

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 25, 2020.

Yao-Chin Chao,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2020–19042 Filed 8–28–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notice of Proposals To Engage in or To Acquire Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y, (12 CFR part 225) to engage de novo, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The public portions of the applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, if any, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank(s) indicated below and at the offices of the Board of Governors. This information may also be obtained on an expedited basis, upon request, by contacting the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank and from the Board's Freedom of Information Office at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/foia/request.htm>. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank(s) indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors, Ann E. Misback, Secretary of the Board, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551–0001, not later than September 15, 2020.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of New York (Ivan Hurwitz, Senior Vice President) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045–0001. Comments can also be sent electronically to

Comments.applications@ny.frb.org:

1. **CRB Group, Inc., Fort Lee, New Jersey**; to acquire Synthetic P2P Holdings Corporation, d/b/a PeerIQ, New York, New York, and thereby engage in data processing activities

pursuant to section 225.28(b)(14) of Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 26, 2020.

Yao-Chin Chao,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2020–19158 Filed 8–28–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (Act) (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire shares of a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The public portions of the applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, if any, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank(s) indicated below and at the offices of the Board of Governors. This information may also be obtained on an expedited basis, upon request, by contacting the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank and from the Board's Freedom of Information Office at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/foia/request.htm>. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in paragraph 7 of the Act.

Comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors, Ann E. Misback, Secretary of the Board, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551–0001, not later than September 15, 2020.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (David L. Hubbard, Senior Manager) P.O. Box 442, St. Louis, Missouri 63166–2034. Comments can also be sent electronically to

Comments.applications@stls.frb.org:

1. **Susan and Kent Wunderlich Family Trust, Philip S. Wunderlich and Gary Wunderlich, Jr., as co-trustees, and a trust established for a minor child, Gary Wunderlich, Jr., as trustee, all of Memphis, Tennessee**; to become members of the Wunderlich Family Group, a group acting in concert, and to acquire voting shares of Financial FedCorp, Inc., and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Financial Federal Bank, both of Memphis, Tennessee.

In addition, The Gary K. Wunderlich III Trust, The Madison Graves Wunderlich Trust, Gary Wunderlich, Jr., as trustee for both trusts, The Philip S. Wunderlich, Jr. Trust, The Elizabeth T. Wunderlich Trust, and a trust established for a minor child, Philip Wunderlich, as trustee for all three trusts, and all of Memphis, Tennessee; as members of the Wunderlich Family Group, a group acting in concert, to retain voting shares of Financial FedCorp, Inc., and thereby indirectly retain voting shares of Financial Federal Bank.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 26, 2020.

Yao-Chin Chao,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2020–19157 Filed 8–28–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 201–0041]

Arko Holdings Ltd. and Empire Petroleum Partners, LLC; Analysis of Consent Orders To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 30, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file comments online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Please write: “Arko Holdings Ltd. and Empire Petroleum Partners, LLC; File No. 201 0041” on your comment, and file your comment online at <https://www.regulations.gov> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, please mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC–5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20580; or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th

Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven Couper (202–326–3349), Bureau of Competition, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 2.34, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Orders to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC website (for August 25, 2020), at this web address: <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/commission-actions>.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before September 30, 2020. Write “Arko Holdings Ltd. and Empire Petroleum Partners, LLC; File No. 201 0041” on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website.

Due to the public health emergency in response to the COVID–19 outbreak and the agency’s heightened security screening, postal mail addressed to the Commission will be subject to delay. We strongly encourage you to submit your comments online through the <https://www.regulations.gov> website.

If you prefer to file your comment on paper, write “Arko Holdings Ltd. and Empire Petroleum Partners, LLC; File No. 201 0041” on your comment and on the envelope, and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC–5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20580; or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

Because your comment will be placed on the publicly accessible website at

<https://www.regulations.gov>, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive or confidential information. In particular, your comment should not include sensitive personal information, such as your or anyone else’s Social Security number; date of birth; driver’s license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure your comment does not include sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, your comment should not include any “trade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential”—as provided by Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2)—including in particular competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled “Confidential,” and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c). In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c). Your comment will be kept confidential only if the General Counsel grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest. Once your comment has been posted on the public FTC website—as legally required by FTC Rule 4.9(b)—we cannot redact or remove your comment from the FTC website, unless you submit a confidentiality request that meets the requirements for such treatment under FTC Rule 4.9(c), and the General Counsel grants that request.

