provide relevant and convincing evidence in support of your request.

Your request for amendment must: (1) Provide the name of the specific Board system of records containing the record you seek to amend; (2) identify the specific portion of the record you seek to amend; (3) describe the nature of and reasons for each requested amendment; (4) explain why you believe the record is not accurate, relevant, timely, or complete; and (5) unless you have already done so in a related Privacy Act request for access or amendment, provide the necessary information to verify your identity.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:

Same as "Access procedures" above. You may also follow this procedure in order to request an accounting of previous disclosures of records pertaining to you as provided for by 5 U.S.C. 552a(c).

EXEMPTIONS PROMULGATED FOR THE SYSTEM:

No exemptions are claimed for this system.

HISTORY:

This system was previously published in the **Federal Register** at 73 FR 24984, at 24996 (May 6, 2008). The SORN was also amended to incorporate two new routine uses required by OMB at 83 FR 43872 (August 28, 2018).

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 25, 2019.

Ann Misback,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2019-16153 Filed 7-29-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 171 0125]

Quaker Chemical Corporation and Global Houghton Ltd.; Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Orders To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Proposed Consent Agreement; Request for Comment.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Orders to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the complaint and the terms of the consent orders—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 29, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file comments online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Write: "Ouaker Chemical Corporation and Global Houghton Ltd.; File No. 171 0125" on your comment, and file your comment online at https:// www.regulations.gov by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC-5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Terry Thomas (202–326–3218), Bureau of Competition, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 2.34, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for July 23, 2019), on the World Wide Web, at https:// www.ftc.gov/news-events/commissionactions.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before August 29, 2019. Write "Quaker Chemical Corporation and Global Houghton Ltd.; File No. 171 0125" on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the https://www.regulations.gov website.

Postal mail addressed to the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security screening. As a result, we encourage you to submit your comments online through the https://www.regulations.gov website.

If you prefer to file your comment on paper, write "Quaker Chemical Corporation and Global Houghton Ltd.; File No. 171 0125" on your comment and on the envelope, and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC-5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20580; or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

Because your comment will be placed on the publicly accessible website at https://www.regulations.gov, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive or confidential information. In particular, your comment should not include any sensitive personal information, such as your or anyone else's Social Security number; date of birth; driver's license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, your comment should not include any "trade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential"—as provided by Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2) including in particular competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c). In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c). Your comment will be kept confidential only if the General Counsel grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest. Once your comment has been posted on the public FTC website—as legally required by FTC Rule 4.9(b)—we cannot redact or

remove your comment from the FTC website, unless you submit a confidentiality request that meets the requirements for such treatment under FTC Rule 4.9(c), and the General Counsel grants that request.

Visit the FTC website at http://www.ftc.gov to read this Notice and the news release describing it. The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding, as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before August 29, 2019. For information on the Commission's privacy policy, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, see https://www.ftc.gov/site-information/privacy-policy.

Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Orders To Aid Public Comment

I. Introduction

The Federal Trade Commission
("Commission") has accepted for public
comment, subject to final approval, an
Agreement Containing Consent Orders
("Consent Agreement") from Quaker
Chemical Corporation ("Quaker"),
Global Houghton LTD. ("Houghton"),
Gulf Houghton Lubricants LTD., and
AMAS Holding SPF (collectively, the
"Respondents"). The Consent
Agreement would remedy the
anticompetitive effects that likely would
result from Quaker's proposed
acquisition of Houghton
("Transaction").

Absent a remedy, the Transaction would threaten to harm competition in the manufacture and sale of: (1) Aluminum hot rolling oils ("AHRO") and associated technical support in North America; and (2) steel cold rolling oils ("SCRO") and associated technical support in North America. In particular, the Commission's Complaint alleges that the Transaction, if consummated, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18, and that the asset purchase agreement constitutes a violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 45, by substantially lessening competition in the manufacture and sale of AHRO and SCRO in an area no greater than North America.

The Consent Agreement addresses the Commission's concerns by, among other things, requiring Quaker to divest Houghton's North American AHRO and SCRO product lines to Total S.A. ("Total"), a multination oil and gas company headquartered in France. Quaker must also divest the intellectual property associated with Houghton's

AHRO and SCRO, and adjacent products including steel cleaners and AHRO compatible hydraulic fluids.

The Commission has placed the proposed Consent Agreement on the public record for 30 days to solicit comments from interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After 30 days, the Commission will again review the proposed Consent Agreement and any comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the Consent Agreement, modify it, or make it final.

