

filed a revised version of its filing which includes attachments inadvertently omitted from the July 2, 2009 request.<sup>2</sup> The Postal Service asserts that the Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 7 product is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). *Id.* at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2009–32.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a contract related to the proposed new product pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* at 2. The contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2009–43.

**Request.** The Request incorporates (1) A redacted version of the Governors’ Decision authorizing the new product; (2) a redacted version of the contract; (3) requested changes to the Mail Classification Schedule product list; (4) a statement of supporting justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32; and (5) certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a).<sup>3</sup> Substantively, the Request seeks to add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 7 to the Competitive Product List. Request at 1–2.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Mary Prince Anderson, Manager, Sales and Communications, Expedited Shipping, asserts that the service to be provided under the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service’s total institutional costs. *Id.*, Attachment D. Thus, Ms. Anderson contends there will be no issue of subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

**Related contract.** A redacted version of the specific Express Mail & Priority

Mail Contract 7 is included with the Request. The contract has an initial term of 3 years and is to be effective 1 day after the Commission provides all necessary regulatory approvals. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a) and 39 CFR 3015.7(c). *See id.*, Attachment A and Attachment E. It notes that actual performance under this contract could vary from estimates, but concludes that the risks are manageable. *Id.*, Attachment A.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the Governors’ Decision and the specific Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 7, under seal. In its Request, the Postal Service maintains that the contract and related financial information, including the customer’s name and the accompanying analyses that provide prices, terms, conditions, and financial projections should remain under seal. *Id.* at 2–3.

## II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2009–32 and CP2009–43 for consideration of the Request pertaining to the proposed Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 7 product and the related contract, respectively. In keeping with practice, these dockets are addressed on a consolidated basis for purposes of this Order; however, future filings should be made in the specific docket in which issues being addressed pertain.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642 and 39 CFR part 3015 and 39 CFR 3020 subpart B. Comments are due no later than July 15, 2009. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

## III. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2009–32 and CP2009–43 for consideration of the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than July 15, 2009.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Order in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 7, 2009.

By the Commission.

**Judith M. Grady,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E9–16621 Filed 7–10–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2009–34 and CP2009–45; Order No. 242]

### New Competitive Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Express Mail Contract 4 to the Competitive Product List. The Postal Service has also filed a related contract. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with these filings.

**DATES:** Comments are due July 15, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 and [stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Introduction

On July 6, 2009, the Postal Service filed a formal request pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.* to add Express Mail Contract 4 to the Competitive Product List.<sup>1</sup> The Postal Service asserts that the Express Mail Contract 4 product is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). *Id.* at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2009–34.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a contract related to the proposed new product pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* at 2. The contract is assigned Docket No. CP2009–45.

**Request.** The Request incorporates (1) A redacted version of the Governors’ Decision authorizing the new product; (2) a redacted version of the contract; (3) requested changes in the Mail Classification Schedule product list; (4)

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Express Mail Contract 4 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Establishment of Rates and Class Not of General Applicability, July 6, 2009 (Request).

Competitive Product List and Notice of Establishment of Rates and Class Not of General Applicability, July 2, 2009.

<sup>2</sup> Errata to Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 7 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Establishment of Rates and Class Not of General Applicability, July 6, 2009. (Request).

<sup>3</sup> Attachment A to the Request consists of the redacted Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Establishment of Rate and Class Not of General Applicability for Express Mail and Priority Mail Services (Governors’ Decision No. 09–10). The Governors’ Decision includes an attachment which provides an analysis of the proposed Express Mail and Priority Mail Contract 7 and certification of the Governors’ vote. Attachment B is the redacted version of the contract. Attachment C shows the requested changes to the Mail Classification Schedule product list. Attachment D provides a Statement of Supporting Justification for the Request. Attachment E provides the certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a).

a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32; and (5) certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a).<sup>2</sup> Substantively, the Request asks the Commission to add the Express Mail Contract 4 product to the Competitive Product List. *Id.* at 1–2.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Mary Prince Anderson, Manager, Sales and Communications, Expedited Shipping, asserts that the service to be provided under the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. *Id.*, Attachment D. Thus, Ms. Anderson contends there will be no issue of subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

