

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies covered in this review will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin that is established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the cash deposit rate established for the most recently completed segment for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 4.38 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹⁰ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial

protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 17, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Margin Calculations
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Differential Pricing Methodology
 - Comment 2: Hyundai Steel's Constructed Export Price (CEP) Offset Claim
 - Comment 3: Hyundai Steel's U.S. Dollar Short-Term Interest Rate
 - Comment 4: SeAH's Ministerial Error Allegations
 - Comment 5: Other SeAH Issues
- V. Recommendation

Appendix II

List of Companies Not Selected for Individual Review Receiving the Review-Specific Rate

1. AJU BESTEEL Co., Ltd.
2. BDP International, Inc.
3. Daewoo International Corporation
4. Dong Yang Steel Pipe
5. Dongbu Incheon Steel Co.
6. Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.
7. Dongkuk Steel Mill
8. EEW Korea Co., Ltd.
9. Husteel Co., Ltd.
10. Hyundai RB Co. Ltd.
11. Kelly Pipe Co., LLC
12. Keonwoo Metals Co., Ltd.
13. Kolon Global Corp.
14. Korea Cast Iron Pipe Ind. Co., Ltd.
15. Kurvers Piping Italy S.R.L.
16. Miju Steel MFG Co., Ltd.
17. MSTEEL Co., Ltd.
18. NEXTEEL Co., Ltd.
19. Poongsan Valinox (Valtimet Division)
20. POSCO
21. POSCO Daewoo
22. R&R Trading Co. Ltd.
23. Sam Kang M&T Co., Ltd.
24. Sin Sung Metal Co., Ltd.
25. SK Networks
26. Soon-Hong Trading Company
27. Steel Flower Co., Ltd.
28. TGS Pipe
29. Tokyo Engineering Korea Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2022-13664 Filed 6-24-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-809]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 16, 2022, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Nexteel Co., Ltd., et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court no. 20-03868, sustaining the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s first remand results pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) covering the period November 1, 2017, through October 31, 2018. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final results of the administrative review, and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Nexteel Co., Ltd., SeAh Steel Corporation, and Hyundai Steel Company.

DATES: Applicable June 27, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dusten Hom, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482- 5075.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 6, 2020, Commerce published its *Final Results* in the 2017–2018 AD administrative review of CWP from Korea.¹ Commerce determined in the *Final Results* that a particular market situation (PMS) existed with respect to the respondents' purchases of hot-rolled coil (HRC), the primary input for the production of subject merchandise, and, accordingly, we made an adjustment to the cost of production for the purposes calculating normal value when based upon home

¹ See *Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 85 FR 71055 (November 6, 2020) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

¹⁰ See *Order*.

market sales and for the purposes of the sales-below-cost test.²

Nexteel Co., Ltd., Hyundai Steel Company, and SeAh Steel Corporation appealed Commerce's *Final Results*. On September 27, 2021, the CIT remanded the *Final Results* to Commerce, holding that Commerce is not permitted to make a PMS adjustment to the cost of production as an alternative calculation methodology when using normal value based on home market sales, and that Commerce cannot adjust cost of production for purposes of the sales-below-cost test.³

In its final remand redetermination, issued in October 2021, Commerce removed the PMS adjustment when calculating its dumping margin but continued to find that a PMS existed in Korea for HRC during the period of review.⁴ The CIT sustained Commerce's final redetermination.⁵

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,⁶ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,⁷ the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's June 16, 2022, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Results*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Results* with respect to mandatory respondent Nexteel Co., Ltd., and the non-examined companies (SeAh Steel Corporation and Hyundai Steel Company) as follows:

Company	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Nexteel Co., Ltd.	1.63
Non-Examined Companies (SeAH Steel Corporation and Hyundai Steel Company)	2.35

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because Nexteel Co., Ltd., SeAh Steel Corporation, and Hyundai Steel Company have superseding cash deposit rates, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review, we will not issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). This notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate.

Liquidation of Suspended Entries

At this time, Commerce remains enjoined by CIT order from liquidating entries that: were produced and/or exported by Nexteel Co., Ltd., Hyundai Steel Company, and SeAh Steel Corporation, and were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period November 1, 2017, through October 31, 2018. These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunction during the pendency of any appeals process.

In the event the CIT's ruling is not appealed, or, if appealed, upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by Nexteel Co., Ltd., Hyundai Steel Company, and SeAh Steel Corporation in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is not zero or *de minimis*. Where an import-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,⁸ we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 22, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2022-13774 Filed 6-24-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

National Conference on Weights and Measures 107th Annual Meeting

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The 107th Annual Meeting of the National Conference on Weights and Measures (NCWM) will be held in Tacoma, Washington from Sunday, July 10, 2022, through Thursday, July 14, 2022. This notice contains information about significant items on the NCWM Committee agendas but does not include all agenda items. As a result, the items are not consecutively numbered.

DATES: The 2022 Annual Meeting will be held from Sunday, July 10, 2022, through Thursday, July 14, 2022. The meeting schedule is available on the NCWM website at www.ncwm.com.

ADDRESSES: This meeting will be held at the Hotel Murano, 1320 Broadway Tacoma, Washington 98402.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Katrice Lippa, NIST, Office of Weights and Measures, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2600, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-2600. You may also contact Dr. Lippa at (301) 975-3116 or by email at katrice.lippa@nist.gov. The meeting is open to the public, but the payment of a registration fee is required. Please see the NCWM website (www.ncwm.com) to view the meeting agendas, registration forms, and hotel reservation information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Publication of this notice on the NCWM's behalf is undertaken as a public service and does not itself constitute an endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) of the content of the notice. NIST participates in the NCWM as an NCWM member and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 272(b)(10) and (c)(4) and in accordance with Federal policy (*e.g.*, OMB Circular A-119 "Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Consensus Standards").

The NCWM is an organization of weights and measures officials of the states, counties, and cities of the United States, and representatives from the

² See *Final Results* IDM at Comment 1.

³ See *Nexteel Co., Ltd., et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 20-03868, Slip Op. 21-132 (CIT September 27, 2021).

⁴ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order Nexteel Co., Ltd., et al. v. United States*, Court No. 20-03868, Slip Op. 21-132 (CIT September 27, 2021), dated October 29, 2021.

⁵ See *Nexteel Co., Ltd., et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 20-03868, Slip Op. 22-69 (CIT June 16, 2022).

⁶ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

⁷ See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).