II. The Respondents

Respondent Quaker, a publicly traded company, is a global supplier of specialty process chemicals, lubricants, greases, and other metal processing products. Headquartered in Conshohocken, Pennsylvania, Quaker's 2018 revenues were \$868 million.

Respondent Houghton is a global supplier of advanced metalworking fluids and services. It serves the automotive, aerospace, metals, mining, machinery, and beverage industries. Houghton is headquartered in Valley Forge, Pennsylvania.

III. The Proposed Acquisition

The Commission's Complaint alleges that a relevant product market in which to analyze the Transaction is the manufacture and sale of AHRO and associated technical support services. AHRO is a mixture of water, oil, and additives, custom-formulated to lubricate each individual rolling mill. AHRO is necessary to allow manufacturers to operate hot rolling mills for aluminum sheet production. There is no substitute product for AHRO; lubricants for rolling other metals or for other rolling processes will not work for aluminum hot rolling.

The associated technical support services are appropriately included in this product market, as AHRO suppliers provide these services as an integral component of the physical product. There is no separate charge for these services. Technical support services begin with the formulation of the oil and continue throughout the life of the supply relationship, including necessary modifications to the formulation and contamination monitoring in both the trial phase and during active production. Technical support services from the AHRO supplier are essential to the ongoing performance of the mill, and there is no substitute for these services as provided in conjunction with AHRO.

The Commission's Complaint also alleges that an area no greater than

North America is a relevant geographic market in which to analyze the effects of the Transaction. U.S. AHRO customers do not obtain supply from outside North America. Rolling oil suppliers typically supply their customers by truck and station technical support personnel at or near their customers' mills to ensure timely supply and rapid service. At the mill, customers blend the oil with the mill's own water supply to create the final emulsion. Given the large volumes of rolling oil required to run a mill, and the need for timely re-supply, shipping AHRO from outside North America would be cost- and supply-prohibitive.

The relevant market for ÅHRO and associated technical support services in North America is highly concentrated. Quaker and Houghton are the only two companies that commercially supply AHRO in North America. Thus, post-transaction, Quaker will be the monopoly AHRO supply option for third parties in North America.

Timely, sufficient entry is unlikely to alleviate any potential competitive harm in the market for AHRO and associated technical support services. Consistent with the Commission's allegations in the 2010 AEA Investors/Houghton ("Houghton/D.A. Stuart") complaint (Docket No. C-4297), entry is difficult in this market. Formulating AHRO and providing technical support services require specialized knowledge that is not widely available. Even the few AHRO customers with in-house supply capabilities are unable to supply fully their own mills given the shortage of qualified scientists to develop and realtime modify rolling oil formulations and support their use in mill operations. Large, well-established customers of AHRO are unaware of potential entrants that could enter the market and supply AHRO.

Customer acceptance is also a significant entry barrier. Customers are reluctant to switch AHRO suppliers because AHRO is so critical to aluminum sheet rolling. Aluminum manufacturers place great weight on the AHRO suppliers' experience and reputation. They likely would be unwilling to chance a supplier that lacks the parties' established reputations and decades of experience given the risk of catastrophic effects should the supplier's product or support capabilities fall short. There are significant time commitments and costs associated with switching to a new AHRO supplier. Given that AHRO is a relatively small cost component in the production of aluminum coil, it is unlikely that a small significant sustained price increase would justify a

lengthy trial process for a new entrant without a proven track record.

The Commission's Complaint also alleges that a relevant product market in which to analyze the Transaction is the manufacture and sale of SCRO and associated technical support. SCRO includes sheet cold rolling oils, pickle oils, and tin plate rolling oils ("TPRO"). Steel manufacturers use SCRO to reduce friction and prevent metal-to-metal contact between surfaces of the mill's rollers and the steel during the cold rolling process for steel sheet of any width or gauge, for any further processing (e.g., tinplating or coating with another substance, e.g., zinc, aluminum, or paint), and for any enduse (e.g., can bodies, can ends, and other closures for food and beverages, household appliances, such as washers and dryers, automobile or truck parts, or building and construction products). Like other rolling oils, SCRO is a mixture of water, oil, and additives for lubrication and corrosion protection. SCRO producers customize the product for each individual rolling mill, and there are no substitutes for SCRO. Lubricants designed for other mills, metals, or rolling processes could damage mill equipment and render the processed steel unusable.

