Planning Staff, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 13, 2007.

#### Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E7–13890 Filed 7–17–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410-FX-P** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### [OMB Number 1122-0011]

#### Office on Violence Against Women; Agency Information Collection Activities: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection

**ACTION:** 60-day notice of information collection under review: Semi-Annual Progress Report for Grantees from the Grants to Support Tribal Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Coalitions Program.

The Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until September 17, 2007. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

### Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
- (2) Title of the Form/Collection: Semi-Annual Progress Report for Grantees from the Grants to Support Tribal Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Coalitions (Tribal Coalitions).
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form Number: 1122–0011. U.S. Department of Justice, Office on
- Violence Against Women. (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: The affected public includes the 14 grantees from the Tribal Coalitions Program. The Tribal Coalitions Program grantees include Indian tribal governments that will support the development and operation of new or existing nonprofit tribal domestic violence and sexual assault coalitions in Indian country. These grants provide funds to develop and operate nonprofit tribal domestic violence and sexual assault coalitions in Indian country to address the unique issues that confront Indian victims. The Tribal Coalitions Program provides resources for organizing and supporting efforts to end violence against Indian women.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: It is estimated that it will take the 14 respondents (grantees from the Tribal Coalitions Program) approximately one hour to complete a Semi-Annual Progress Report. The Semi-Annual Progress Report is divided into sections that pertain to the different types of activities that grantees may engage in with grant funds. Grantees must complete only those sections that are relevant to their activities.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The total annual hour burden to complete the data collection forms is 28 hours, that is 14 grantees completing a form twice a year with an estimated

completion time for the form being one hour.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 13, 2007.

#### Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E7–13891 Filed 7–17–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–FX–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105-NEW]

National Drug Intelligence Center; Agency Information Collection Activities; New Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-day notice of information collection under review: New Collection SENTRY/Emerging Drug Tracking System.

The United States Department of Justice (DOJ), National Drug Intelligence Center (NDIC), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register Volume 72, Number 81, pages 21051– 21052 on April 27, 2007, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until August 17, 2007. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

- (1) Type of Information Collection: New Collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* SENTRY/Emerging Drug Tracking System, a drug early warning and response system.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Not Applicable.

(4) The 2004 National Synthetic Drugs Action Plan designated NDIC the lead agency for developing an early warning and response system. This instrument is critical for NDIC to detect emerging drug abuse and production trends and thereafter notify law enforcement demand authorities and prepared associated reports. Respondents will be authorized state and local law enforcement officers, and treatment/education/medical service providers.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that during the first year 300 respondents will submit a tip requiring approximately 15 minutes. Use of the system is expected to increase significantly.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 75 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Ms. Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 13, 2007.

#### Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E7–13907 Filed 7–17–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-DC-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

# United States of America v. Federation of Physicians and Dentists, et al.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b) through (h), that a proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed in a civil antitrust case, United States of America v. Federation of Physicians and Dentists, et al., Case No. 1:05–cv–431, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio.

On June 24, 2005, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the Federation of Physicians and Dentists ("Federation"), Federation employee Lynda Odenkirk, and three physician co-defendants coordinated a conspiracy among Federation Cincinnati-area OB-GYN members to increase fees paid by health care insurers to them, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1. The three physician codefendants agreed to a settlement entered by the Court on November 14, 2005. The proposed Final Judgment, filed on June 19, 2007, enjoins the Federation and Ms. Odenkirk from taking future actions in Cincinnati or anywhere else that could facilitate private-practice physicians' coordination of their dealings with health care payers, such as insurers, by prohibiting the Federation's involvement in physicians' contracting with such payers.

A Competitive Impact Statement, filed by the United States, describes the Complaint, the proposed Final Judgment, the industry, and the remedies available to private litigants. Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and the Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Antitrust Documents Group, Room 215 North, 325 Seventh Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530 (telephone 202–514–2481), on the Department of Justice's Web site at: http://www.usdoj.gov/atr/cases/indx26\_b.htm,

and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Joseph Miller, Acting Chief, Litigation I, Antitrust Division, United States Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 4000, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 307–0001).

#### J. Robert Kramer II,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

## United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio Western Division

United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. Federation of Physicians and Dentists, Lynda Odenkirk, Warren Metherd, Michael Karram, and James Wendel, Defendants.

[Civil Action No. 1:05–cv–431; Filed Jun 24, 2005]

#### Complaint

The United States of America, acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, brings this action for equitable and other relief against Defendants: Federation of Physicians and Dentists ("Federation"), Federation employee Lynda Odenkirk, and Federation members Warren Metherd, M.D., Michael Karram, M.D., and James Wendel, M.D., to restrain Defendants' violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act in concert with the Federation's other Cincinnati-area obstetrician and gynecologist ("OB—GYN") members.

#### I. Introduction

1. In concert with approximately 120 OB-GYN Federation members located in the Cincinnati area ("Federation members"), Defendants participated in a conspiracy to increase fees paid by health care insurers to Federation members. The Defendant physicians and other competing Federation members joined the Federation to use its services to coordinate the renegotiation of their contracts with Cincinnati-area healthcare insurers. The Federation, with substantial assistance from the Defendant physicians, coordinated and helped implement its members' concerted demands to insurers for higher fees and related terms, accompanied by threats of contract terminations.