

combined the notice of initiation and the notice of preliminary results.

In this changed circumstances review, pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act, the Department conducted a successor-in-interest analysis. In making a successor-in-interest determination, the Department examines several factors, including, but not limited to, changes in the following: (1) Management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base.<sup>7</sup> While no single factor or combination of factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication of a successor-in-interest relationship, generally, the Department will consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if the new company's resulting operation is not materially dissimilar to that of its predecessor.<sup>8</sup> Thus, if the record evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the predecessor company, the Department may assign the new company the cash deposit rate of its predecessor.<sup>9</sup>

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216, we preliminarily determine that Zhongce is the successor-in-interest to Hangzhou. Record evidence, as submitted by Zhongce, indicates that the only change undergone was that of the name, from "Hangzhou Zhongce Rubber Co., Ltd." to "Zhongce Rubber Group Company Limited."<sup>10</sup> Specifically, Zhongce provided a board of directors resolution authorizing the change of company name and specifying that the registered capital and business scope of the company were to remain the same;<sup>11</sup> a notarized notice of change in registration, affixed with the sign and seal of the Hangzhou Municipal Administration for Industry and Commerce, showing the change of names;<sup>12</sup> and a copy of its new business

license showing Zhongce's new name.<sup>13</sup> In summary, Zhongce presented evidence to support its claim of successorship and the change in name did not impact any of the criteria that the Department typically looks to when making a changed circumstances determination.

We find that the evidence provided by Zhongce is sufficient to preliminarily determine that the change of its corporate name from Hangzhou to Zhongce did not affect the company's operations in a meaningful way. Therefore, based on the aforementioned reasons, we preliminarily determine that Zhongce is the successor-in-interest to Hangzhou and, thus, should receive the same antidumping duty treatment with respect to OTR tires from the PRC as the former Hangzhou.

#### Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the case briefs, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d).

Consistent with 19 CFR 351.216(e), we will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated, or within 45 days if all parties agree to our preliminary finding. This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(b), 351.221(b) and 351.221(c)(3).

Dated: December 20, 2013.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2013-31117 Filed 12-26-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-941]

#### Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks from the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration,

International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("Department") is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period of review ("POR") September 1, 2012, through August 31, 2013. This rescission is based on the timely withdrawal of the request for review by the only interested party that requested a review.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 27, 2013.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Emeka Chukwudebe, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; (202) 482-0219.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On September 3, 2013, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from the PRC.<sup>1</sup> On September 30, 2013, the Department received a timely request from U.S. importer Electrolux North America, Inc., Electrolux Home Products, Inc., and Electrolux Major Appliances (collectively "Electrolux") to conduct an administrative review of Jiangsu Weixi Group Co. ("Weixi").<sup>2</sup> On November 8, 2013, in response to Electrolux's September 30, 2013, request, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from the PRC.<sup>3</sup> On December 4, 2013, Electrolux withdrew its request for an administrative review of Weixi.<sup>4</sup>

#### Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 78 FR 54235 (September 3, 2013).

<sup>2</sup> See letter from Electrolux to the Department titled, "Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks from the People's Republic of China: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review" (December 4, 2013) ("Electrolux Withdrawal Request").

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation In Part*, 78 FR 67104 (November 8, 2013); see also section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act") and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

<sup>4</sup> See Electrolux Withdrawal Request.

<sup>7</sup> See, e.g., *Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polychloroprene Rubber From Japan*, 67 FR 58 (January 2, 2002).

<sup>8</sup> See, e.g., *Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon From Norway: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 64 FR 9979, 9980 (March 1, 1999).

<sup>9</sup> See, e.g., *Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 63 FR 14679 (March 26, 1998), unchanged in *Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 63 FR 20572 (April 27, 1998), in which the Department found that a company which only changed its name and did not change its operations is a successor-in-interest to the company before it changed its name.

<sup>10</sup> See Zhongce Request for CCR.

<sup>11</sup> *Id.* at Attachment 1.

<sup>12</sup> *Id.* at Attachment 2.