As with AHRO, SCRO suppliers provide essential technical support services as part of the supply of the lubricant (*i.e.*, without a separate charge). The provision of these technical services is an essential component of the SCRO supply relationship

the SCRO supply relationship.
As with AHRO, North America is the relevant geographic market for SCRO. Staff's investigation did not reveal evidence that any mill in the United States received SCRO products and services from suppliers outside North America.

Steel manufacturers in the United States primarily use SCRO made with animal fat in their mills. Because animal fat will congeal under typical tanker truck conditions, SCRO suppliers must deliver it via heated tanker trucks. This heating requirement adds to transportation costs, making imports of animal fat-based SCRO cost-prohibitive.

The animal fat-based composition of SCRO used in the United States also limits customers' choices for supply. Steel mills in the United States typically are older and have relatively smaller tanks that require frequent drainage. As a result, it is not economical for U.S. steel mills to use vegetable oil based (commonly referred to as synthetic oil) that is more advanced but higher cost. European steel mills, which are generally newer and have larger tanks, use this synthetic SCRO. Given the

greater cost of synthetic SCRO and the costs of shipping, U.S. steel manufacturers are unlikely to turn to overseas SCRO suppliers in response to a small significant sustained price increase.

As in the market for AHRO, Quaker and Houghton are the two dominant suppliers of SCRO and associated technical support services in North America. Although fringe competitors participate in this market, to the extent that customers need both SCRO and related support and technical services combined, the merger may present as an effective merger-to-monopoly.

IV. Effects of the Transaction

The proposed transaction would be a merger to monopoly in the market for AHRO and associated technical support services. Staff's investigation has revealed no evidence to suggest that the likely competitive effects of this combination are meaningfully different from those of the Houghton/D.A. Stuart transaction remedied by the Commission in 2010. In addition, customers worry that the proposed transaction would consolidate all AHRO technical expertise within one company. Today, Quaker and Houghton compete on their technical support service capabilities, including their availability, responsiveness, and expertise in anticipating, preventing, diagnosing, and addressing problems related to their lubricants in order to ensure smooth operations and high quality aluminum sheet. The parties' support service technicians must thoroughly understand the design of each mill, the products made there, and the interaction between the rolling oil, substrate, and rollers. When problems arise today, they create an opportunity for a competitor to challenge the incumbent supplier as the customer seeks a solution and/or a superior product as quickly as possible to get operations back on track. Post-merger, customers will have only one support team—Quaker's—to turn to in the event of operational issues, and will lose the advantage of a possible switch to encourage investment in troubleshooting.

The Transaction presents similar concerns for customers of SCRO and associated technical support services. Notwithstanding the presence of a few fringe suppliers, SCRO customers fear that the deal may result in higher prices, lower service levels, reduced innovation, and supply availability challenges. Like AHRO customers, SCRO customers face meaningful barriers to switching suppliers, including lengthy trial periods,

downtime, and long waits for customer approval.

Quaker and Houghton also compete on the quality of their technical support services and expertise. Customers rely on their SCRO suppliers to troubleshoot and address operational issues as they arise. When the incumbent supplier cannot resolve problems to the customer's satisfaction, the customer may turn to a competing supplier to propose an alternative solution. Postmerger, Quaker will no longer face Houghton as a competitive threat to keep its service levels sharp; competition from fringe SCRO suppliers may not be sufficient to protect customers.

Customers have also raised concerns that the proposed merger would eliminate their only SCRO alternative in the event of supply challenges or emergencies. If a supply disruption occurs, SCRO customers must either turn to an alternative supplier or idle their mills at great expense. Steel manufacturers take comfort in the availability of multiple potential SCRO suppliers to ensure that they can access this essential input in times of shortages. The proposed transaction would eliminate the most promising alternative supply option for SCRO customers, and may deprive them of any viable alternative at all.

A prospective entrant into the SCRO market faces similar barriers to those that render entry unlikely for AHRO, including technical expertise and reputational hurdles. Entry is difficult even for a supplier that operates in other fluid-based markets.

V. The Proposed Consent Agreement

The proposed order requires a divestiture to Total. Total's business includes oil and gas exploration, refining, and marketing as well as chemical manufacturing. Total had annual revenues in 2018 of approximately \$210 billion. The divestiture to Total would replicate Houghton's competitive presence in the AHRO and SCRO markets in North America by creating a viable, effective, and independent competitor. The order requires Quaker to divest certain products, transfer key employees, and provide transition services and toll manufacturing. The term of the proposed order is ten years. The order also requires Quaker to supply the divested products to Total for a transitional period while transferring the manufacturing technology to Total.

