

Compliance between July 1, 2022, and September 30, 2022.

**Scope Rulings Made July 1, 2022 Through September 30, 2022**

*People's Republic of China (China)*

A-570-135 and C-570-136: Certain Chassis and Subassemblies Thereof From China

*Requestor:* Trans Texas Tire LLC. Wheel caps are not covered by the scope of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain chassis and subassemblies thereof from China because these components are not used for further assembly with a finished or unfinished chassis and are utilized solely for marine trailers, utility trailers, and recreational vehicles; July 6, 2022.

A-570-106 and C-570-107: Wooden Cabinets and Vanities and Components Thereof From China

*Requestor:* AYC LLC. Chloe Styling Station is not covered by the scope of these orders because this product is a freestanding cabinet that is not for permanent installation. The scope of the orders covers wooden cabinets and vanities that are for permanent installation. Therefore, Chloe Styling Station is outside the scope of the orders. Further, Sanden Shampoo Cabinet (AYC Styling Station) is covered by the scope of the orders because this product requires permanent installation by attachment of plumbing and, thus, falls within the scope as a cabinet for permanent installation; August 1, 2022.

A-570-922: Raw Flexible Magnets From China

*Requestor:* Fasteners for Retail, Inc. dba Siffron. Siffron's plastic shelf dividers are outside the scope of the AD order on raw flexible magnets from China because the raw flexible magnet component of the plastic shelf dividers is rendered inflexible by attachment to a component plastic blade, and the order only pertains to flexible magnets. Therefore, Siffron's plastic shelf divider is not within the scope of the order; August 9, 2022.

A-570-090 and C-570-091: Certain Steel Wheels 12 to 16.5 Inches in Diameter From China

*Requestor:* Wheel Source, Inc. (Wheel Source). Passenger vehicle wheel model numbers X-76801 and 28860W, which are 16 inches in diameter, imported by Wheel Source are not covered by the scope of the AD and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on certain steel wheels 12 to 16.5 inches in diameter (steel wheels) from China because they have different hub bore sizes, offsets, and load ratings

that make them unsuitable for use on trailer or towable equipment; August 26, 2022.

A-570-899: Certain Artist Canvas From China

*Requestor:* RV Print Factory LLC (RV Print). Certain polyester fabrics coated with ethylene-vinyl acetate (EVACPET) imported by RV Print are covered by the scope of the AD order on artist canvas from China because the fabrics are primed/coated with EVACPET to convert the fabric into a canvas and enter the United States as rolls that are converted/printed, varnished, framed, and shipped as artwork prints and custom photos; August 29, 2022.

**Preliminary Scope Ruling/ Circumvention Determination Combinations Made July 1, 2022 Through September 30, 2022**

*China*

A-570-051 and C-570-052: Certain Hardwood Plywood Products From China

*Requestor:* Coalition for Fair Trade in Hardwood Plywood. Commerce preliminarily found that hardwood plywood products assembled in Vietnam using certain inputs/ components sourced from China and exported to the United States are covered by the scope of the AD and CVD orders on hardwood plywood products from China.

Additionally, Commerce preliminarily determined that hardwood plywood products assembled in Vietnam using certain inputs/ components sourced from China and exported to the United States were not initially covered by the scope but were preliminarily found to be circumventing the orders; July 22, 2022.

A-570-042 and C-570-043: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip (SSSS) From China

Self-initiated Scope/Circumvention Inquiry concerning SSSS from China, further processed in and exported from Vietnam. Preliminarily found that SSSS produced in China that meets all specifications of in-scope merchandise but is exported from Vietnam, is covered by the scope of the AD and CVD orders on SSSS from China because the scope includes language covering SSSS that is further processed in a third country (e.g., Vietnam).

Additionally, preliminarily found that SSSS that meets all specifications of in-scope merchandise but is produced in Vietnam using certain non-subject stainless steel flat-rolled inputs of Chinese-origin were not initially

covered by the scope, but were preliminarily found to be circumventing the AD and CVD orders on SSSS from China because the processing performed in Vietnam is minor or insignificant based on the totality of the factors under section 781(b)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended; September 9, 2022.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

Interested parties are invited to comment on the completeness of this list of completed scope inquiries and scope/circumvention inquiry combinations made during the period July 1, 2022 through September 30, 2022. Any comments should be submitted to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, via email to [CommerceCLU@trade.gov](mailto:CommerceCLU@trade.gov).

