

are the subject of the petition are heavier than the heaviest Golf model certified for sale in the United States, have a different four wheel drive configuration, and approximately 100mm of additional ground clearance. As a consequence, Volkswagen asserted that crash testing would be required to assure that the non-U.S. certified 1989–1991 Volkswagen Golf 4-Door Sedans comply with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard Nos. 203, *Impact Protection for the Driver from the Steering Control System*, 204 *Steering Control Rearward Displacement*, 208 *Occupant Crash Protection*, 212 *Windshield Mounting*, 219 *Windshield Zone Intrusion*, and 301 *Fuel System Integrity*.

Additionally, Volkswagen contended that the 1989–1991 Volkswagen Golf 4-Door Sedans produced in Germany for the European market would not comply with the Bumper Standard found at 49 CFR Part 581 because those vehicles have greater ground clearance than their U.S.-certified counterparts, and are equipped with front bumper mounted “bull bars” not found on U.S.-certified models. Volkswagen asserted that these features would affect the vehicles’ bumper and crash test performance.

Volkswagen also observed that 1989–1991 Volkswagen Golf 4-Door Sedans produced in Germany for the European market are equipped with headlamps and signaling lamps that would not comply with Standard No. 108 *Lamps, Reflective Devices, and Associated Equipment*, and would also require modification, including the installation of a center high mounted stop lamp, to comply with that standard.

Volkswagen further stated that a passive shoulder belt system would have to be installed on the non-U.S. certified 1989–1991 Volkswagen Golf 4-Door Sedans to comply with Standard No. 208 *Occupant Crash Protection*. Volkswagen noted that the installation of such a system would require the attachment of anchorages in the tunnel area and on the front door and the attachment and welding of reinforcements to the B-pillar. Volkswagen also noted that a knee bar would have to be installed on the instrument panel for compliance with the passive restraint crash test requirements.

Volkswagen also asserted that the non-U.S. certified 1989–1991 Volkswagen Golf 4-Door Sedans would not comply with Standard No. 212 *Windshield Mounting* because only clips were used for mounting the windshield on these vehicles, as opposed to the adhesive bonding method that was employed in the U.S.

certified versions. Volkswagen further observed that the non-U.S. certified 1989–1991 Volkswagen Golf 4-Door Sedans did not have the door beam structure that is necessary for compliance with Standard No. 214. Additionally, Volkswagen stated that the vehicles were manufactured with some foam seat parts that were not treated with flame resistant agents to comply with Standard No. 302.

G&K did not respond to Volkswagen’s comments even though NHTSA accorded it an opportunity to do so. In light of the issues that Volkswagen has raised regarding the lack of substantial similarity between non-U.S. certified 1989–1991 Volkswagen Golf 4-Door Sedans and the U.S.-certified versions of those vehicles, NHTSA has concluded that the petitioner has failed to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 1989–1991 Volkswagen Golf 4-Door Sedans are (1) substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and certified under 49 U.S.C. § 30115 and (2) are capable of being readily altered to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards. The petition must therefore be denied under 49 CFR 593.7(e).

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. § 30141(b)(1), NHTSA will not consider a new import eligibility petition covering these vehicles until at least three months from the date of this notice.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.7; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: August 2, 2000.

Marilynne Jacobs,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

[FR Doc. 00–19921 Filed 8–4–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2000–7710]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 2001 Porsche 911 Turbo Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 2001 Porsche 911 Turbo passenger cars are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic

Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that 2001 Porsche 911 Turbo passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) They are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is September 6, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 am to 5 pm].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–5306).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

J.K. Technologies of Baltimore, Maryland (“J.K.”) (Registered Importer 90–006) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 2001 Porsche 911 Turbo passenger cars are eligible for

importation into the United States. The vehicles which J.K. believes are substantially similar are 2001 Porsche 911 Turbo passenger cars that were manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 2001 Porsche 911 Turbo passenger cars to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