<sup>13</sup> *Id.* at Attachment 3.

administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review, which in this case is February 6, 2014. On December 4, 2013, Electrolux timely withdrew its request for review within the 90-day time limit. Because no other party requested a review, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from the PRC covering the period September 1, 2012, through August 31, 2013.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from the PRC during the POR at rates equal to the cash deposit rate of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 20, 2013.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2013–31116 Filed 12–26–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[Application No. 87–9A001]

#### Export Trade Certificate of Review

**ACTION:** Notice of Issuance of an Amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to Independent Film & Television Alliance, Application no. 89–9A001.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to Independent Film and Television Alliance (“IFTA”) on December 20, 2013.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph E. Flynn, Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, by telephone at (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at [etca@trade.gov](mailto:etca@trade.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. Sections 4001–21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR Part 325 (2013). The U.S. Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration, Trade of Trade and Economic Analysis (“OTEA”) is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Secretary of Commerce to publish a summary of the issuance in the **Federal Register**. Under Section 305(a) of the Export Trading Company Act (15 U.S.C. 4012(b)(1)) and 15 CFR § 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary’s determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

#### Description of Certified Conduct

IFTA Export Trade Certificate of Review has been amended to:

1. Add the following companies as new Members of IFTA’s Certificate: Altitude Film Entertainment Limited (London, United Kingdom), Archstone Distribution, LLC (Los Angeles, CA), Artis Films Romania (Bucharest, Romania), Bos Entertainment, Inc., d/b/a The Exchange (Los Angeles, CA), Callister Technology and Entertainment LLC d/b/a Garden Thieves Pictures (Washington, DC), Corsan NV (Antwerp, Belgium), DARO Film Distribution GmbH (Monte Carlo, Monaco), Embankment Films Limited (London, United Kingdom), EntertainME US LLC (Hollywood, CA), Entertainment One (Toronto, Ontario, Canada), Exclusive Films International, Limited (Beverly Hills, CA), Filmnation Entertainment (Los Angeles, CA), Fortune Star Media Limited (Kowloon, Hong Kong), GFM Films (London, United Kingdom), Global Asylum, The (Burbank, CA), Gold Lion Films (Los Angeles, CA), Hasbro, Inc. (Burbank, CA), HBO Enterprises (New York, NY), Highland Film Group LLC (West Hollywood, CA), Huayi Brothers Media Corporation (Beijing, China), Hyde Park International (Sherman Oaks, CA), KSM GmbH (Wiesbaden, Germany), Lotte Entertainment (Seoul, South Korea), Mega-Vision Pictures Limited (Kowloon, Hong Kong), MICA Entertainment, LLC (Century City, CA), Mission Pictures International, LLC (Van Nuys, CA), Mister Smith Entertainment Limited (London, United Kingdom), MonteCristo International Entertainment, LLC (Los Angeles, CA), Multicom Entertainment Group, Inc. (Los Angeles, CA), Premiere Entertainment Group, LLC (Encino, CA), Protagonist Pictures Limited (London, United Kingdom), Reel One Entertainment, Inc. (Beverly Hills, CA), Regal Media International (Wanchai, Hong Kong), Relativity Media, LLC (Beverly Hills, CA), Shine International (London, United Kingdom), Sierra/Affinity (Los Angeles, CA), Six Sales Entertainment Group S.L. (Madrid, Spain), Studio City Pictures, Inc. (Studio City, CA), Taylor & Dodge, LLC (Los Angeles, CA), uConnect Films Ltd. (London, United Kingdom), and Vision Music, Inc. (Los Angeles, CA).

2. Remove the following companies as Members of IFTA’s Certificate: 111 Pictures Ltd., Action Concept Film and Stuntproduction GmbH, Adriana Chiesa Enterprises SRL, Alain Siritzky Productions (ASP), Alpine Pictures, Inc., American World Pictures, Bold Films L.P., Brainstorm Media, Brightlight Pictures Inc., Capitol Films Limited, Cinamour Entertainment, Cinemavault Releasing, Cinesavvy Inc.,