This notice is published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(o).

Dated: November 16, 2022.

**James Maeder,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2022-25300 Filed 11-18-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Patent and Trademark Office**

**Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Patent Term Extension and Adjustment**

**AGENCY:** United States Patent and Trademark Office, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, invites comments on the extension and revision of an existing information collection: 0651-0020 Patent Term Extension and Adjustment. The purpose of this notice is to allow 60 days for public comment preceding submission of the information collection to OMB.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, comments regarding this information collection must be received on or before January 20, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments by any of the following methods. Do not submit Confidential Business

Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

- *Email: InformationCollection@uspto.gov.* Include “0651–0020 comment” in the subject line of the message.

- *Federal Rulemaking Portal: https://www.regulations.gov.*

- *Mail:* Justin Isaac, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Requests for additional information should be directed to Parikha Mehta, Senior Legal Advisor, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450; by telephone at 571–272–3248; or by email at *parikha.mehta@uspto.gov* with “0651–0020 comment” in the subject line. Additional information about this information collection is also available at *http://www.reginfo.gov* under “Information Collection Review.”

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

The patent term restoration portion of the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98–417), which is codified at 35 U.S.C. 156, permits the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) to extend the term of protection under a patent to compensate for delay during regulatory review and approval by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). Only patents for drug products, medical devices, food additives, or color additives are potentially eligible for extension. The maximum length that a patent may be extended under 35 U.S.C. 156 is 5 years. The USPTO administers 35 U.S.C. 156 through 37 CFR 1.710–1.791.

This information collection covers information gathered in patent term extension applications submitted under 35 U.S.C. 156(d). Under this provision, an application for patent term extension must identify the approved product; the patent to be extended; and the claims included in the patent that cover the approved product, a method of using the approved product, or a method of manufacturing the approved product. 35

U.S.C. 156(d) also requires the submission of information that enables the USPTO to determine the eligibility of the patent for extension, and the rights that will be derived from the extension, and information to enable the USPTO and the Secretary of Health and Human Services or the Secretary of Agriculture to determine the period of the extension. Additionally, 35 U.S.C. 156(d) requires the applicant for patent term extension to provide a brief description of the activities undertaken by the applicant during the regulatory review period with respect to the approved product and the significant dates of these activities.

This information collection also covers information gathered in requests for interim extensions pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) and 156(e)(2). Under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5), an interim extension may be granted if the applicable regulatory review period that began for a product is reasonably expected to extend beyond the expiration of the patent term in effect. Under 35 U.S.C. 156(e)(2), an interim extension may be granted if the term of an eligible patent for which an application for patent term extension has been submitted would expire before a certificate of extension is issued. In addition, this information collection covers requests for review of final eligibility decisions, and requests to withdraw an application requesting a patent term extension after it is submitted.

Separate from the extension provisions of 35 U.S.C. 156, the USPTO may in some cases adjust the term of an original patent under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154 due to certain delays in the prosecution of the patent application, including delays caused by interference proceedings, secrecy orders, or appellate review by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board or a Federal court in which the patent is issued pursuant to a decision reversing an adverse USPTO determination of patentability. The USPTO administers 35 U.S.C. 154 through 37 CFR 1.701–1.705. The patent term provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b), as amended by Title IV, Subtitle D of the Intellectual Property and Communications Omnibus Reform Act of 1999, allow the applicant an opportunity to request reconsideration

of the USPTO’s patent term adjustment determination. This information collection covers information gathered in such a request.

In addition, this information collection covers information collected when the USPTO reduces the amount of a granted patent term adjustment if delays were caused by an applicant’s failure to make a reasonable effort to respond to a communication from the USPTO within three months of the communication’s mailing date. Applicants may petition for reinstatement of a reduction in patent term adjustment with a showing that, in spite of all due care, the applicant was unable to respond to a communication from the USPTO within the three-month period.

