

Review of the following antidumping duty order:

DOC Case No.	ITC Case No.	Country	Product	Department contact
A-570-1104 .....	731-TA-1103 ...	China .....	Activated Cabron (1st Review) .....	Jennifer Moats, (202) 482-5047.

### Filing Information

As a courtesy, we are making information related to Sunset proceedings, including copies of the pertinent statute and Department's regulations, the Department schedule for Sunset Reviews, a listing of past revocations and continuations, and current service lists, available to the public on the Department's Internet Web site at the following address: "<http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset/>." All submissions in these Sunset Reviews must be filed in accordance with the Department's regulations regarding format, translation, and service of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303.

This notice serves as a reminder that any party submitting factual information in an AD/CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. See section 782(b) of the Act. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives in all AD/CVD investigations or proceedings initiated on or after March 14, 2011. See *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 7491 (February 10, 2011) ("Interim Final Rule") amending 19 CFR 351.303(g)(1) and (2) and supplemented by *Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Supplemental Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 54697 (September 2, 2011). The formats for the revised certifications are provided at the end of the *Interim Final Rule*. The Department intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with the revised certification requirements.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(d), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Because deadlines in Sunset Reviews can be very short, we urge interested

parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order ("APO") immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304-306.

### Information Required From Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b) wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the order without further review. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department's regulations provide that all parties wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the Commission's information requirements. Please consult the Department's regulations for information regarding the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews.<sup>1</sup> Please

<sup>1</sup> In comments made on the interim final sunset regulations, a number of parties stated that the proposed five-day period for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation was insufficient. This requirement was retained in the final sunset regulations at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b), however, the

consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: April 11, 2012.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10446 Filed 4-30-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-549-821]

### Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request from an interested party, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags from Thailand. The period of review is August 1, 2010, through July 31, 2011. As a result of the withdrawal of the request for review, the Department is rescinding this review.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 1, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Catherine Cartos or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1757 or (202) 482-1690, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On October 3, 2011, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the

Department will consider individual requests to extend that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.

antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags from Thailand. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part*, 76 FR 61076 (October 3, 2011). Based on a request for review from the Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bag Committee and its individual members, Hilex Poly Co., LLC and Superbag Corporation (collectively, the petitioners), we initiated a review of Apple Film Company, Ltd., First Pack Co. Ltd., Hi-Pak Company, Ltd., K International Packaging Co., Ltd., Landblue (Thailand) Co., Ltd., Multibax Public Co., Ltd., Praise Home Industry, Co. Ltd., Siam Flexible Industries Co. Ltd., Sombatchai Plastic Industry, Ltd., Thai Jirun Co., Ltd., Trinity Pac Co. Ltd., T.S.T. Plaspac Co., Ltd., and U. Yong Industry Co., Ltd. *Id.* No other party requested a review.

#### Rescission of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, “in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. The Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to do so.” On October 17, 2011, the petitioners withdrew their request for a review of the order with respect to First Pack Co. Ltd., K International Packaging Co., Ltd., Landblue (Thailand) Co., Ltd., Praise Home Industry, Co. Ltd., Siam Flexible Industries Co., Ltd., Thai Jirun Co., Ltd., and U. Yong Industry Co., Ltd. On December 23, 2011, the petitioners requested we extend the 90-day time limit for the withdrawal of the request for a review. On December 28, 2011, we extended the time limit for the withdrawal of the request for the review until February 29, 2012, based on the extraordinary circumstances leading to their request. On February 21, 2012, the petitioners withdrew their request for a review of the order with respect to Apple Film Company, Ltd., Hi-Pak Company, Ltd., Multibax Public Co., Ltd., Sombatchai Plastic Industry, Ltd., Trinity Pac Co. Ltd., and T.S.T. Plaspac Co., Ltd. Because we received no other requests for review of these companies and the petitioners withdrew their request within the extended time limit for the withdrawal of the request for a review, we are rescinding the administrative review of the order with respect to all companies. This rescission is in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment

instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection within 15 days after publication of this notice.

#### Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 26, 2012.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2012–10452 Filed 4–30–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Institute of Standards and Technology

##### Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award Panel of Judges

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of closed meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. app., notice is hereby given that there will be a meeting of the Panel of Judges of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award on Wednesday, June 13, 2012. The Panel of Judges is composed of twelve members prominent in the fields of quality, innovation, and performance management and appointed by the Secretary of Commerce, assembled to ensure the integrity of the Baldrige Award selection process. The purpose

of this meeting is to discuss and review information received from the National Institute of Standards and Technology and from the Chair of the Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award. The agenda will include: Overview of the Role of the Judges and Scoring Data Reviewed at the September 2011 Meeting, 2012 Baldrige Award Cycle, Conflict of Interest, Judges’ Survey of Applicants, Judging Process Improvement Discussion and Judges’ Mentoring Program, and a Baldrige Program Update.

**DATES:** The meeting will convene on Wednesday, June 13, 2012, at 9:00 a.m. Eastern time and adjourn at 4:00 p.m. Eastern time. The entire meeting will be closed.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Administration Building, Lecture Room E, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Harry Hertz, Director, Baldrige Performance Excellence Program, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, telephone number (301) 975–2361.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the General Counsel, formally determined on March 7, 2011, that the meeting of the Judges Panel may be closed in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4) because the meeting is likely to disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person which is privileged or confidential and 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B) because for a government agency the meetings are likely to disclose information that could significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action. The meeting, which involves examination of Award applicant data from U.S. companies and other organizations and a discussion of these data as compared to the Award criteria in order to recommend Award recipients, will be closed to the public.

Dated: April 24, 2012.

**Phillip Singerman,**

*Associate Director for Innovation & Industry Services.*

[FR Doc. 2012–10438 Filed 4–30–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–13–P**