J.K. submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 2001 Porsche 911 Turbo passenger cars, as originally manufactured, conform to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 2001 Porsche 911 Turbo passenger cars are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 *Transmission Shift Lever Sequence * * **, 103 *Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 104 *Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems*, 105 *Hydraulic Brake Systems*, 106 *Brake Hoses*, 109 *New Pneumatic Tires*, 113 *Hood Latch Systems*, 116 *Brake Fluid*, 124 *Accelerator Control Systems*, 202 *Head Restraints*, 204 *Steering Control Rearward Displacement*, 205 *Glazing Materials*, 206 *Door Locks and Door Retention Components*, 207 *Seating Systems*, 209 *Seat Belt Assemblies*, 210 *Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages*, 212 *Windshield Retention*, 216 *Roof Crush Resistance*, 219 *Windshield Zone Intrusion*, 301 *Fuel System Integrity*, and 302 *Flammability of Interior Materials*.

Petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays*: (a) substitution of the word "Brake" for the international ECE warning symbol on the markings for the brake failure indicator lamp; (b) replacement of the speedometer with one calibrated in miles per hour. The petitioner states that the entire instrument cluster will be replaced with a U.S.-model component.

Standard No. 108 *Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment*: (a) installation of U.S.-model headlamps and front sidemarker lamps; (b) installation of U.S.-model taillamp

assemblies which incorporate rear sidemarker lamps; (c) installation of a high mounted stop lamp on vehicles that are not already so equipped.

Standard No. 110 *Tire Selection and Rims*: installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 111 *Rearview Mirror*: replacement of the passenger side rearview mirror with a U.S.-model component.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection*: installation of a warning buzzer and a warning buzzer microswitch in the steering lock assembly.

Standard No. 118 *Power Window Systems*: installation of a relay in the power window system so that the window transport is inoperative when the ignition is switched off on vehicles that are not already so equipped.

Standard No. 201 *Occupant Protection in Interior Impact*: inspection of all vehicles and replacement of any components subject to the standard that are not identical to those installed on the vehicles' U.S. certified counterparts.

NHTSA has been advised by Porsche, in a June 16, 2000 submission to the agency, that the 2001 model 911 Turbo, available at dealers as of June 2000, is certified to all requirements of Standard 201, including the impact requirements for upper interior components.

Standard No. 208 *Occupant Crash Protection*: (a) Installation of a seat belt warning buzzer, wired to the driver's seat belt latch; (b) inspection of all vehicles and replacement of the driver's and passenger's side air bags, knee bolsters, control units, sensors, and seat belts with U.S.-model components on vehicles that are not already so equipped. The petitioner states that the vehicles are equipped at the front and rear outboard designated seating positions with combination lap and shoulder belts that are self-tensioning and that release by means of a single red pushbutton.

Standard No. 214 *Side Impact Protection*: inspection of all vehicles and installation of reinforcing door beams on vehicles that are not already so equipped.

Petitioner states that the bumpers and bumper support structure on all vehicles must be inspected for compliance with the Bumper Standard found at 49 CFR Part 581, and replaced, if necessary, to assure compliance with that standard.

The petitioner also states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicles near the left windshield post and a reference and certification label must be affixed in the area of the left front door post to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

Petitioner also states that all vehicles must be inspected prior to importation for compliance with the Theft Prevention Standard at 49 CFR Part 541, and that U.S.-model anti-theft devices must be installed on a vehicles lacking that equipment.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 am to 5 pm]. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: August 2, 2000.

Marilynne Jacobs,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.
[FR Doc. 00-19922 Filed 8-4-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 2000-7657]

General Motors North America, Inc., Receipt of Application for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

General Motors North America, Inc., (GM) has determined that some 1995-1999 model year GM vehicles including Chevrolet and GMC light duty trucks, the Oldsmobile Bravada, Cadillac Escalade, and Pontiac Grand Prix, and Isuzu light duty trucks do not comply with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 118, *Power-operated window, partition, and roof panel systems*. The depression of the hazard warning flasher switch to its limit of travel may activate the retained accessory power (RAP) feature with no key in the ignition. This condition would not meet the operation requirements of S4 of FMVSS 118. A total of 973,922 GM vehicles and 1,540 Isuzu trucks may have this condition. Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and