Visit the FTC website at <http://www.ftc.gov> to read this Notice and the news release describing this matter. The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding, as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before September 30, 2020. For information on the Commission’s privacy policy, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, see

<https://www.ftc.gov/site-information/privacy-policy>.

Analysis of Consent Orders To Aid Public Comment

I. Introduction

The Federal Trade Commission (“Commission”) has accepted for public comment, subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Orders (“Consent Agreement”) from Arko Holdings Ltd. (“Arko”), GPM Southeast, LLC, and GPM Petroleum, LLC (collectively with Arko, “GPM”) and Empire Petroleum Partners, LLC (“Empire,” and collectively “Respondents”). The Consent Agreement is designed to remedy the anticompetitive effects that likely would result from GPM’s proposed acquisition of retail fuel assets from Empire.

Under the terms of the proposed Consent Agreement, Respondents must divest certain retail fuel assets in seven local markets in Indiana, Michigan, Maryland, and Texas. Respondents must complete the divestiture within 20 days after the closing of the acquisition. The Commission and Respondents have agreed to an Order to Maintain Assets that requires Respondents to operate and maintain each divestiture outlet in the normal course of business through the date the up-front buyers acquire the divested assets.

The Commission has placed the proposed Consent Agreement on the public record for 30 days to solicit comments from interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After 30 days, the Commission will review the proposed Consent Agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the Consent Agreement, modify it, or make it final.

II. The Respondents

Respondent Arko is a publicly traded company headquartered in Tel Aviv, Israel. Arko, through its subsidiaries GPM Southeast, LLC, and GPM Petroleum, LLC, supplies wholesale fuel to or operates approximately 1,400 retail fuel and convenience stores in twenty-two states across the South, Mid-Atlantic, and Midwest. In 2019, GPM ranked as the sixth largest operator of retail fuel and convenience stores in the United States.

Respondent Empire is a privately held Delaware limited liability company headquartered in Dallas, Texas. Empire also distributes fuel on a wholesale basis and operates retail fuel and convenience stores in 30 states and Washington, DC With respect to

wholesale fuel distribution, Empire is a “super jobber,” a company that supplies over one billion gallons of fuel each year. Empire has supply relationships with all major oil companies, and distributes both branded and unbranded fuel. Empire supplies fuel to 1,555 retail sites, and operates 76 retail fuel and convenience stores itself.

III. The Proposed Acquisition

On December 17, 2019, GPM entered into an agreement to acquire certain retail and wholesale fuel assets from Empire and related entities (the “Acquisition”). With the Complaint, the Commission alleges that the Acquisition, if consummated, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18, and that the Acquisition agreement constitutes a violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 45, by substantially lessening competition for the retail sale of gasoline in seven local markets in Indiana, Michigan, Maryland, and Texas, and by substantially lessening competition for the retail sale of diesel fuel in three local markets in Indiana, Michigan, and Texas.

IV. The Retail Sale of Gasoline and Diesel Fuel

The Commission alleges that the relevant product markets in which to analyze the Acquisition are the retail sale of gasoline and the retail sale of diesel fuel. Consumers require gasoline for their gasoline-powered vehicles and can purchase gasoline only at retail fuel outlets. Likewise, consumers require diesel fuel for their diesel-powered vehicles and can purchase diesel fuel only at retail fuel outlets. The retail sale of gasoline and the retail sale of diesel fuel constitute separate relevant markets because the two are not interchangeable. Vehicles that run on gasoline cannot run on diesel fuel, and vehicles that run on diesel fuel cannot run on gasoline.

The Commission alleges that the relevant geographic markets in which to assess the competitive effects of the Acquisition with respect to the retail sale of gasoline are seven local markets in and around the following cities: Knox, Indiana; Kokomo, Indiana; South Bend, Indiana; Stevensville, Maryland; Edmore, Michigan; Hastings, Michigan; and Arlington, Texas. The relevant geographic markets in which to assess the competitive effects of the Acquisition with respect to the retail sale of diesel fuel are three local markets in and around the following cities: South Bend, Indiana; Edmore, Michigan; and Arlington, Texas.