The title of this item has been changed from “Patent Term Extension” to “Patent term Extension and Adjustment” to better reflect the scope of actions available regarding Patent terms that are a part of this information collection.

**II. Method of Collection**

Electronically, by mail, or hand delivery to the USPTO.

**III. Data**

*OMB Control Number:* 0651–0020.

*Forms:* None.

*Type of Review:* Extension and revision of a currently approved information collection.

*Affected Public:* Private sector; individuals or households.

*Respondent’s Obligation:* Required to obtain or retain benefits.

*Estimated Number of Annual Respondents:* 915 respondents.

*Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 915 responses.

*Estimated Time per Response:* The USPTO estimates that the responses in this information collection will take the public approximately between 1 hour and 25 hours to complete. This includes the time to gather the necessary information, create the document, and submit the completed item to the USPTO.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours:* 6,113 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Hourly Cost Burden:* \$2,659,155.

TABLE 1—TOTAL BURDEN HOURS AND HOURLY COSTS TO PRIVATE SECTOR RESPONDENTS

Item No.	Item name	Estimated annual respondents	Respondents per respondent	Estimated annual responses	Estimated time per response (hour)	Total annual hour burden	Hourly cost burden rate <sup>1</sup>	Total annual cost for time spent
		(a)	(b)	(a) × (b) = (c)	(d)	(c) × (d) = (e)	(f)	(e) × (f) = (g)
1 .....	Application to Extend Patent Term Under 35 U.S.C. 156.	146	1	146	25	3,650	\$435	\$1,587,750

TABLE 1—TOTAL BURDEN HOURS AND HOURLY COSTS TO PRIVATE SECTOR RESPONDENTS—Continued

Item No.	Item name	Estimated annual respondents	Respondents per respondent	Estimated annual responses	Estimated time per response (hour)	Total annual hour burden	Hourly cost burden rate <sup>1</sup>	Total annual cost for time spent
		(a)	(b)	(a) × (b) = (c)	(d)	(c) × (d) = (e)	(f)	(e) × (f) = (g)
2 .....	Request for Interim Extension Under 35 U.S.C. 156(e)(2).	29	1	29	1	29	435	12,615
3 .....	Petition to review final Eligibility Decision Under 37 CFR 1.750.	2	1	2	25	50	435	21,750
4 .....	Initial Application for Interim Extension Under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5).	8	1	8	20	160	435	69,600
5 .....	Subsequent Application for Interim Extension Under 37 CFR 1.790.	7	1	7	1	7	435	3,045
6 .....	Response to Requirement to Elect a Single Patent to Extend from a Single Regulatory Review Period.	39	1	39	1	39	435	16,965
7 .....	Response to Request to Identify Holder of Regulatory Approval.	2	1	2	2	4	435	1,740
8 .....	Declaration to Withdraw an Application to Extend Patent Term.	1	1	1	2	2	435	870
9 .....	Petition for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Determination.	631	1	631	3	1,893	435	823,455
10 .....	Petition for Reinstatement of Reduced Patent Term Adjustment.	14	1	14	4	56	435	24,360
11 .....	Petition to Accord a Filing Date to an Application Under 37 CFR 1.740 for Extension of a Patent Term.	4	1	4	2	8	435	3,480
Totals	.....	883	.....	883	.....	5,898	.....	2,565,630

