Country, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 25, 2004.

#### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 04–7391 Filed 3–31–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-496]

Certain Home Vacuum Packaging Products; Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to the Rival Respondents on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

**AGENCY:** International Trade

Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") terminating the Rival respondents from the above-captioned investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205–3152. Copies of the public version of the ID and all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public

record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://www.edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 18, 2003, the Commission instituted this investigation based upon a complaint filed by Tilia, Inc. and Tilia International (collectively, "Tilia"). 68 FR 49521. In its complaint, Tilia alleges that the accused imported products infringe claims 3, 4, 6, 24-25, and 34 of U.S. Patent No. 4,941,310. The notice of investigation named ZeroPack Co., Ltd., Applica, Inc., and Applica Consumer Products, Inc. (collectively, "the Applica respondents"); and The Holmes Group, Inc. and The Rival Company (collectively "the Rival respondents") as respondents.

On March 4, 2004, the presiding ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 45) granting the joint motion of Tilia and the Rival respondents to terminate the investigation as to the Rival respondents on the basis of a settlement agreement. The Commission investigative attorney supported the joint motion. The remaining respondents, the Applica respondents, did not respond to the motion.

No party filed a petition to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's action is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337) and in § 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: March 29, 2004. By order of the Commission.

## Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–7332 Filed 3–31–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–U

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-653 (Review)]

### **Sebacic Acid From China**

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of a five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from China.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted a review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, interested parties are requested

to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission; <sup>1</sup> to be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is May 21, 2004. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by June 14, 2004. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 1, 2004.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer ((202) 205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On July 14, 1994, the Department of Commerce issued an antidumping duty order on imports of sebacic acid from China (59 FR 35909). Following five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective May 26, 1999, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty order on imports of sebacic acid from China (64 FR 47766). The Commission is now conducting a second review to determine whether revocation of the order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct a full review or an expedited

¹ No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117–0016/USITC No. 04–5–087, expiration date June 30, 2005. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 7 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436