The geographic markets for retail gasoline and retail diesel fuel are highly localized, depending on the unique circumstances of each area. Each relevant market is distinct and fact-dependent, reflecting many considerations, including commuting patterns, traffic flows, and outlet characteristics. Consumers typically choose between nearby retail fuel outlets with similar characteristics along their planned routes. The geographic markets for the retail sale of diesel fuel are similar to the corresponding geographic markets for retail gasoline, as many diesel fuel consumers exhibit preferences and behaviors similar to those of gasoline consumers.

The Acquisition would substantially lessen competition in each of these local markets, resulting in seven highly concentrated markets for the retail sale of gasoline and three highly concentrated markets for the retail sale of diesel fuel. Retail fuel outlets compete on price, store format, product offerings, and location, and pay close attention to competitors in close proximity, on similar traffic flows, and with similar store characteristics. In each of the local gasoline and diesel fuel retail markets, the Acquisition would reduce the number of competitively constraining independent market participants to three or fewer. The combined entity would be able to raise prices unilaterally in markets where GPM and Empire are close competitors. Absent the Acquisition, GPM and Empire would continue to compete head to head in these local markets.

Moreover, the Acquisition would enhance the incentives for interdependent behavior in local markets where only two or three competitively constraining independent market participants would remain. Two aspects of the retail fuel industry make it vulnerable to such coordination. First, retail fuel outlets post their fuel prices on price signs that are visible from the street, allowing competitors to observe each other's fuel prices without difficulty. Second, retail fuel outlets regularly track their competitors' fuel prices and change their own prices in response. These repeated interactions give retail fuel outlets familiarity with how their competitors price and how changing prices affect fuel sales.

Entry into each relevant market would not be timely, likely, or sufficient to deter or counteract the anticompetitive effects arising from the Acquisition. Significant entry barriers include the availability of attractive real estate, the time and cost associated with constructing a new retail fuel outlet, and

the time associated with obtaining necessary permits and approvals.

V. The Proposed Consent Agreement

The proposed Consent Agreement would remedy the Acquisition's likely anticompetitive effects by requiring Respondents to divest certain retail fuel assets to an independent competitor in each local market. Each buyer of divestiture assets is an experienced operator or supplier of retail fuel sites, and will be a new entrant into the local market.

The proposed Consent Agreement requires that the divestiture be completed no later than 20 days after Respondents consummate the Acquisition. The proposed Consent Agreement further requires Respondents to maintain the economic viability, marketability, and competitiveness of each divestiture asset until the divestiture is complete. For up to 15 months following the divestiture, Respondents must provide transitional services, as needed, to assist the buyers with the divestiture assets.

In addition to requiring outlet divestitures, the proposed Consent Agreement requires Respondents to provide the Commission notice before acquiring retail fuel assets within a fixed distance of any GPM outlet in a market involving a divestiture for ten years. The prior notice provision is necessary because an acquisition in close proximity to divested assets likely would raise the same competitive concerns as the Acquisition, and may fall below the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act premerger notification thresholds.

The proposed Consent Agreement contains additional provisions designed to ensure the effectiveness of the proposed relief. For example, Respondents have agreed to an Order to Maintain Assets that will issue at the time the proposed Consent Agreement is accepted for public comment. The Order to Maintain Assets requires Respondents to operate and maintain each divestiture outlet in the normal course of business, through the date Respondents complete the divestiture. The Commission may appoint an independent third party as a Monitor to oversee Respondents' compliance with the requirements of the proposed Consent Agreement.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed Consent Agreement, and the Commission does not intend this analysis to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Consent Agreement or to modify its terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission,
Commissioner Slaughter and Commissioner
Wilson not participating.

April J. Tabor,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–19140 Filed 8–28–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Agency for Healthcare Research
and Quality, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the
intention of the Agency for Healthcare
Research and Quality (AHRQ) to request
that the Office of Management and
Budget (OMB) approve the proposed
information collection project
“Identifying and Testing Strategies for
Management of Opioid Use and Misuse
in Older Adults in Primary Care
Practices.” This proposed information
collection was previously published in
the **Federal Register** on June 8, 2020
and allowed 60 days for public
comment. No comments were received
by AHRQ. The purpose of this notice is
to allow an additional 30 days for public
comment.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be
received by 30 days after date of
publication of this notice.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and
recommendations for the proposed
information collection should be sent
within 30 days of publication of this
notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular
information collection by selecting
“Currently under 30-day Review—Open
for Public Comments” or by using the
search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Doris Lefkowitz, AHRQ Reports
Clearance Officer, (301) 427–1477, or by
email at doris.lefkowitz@ahrq.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Project

