Treasury has determined that interest on the bonds will vary quarterly and will accrue during each calendar quarter at a rate equal to the lesser of the average of the bond equivalent rates on 91-day Treasury bills auctioned during the preceding calendar quarter, or 3 per centum per annum, but in no case less than zero. [FR Doc. 2015–18545]. In addition to this Notice, Treasury posts the current quarterly rate in Table 2b—Interest Rates for Specific Legislation on the TreasuryDirect website.

The Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public Finance, Gary Grippo, having reviewed and approved this document, is delegating the authority to electronically sign this document to Heidi Cohen, Federal Register Liaison for the Department, for purposes of publication in the **Federal Register**.

**Heidi Cohen,**

*Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. 2023–14762 Filed 7–11–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810–AS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Information Collection Request

**AGENCY:** Departmental Offices, U.S. Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection, request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Internal Revenue Service, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The IRS is soliciting comments concerning Form 14234, Compliance Assurance Process (CAP) Application and Sub-forms (A, B, C, D).

**DATES:** Comments should be received on or before August 11, 2023, to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting

“Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Internal Revenue Service (IRS)

*Title:* Compliance Assurance Process (CAP) Application and (sub-forms A, B, C, D).

*OMB Number:* 1545–NEW.

*Form Number:* 14234 and sub-forms A, B, C and D.

*Abstract:* Form 14234, Compliance Assurance Process CAP Application is strictly a voluntary program available to Large Business and International Division (LB&I) taxpayers that meet the selection criteria. CAP is a real-time review of completed business transactions during the CAP year with the goal of providing certainty of the tax return within 90 days of the filing. Taxpayers in CAP are required to be cooperative and transparent and report all material issues and items related to completed business transactions to the review team.

*Current Actions:* There are no changes to the forms at this time. However, the agency is making an administrative change to remove the Form 14234 and associated sub-forms from being approved under Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Control number 1545–1800; and is requesting a New OMB Control number for these forms.

*Type of Review:* Request for a new OMB Control Number.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit organizations.

*Taxpayer Burden:*

*Form 14234:*

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 125.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 12 hours 40 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 1,584.

*Authority:* 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

**Melody Braswell,**

*Treasury PRA Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2023–14680 Filed 7–11–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

### Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), Veterans Benefits Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of a modified system of records.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974, notice is hereby given that the

Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) proposes to revise the system of records titled “Loan Guaranty Fee Personnel and Program Participant Records—VA” (17VA26). This system contains information pertaining to Fee Personnel and Program Participants who are authorized to conduct VA property appraisals and those that process, close, fund and guaranty VA loans respectively. The previous system of records has expired and is being republished in full here.

**DATES:** Comments on this modified system of records must be received no later than 30 days after date of publication in the **Federal Register**. If no public comment is received during the period allowed for comment or unless otherwise published in the **Federal Register** by VA, the modified system of records will become effective a minimum of 30 days after date of publication in the **Federal Register**. If VA receives public comments, VA shall review the comments to determine whether any changes to the notice are necessary.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted through [www.Regulations.gov](http://www.Regulations.gov) or mailed to VA Privacy Service, 810 Vermont Avenue NW, (005X6F), Washington, DC 20420. Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to “Loan Guaranty Fee Personnel and Program Participant Records—VA” (17VA26). Comments received will be available at [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov) for public viewing, inspection or copies.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Colin Deaso Assistant Director PMDI, [Colin.Deaso@va.gov](mailto:Colin.Deaso@va.gov), 202–632–8796 Loan Guaranty Service (26), VA Central Office, Washington, DC 20420.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** VA Loan Guaranty System(s) contain information pertaining to Fee Personnel and Program Participants who are authorized to conduct VA business for the purpose of delivering the home loan benefit to Veterans. VA delegates authority to these participants and the information assists VA Loan Guaranty in conducting oversight of these participants. The modification is necessary as VA Loan Guaranty transitions from physical paper information to electronic storage of this information. Additionally, the previous system of records has expired, necessitating republishing. Document images of paper records will be transitioned to data elements to be stored electronically in tables.