**Related contract.** A redacted version of the specific Express Mail Contract 4 is included with the Request. The contract is for 3 years and is to be effective the day following the date on which the Commission provides all necessary regulatory approvals. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a) and 39 CFR 3015.7(c). *See id.*, Attachment to Governors' Decision and Attachment E. It notes that performance under this contract could vary from estimates, but concludes that the risks are manageable, and overall the contract is expected to generate significant contribution. *Id.*, Attachment to Governors' Decision.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the Governors' Decision and the specific Express Mail Contract 4, under seal. In its Request, the Postal Service maintains that the contract and related financial information, including the customer's name and the accompanying analyses that provide prices, terms, conditions, and financial projections should remain under seal. *Id.* at 2–3.

## II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2009–34 and CP2009–45 for

consideration of the Request pertaining to the proposed Express Mail Contract 4 product and the related contract, respectively. In keeping with practice, these dockets are addressed on a consolidated basis for purposes of this order; however, future filings should be made in the specific docket in which issues being addressed pertain.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642 and 39 CFR part 3015 and 39 CFR part 3020 subpart B. Comments are due no later than July 15, 2009. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Cassandra Hicks to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

## III. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2009–34 and CP2009–45 for consideration of the matters raised in each respective docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Cassandra Hicks is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than July 15, 2009.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 7, 2009.

By the Commission.

Judith M. Grady,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9–16637 Filed 7–10–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. A2009–1; Order No. 238]

### Post Office Closing

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This document informs the public that an appeal of the closing of the Hacker Valley, WV post office has been filed. It identifies preliminary steps and provides a procedural schedule. Publication of this document will allow the Postal Service, petitioner, and others to take appropriate action.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's

Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 and [stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 404(d), the Commission has received an appeal of the closing of the Hacker Valley Post Office, Hacker Valley, West Virginia 26222. The appeal, which was received by the Commission June 30, 2009, was postmarked June 20, 2009 and, therefore, is deemed constructively filed on that date.<sup>1</sup> The appeal was filed as a Participant Statement on PRC Form 61. Petitioner will have the option of filing supplemental information or facts by August 4, 2009. The Commission hereby institutes a proceeding under 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5) and designates the case as Docket No. A2009–1 to consider the petitioner's appeal.

**Categories of issues raised.** The categories of issues that appear to be raised include:

1. Effect on the community (39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(i)); and
2. Effect on employees (39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(ii)).

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above or that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues. The deadline for the Postal Service to file the administrative record with the Commission is July 15, 2009. 39 CFR 3001.113.

**Availability; Web site posting.** The Commission has posted the appeal and supporting material on its Web site at <http://www.prc.gov>. Additional filings in this case and participants' submissions also will be posted on the Web site, if provided in electronic format or amenable to conversion, and not subject to a valid protective order. Information on how to use the Commission's Web site is available online or by contacting the Commission's webmaster via telephone at 202–789–6873 or electronic mail at [PRC-WEBMASTER@prc.gov](mailto:PRC-WEBMASTER@prc.gov).

The appeal and all related documents are also available for public inspection in the Commission's docket section. Docket section hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30

<sup>2</sup> Attachment A to the Request consists of the redacted Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Establishment of Rate and Class Not of General Applicability for Express Mail Service (Governors' Decision No. 09–8). The Governors' Decision includes an attachment which provides an analysis of the proposed Express Mail Contract 4 and certification of the Governors' vote. Attachment B is the redacted version of the contract. Attachment C shows the requested changes to the Mail Classification Schedule product list. Attachment D provides a statement of supporting justification for this Request. Attachment E provides the certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a).

<sup>1</sup> The Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act section 1006 amends 39 U.S.C. 404(d) to provide that an appeal sent through the mails is deemed received by the Commission on the date of the postmark on the envelope in which the appeal is mailed.