Identifying and Testing Strategies for Management of Opioid Use and Misuse in Older Adults in Primary Care Practices

The goals of this project are to assess
and describe the current prevalence,
awareness, and management of opioid
use, misuse, and abuse in older adults,

and identify gaps and areas of needed
research. Additionally, this project will
support primary care practices (PCP) in
developing and testing innovative
strategies, approaches, and/or tools for
opioid management within the context
of facilitated learning collaboratives,
culminating in a Compendium of
Strategies for opioid management in
older adults in primary care settings.
Through this project, AHRQ is
addressing the gaps in knowledge
around opioid use in older adults in
primary care settings. To accomplish
this we are synthesizing what is known
about the development and testing of
innovative strategies, approaches, and/
or tools for opioid management of older
adults with pain on opioid medication,
and/or opioid use disorder.

This study is being conducted by
AHRQ through its contractor, Abt
Associates Inc., pursuant to AHRQ’s
statutory authority to conduct and
support research on healthcare and on
systems for the delivery of such care,
including activities with respect to the
quality, effectiveness, efficiency,
appropriateness and value of healthcare
services and with respect to quality
measurement and improvement. 42
U.S.C. 299a(a)(1) and (2).

Method of Collection

To achieve the goals of this project the
following data collections will be
implemented:

1. We will conduct a web-based
survey of primary care clinicians who
care for older adults. The purpose of the
survey is to assess primary care
clinician experiences caring for older
adult patients with chronic pain on
opioids. The survey will be sent to 5,000
randomly selected primary care
clinicians.

2. Participating learning collaborative
practices will be asked to implement
strategies related to each of the key areas
on the continuum: prevention,
management and treatment of opioid
use, misuse and OUD in older adults.
We will collect primary data via
observations, interviews, and a survey,
and secondary data including practice
and learning collaborative documents.
The following primary data collection
activities are proposed:

a. PCP Clinical Staff Survey. A brief
web-based survey will be emailed to all
clinical staff participating in the
learning collaborative at baseline before
starting implementation and
approximately 15 months later. We
assumed 20 clinical staff per clinic site,
and 24 clinics for a total of 480 staff.

b. Interviews. In-depth interviews will
occur with up to three staff at each
health care organization participating in

the learning collaborative, for a total of
up to 72 individuals. The evaluation
team will conduct these interviews
with:

c. Quality Improvement (QI)
champion for the initiative in the clinics
at baseline, mid-point and post-
implementation

d. Two additional staff (e.g. clinician,
information technology analyst,
behavioral health specialist) per
organization (mid-point and post-
implementation).

3. Self-Assessment. The QI champion
will complete a self-assessment tool at
baseline. A similar tool is used in the
Six Building Blocks program and the
Centers for Disease Control (CDC)
Opioid QI Collaborative. This tool is for
clinics or health systems to assess the
status of their QI efforts to improve
opioid prescribing, and the extent to
which care is consistent with the CDC
Opioid Prescribing Guidelines.

4. Quality Improvement Measures.
Each clinic will report quarterly on the
QI measures. The QI measures include
both process and outcome measures.
Process measures are reflective of
recommended clinical strategies or tools
being implemented, and outcome
measures examine intermediate
outcomes. A data analyst at each
organization will provide aggregate
reports of the specified QI measures to
the evaluation team on a quarterly basis
over the course of a 15-month period.
The QI measures are measures of opioid
prescribing that are critical for
understanding the potential
improvements in opioid prescribing in
implementing the strategies.

Estimated Annual Respondent Burden

Exhibit 1 presents estimates of the
reporting burden hours for the data
collection efforts. Time estimates are
based on prior experiences and what
can reasonably be requested of
participating providers (survey) and
PCPs. The number of respondents listed
in column A, Exhibit 1 reflects a
projected response rate for data
collection efforts.

1. *Provider web-based survey.* A
survey will be sent to 5,000 randomly
selected primary care clinicians. The
survey will include no more than 30
items and is expected to take
approximately 15 minutes to complete.
We anticipate a 30% response rate,
resulting in 1,500 completed surveys.

2. PCP Learning Collaboratives Primary Data Collection.

a. *PCP Learning Collaborative Clinical
Staff Survey.* A brief survey will be
emailed to all clinicians at baseline
before starting implementation and
approximately 15 months later. We