by fax at 410–436–5122, by electronic mail at *Sandra.Clawson-*Freeo@pmcd.apgeg.army.mil or by ma

Freeo@pmcd,apgea,army.mil or by mail at the above listed address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In its ROD on February 26, 1988 (53 FR 5816, February 26, 1988) for the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the Chemical Stockpile Disposal Program (CSDP), the Department of the Army selected on-site disposal by incineration at all eight chemical munition storage sites located within the continental United States as the method by which it will destroy its lethal chemical stockpile. The Department of the Army published a Notice of Intent in the Federal Register (65 FR 20140-41, April 14, 2000) which provides notice that, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and implementing regulations, it was preparing a draft site-specific EIS for the Pueblo Chemical Agent Disposal Facility. On May 11, 2001, the Army published a Draft EIS to assess the sitespecific health and environmental impacts of on-site disposal of the chemical agents and munitions stored at the PDC. The Final EIS was published on April 17, 2002. All public comments received during the NEPA process have been considered in making this decision.

The Program Manager for Assembled Chemical Weapons Assessment (ACWA) prepared a separate EIS. The ACWA EIS is for follow-on pilot testing of the ACWA Program pursuant to the process established by Congress in Public Laws 104-208 and 105-261. The ACWA EIS emphasizes the feasibility of pilot testing one or more of the ACWA technologies at one of more sites. One of the four sites evaluated in the ACWA EIS was the PCD. Information provided by the ACWA Program concerning the neutralization technologies provided the basis for analysis of the neutralization technologies and comparison with incineration in this site-specific EIS for stockpile destruction at Pueblo. This site-specific EIS and the ACWA EIS serve complementary purposes.

Dated: August 21, 2002.

Raymond J. Fatz,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health, OASA(1&E).

[FR Doc. 02-21874 Filed 8-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Draft Environmental Assessment for the Transport of Polychlorinated Biphenyl-Containing Items From Japan and Wake Island to the United States

AGENCY: Defense Logistics Agency, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The draft environmental assessment (EA) for the transport of items containing polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) from Japan and Wake Island to the United States is available for public review and comment. The EA assesses the risks of transporting used electrical equipment and associated items containing PCBs to the U.S. by air and by sea for ultimate disposal at **Environmental Protection Agency** permitted facilities. This equipment is used for the benefit of the U.S. Military and is removed from service when it reaches the end of its useful life. This draft EA addresses three alternatives: transport by sea; transport by air; and no action. Approximately 2.8 million pounds of material including packaging is currently in storage at U.S. installations in Japan and Wake Island. An estimated 4.3 million pounds of material is still in use and will be removed from service during the next several years. The draft EA is available for public review at the Defense Logistics Agency Public Affairs Office and on the World Wide Web at http:// www.dla.mil. The public is invited to comment on the draft EA for 30 days beginning with the publication of this notice. Comments should be sent to the Defense Logistics Agency, Attention: Mr. Jack Hooper, DLA-CP, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 2545, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6223.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jack Hooper at (703) 767–5121.

Richard J. Connelly,

Director, DLA Support Services.
[FR Doc. 02–21788 Filed 8–27–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3620–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory
Information Management Group, Office
of the Chief Information Officer invites
comments on the submission for OMB
review as required by the Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before September 27, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Lauren Wittenberg, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Lauren Wittenberg@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: August 22, 2002.

John D. Tressler,

Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Federal Student Aid

Type of Review: Extension.
Title: Application for Ability to
Benefit Testing Approval.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit; Individuals or household; Not-for-profit institutions.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 150,090. Burden Hours: 77,040.

Abstract: The Secretary will publish a list of approved tests which can be used by postsecondary educational institutions to establish the ability to benefit for a student who does not have a high school diploma or its equivalent