TABLE 2—TOTAL BURDEN HOURS AND HOURLY COSTS TO INDIVIDUAL AND HOUSEHOLD RESPONDENTS

Item No.	Item name	Estimated annual respondents	Respondents per respondent	Estimated annual responses	Estimated time per response (hour)	Total annual hour burden	Hourly cost burden rate <sup>2</sup>	Total annual cost for time spent
		(a)	(b)	(a) × (b) = (c)	(d)	(c) × (d) = (e)	(f)	(e) × (f) = (g)
1 .....	Application to Extend Patent Term Under 35 U.S.C. 156.	4	1	4	25	100	\$435	\$43,500
2 .....	Request for Interim Extension Under 35 U.S.C. 156(e)(2).	1	1	1	1	1	435	435
3 .....	Petition to review final Eligibility Decision Under 37 CFR 1.750.	1	1	1	25	25	435	10,875
4 .....	Initial Application for Interim Extension Under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5).	1	1	1	20	20	435	8,700
5 .....	Subsequent Application for Interim Extension Under 37 CFR 1.790.	1	1	1	1	1	435	435
6 .....	Response to Requirement to Elect.	1	1	1	1	1	435	435
7 .....	Response to Request to Identify Holder of Regulatory Approval.	1	1	1	2	2	435	870
8 .....	Declaration to Withdraw an Application to Extend Patent Term.	1	1	1	2	2	435	870
9 .....	Petition for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Determination.	19	1	19	3	57	435	24,795
10 .....	Petition for Reinstatement of Reduced Patent Term Adjustment.	1	1	1	4	4	435	1,740
11 .....	Petition to Accord a Filing Date to an Application Under 37 CFR 1.740 for Extension of a Patent Term.	1	1	1	2	2	435	870

TABLE 2—TOTAL BURDEN HOURS AND HOURLY COSTS TO INDIVIDUAL AND HOUSEHOLD RESPONDENTS—Continued

Item No.	Item name	Estimated annual respondents (a)	Respondents per respondent (b)	Estimated annual responses (a) × (b) = (c)	Estimated time per response (hour) (d)	Total annual hour burden (c) × (d) = (e)	Hourly cost burden rate <sup>2</sup> (f)	Total annual cost for time spent (e) × (f) = (g)
Totals	.....	32	.....	32	.....	215	.....	93,525

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Non-hourly Cost Burden: \$327,003.*

There are no maintenance costs, capital start-up costs, or recordkeeping costs associated with this information collection. However, the USPTO

estimates that the total annual (non-hour) cost burden for this information collection, in the form of filing fees (\$326,920) and postage (\$83), is \$327,003.

*Filing Fees*

The items with filing fees are listed in the table below.

TABLE 3—FILING FEES

Item No.	Item	Annual estimated responses	Filing fee	Total cost
1	Application to Extend Patent Term Under 35 U.S.C. 156	150	\$1,180	\$177,000
4	Initial Application for Interim Extension Under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5)	10	440	4,400
5	Subsequent Application for Interim Extension Under 37 CFR 1.790	10	230	2,300
9	Petition for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Determination	650	210	136,500
10	Petition for Reinstatement of Reduced Patent Term Adjustment	15	420	6,300
11	Petition to Accord a Filing Date to an Application Under 37 CFR 1.740 for Extension of a Patent Term.	1	420	420
Totals	.....	836	.....	326,920

*Postage*

Although the USPTO prefers that the items in this information collection be submitted electronically, responses may be submitted by mail through the United States Postal Service (USPS). The USPTO expects that approximately 1% of the 915 responses in this information collection will be submitted in the mail, resulting in 9 mailed submissions. The USPTO estimates that the average postage cost for a mailed submission, using a Priority Mail 2-day flat rate legal envelope, will be \$9.25. Therefore, the USPTO estimates that the postage costs for the mailed submissions in this information collection will total \$83.

**IV. Request for Comments**

The USPTO is soliciting public comments to:

(a) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency’s estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the

validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

All comments submitted in response to this notice are a matter of public record. USPTO will include or summarize each comment in the request to OMB to approve this information collection. Before including an address, phone number, email address, or other personally identifiable information (PII) in a comment, be aware that the entire comment—including PII—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask in your comment to withhold PII from public view, USPTO

cannot guarantee that it will be able to do so.

**Justin Isaac,**

*Information Collections Officer, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office.*

[FR Doc. 2022–25314 Filed 11–18–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–16–P**

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION**

**Request for Nominations for the Energy Infrastructure Subcommittee and the Role of Metals Markets in Transitional Energy Subcommittee Under the Energy and Environmental Markets Advisory Committee**

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC or Commission) is requesting nominations for membership on the Energy Infrastructure Subcommittee (Infrastructure Subcommittee) and the Role of Metals Markets in Transitional

<sup>1</sup> 2021 Report of the Economic Survey, published by the Committee on Economics of Legal Practice of the American Intellectual Property Law

Association (AIPLA); pg. F–27. The USPTO uses the average billing rate for intellectual property attorneys in private firms which is \$435 per hour.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.