To remedy harm in the market for AHRO, Quaker will divest to Total: (1) Houghton's formulations, intellectual property, including patent for non-oleic acid formula, trade secrets, including know-how for its AHRO; (2) customer contracts for North America; (3) key Houghton employees that are responsible for the commercial and technical aspects of the AHRO business; and (4) adjacent products including fire resistant hydraulic fluids.

To remedy harm in the market for SCRO, which includes sheet cold rolling oil, TPRO, and pickle oil, Quaker will divest to Total: (1) Houghton's formulations, trade secrets and intellectual property, including knowhow for sheet cold rolling oils, TPRO, and pickle oil; (2) customer contracts for North America; (3) key Houghton employees that are responsible for the commercial and technical aspects of the SCRO business; and (4) SCRO and TPRO cleaners.

By direction of the Commission.

April J. Tabor,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019-16152 Filed 7-29-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Notice-PBS-2019-08; Docket No. 2019-0002; Sequence No. 20]

Notice of Intent To Prepare an **Environmental Assessment for the** Appraisers Building and U.S. Customs House, San Francisco, CA

AGENCY: Public Buildings Service (PBS), General Services Administration (GSA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations, and the GSA PBS NEPA Desk Guide, GSA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Assessment (EA) will be prepared for the Appraisers Building and U.S. Customs House Modernization Project, San Francisco, CA (Project).

DATES: Agencies and the public are encouraged to provide written comments regarding the scope of the EA. Comments must be received by August 26, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Please submit written comments by either of the following methods:

- Email: osmahn.kadri@gsa.gov.
- Postal Mail/Commercial Delivery: ATTN: Mr. Osmahn Kadri, 50 United Nations Plaza, Room 3345, Mailbox 9, San Francisco, CA 94102.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Osmahn A. Kadri, Regional

Environmental Quality Advisor/NEPA Project Manager, General Services Administration, Pacific Rim Region, at 415-522-3617 or email osmahn.kadri@

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

GSA intends to prepare an EA to analyze the potential impacts resulting from proposed renovations associated with the Appraisers Building and U.S. Customs House Renovations Project.

The Project is located at 630 Sansome Street (Appraisers Building) and 555 Battery Street (U.S. Customs House), San Francisco, California. The Project is proposed in order to bring these buildings up to current building code, safety standards and serviceable condition and to prolong their useful

The Appraisers Building is a Class-B office building on a .86-acre site in the central business district of San Francisco. The original structure was constructed in 1944, and is nineteen stories above-ground, which includes the penthouse, loft, two levels of mechanical space, and three tiered-roof levels. This building is adjacent to the U.S. Customs House.

The U.S. Customs House is on a .86acre site located on the northern edge of the city's financial district, occupying one-half of the block bounded by Sansome, Jackson, Battery and Washington Streets. The Class B structure was constructed in 1911 and is composed of two interconnected structures.

Alternatives Under Consideration

The EA will consider one Action Alternative (the Proposed Action) and the No Action Alternative. The Action Alternative would consist of modernization work to repair, modify or replace certain building improvements and systems. The buildings would not be expanded in size and there would be no change in personnel staffing levels at each building. Construction is likely to impact parking access and traffic flow during construction.

Under the No Action Alternative, modernization enhancements to the existing buildings would not occur.

Scoping Process

Scoping will be accomplished through public notifications in the San Francisco Chronicle, social media announcements, and direct mail correspondence to appropriate federal, state, and local agencies; surrounding property owners; and private organizations and citizens who have

previously expressed or are known to have an interest in the Project.

The primary purpose of the scoping process is for the public to assist GSA in determining the scope and content of the environmental analysis.

Dated: July 24, 2019.

Jared Bradley,

Director, Portfolio Management Division, Pacific Rim Region, Public Buildings Service.

[FR Doc. 2019-16133 Filed 7-29-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-YF-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND **SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

[OMB Control No. 9000-0083: Docket No. 2019-0003; Sequence No. 3]

Submission for OMB Review: **Qualification Requirements**

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Regulatory Secretariat Division will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve a revision and renewal of a previously approved information collection requirement concerning qualification requirements.

DATES: Submit comments on or before August 29, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for GSA, Room 10236, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally submit a copy to GSA by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: This website provides the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field or attach a file for lengthier comments. Go to http:// www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions on the site.
- *Mail:* General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), 1800 F Street NW, Washington, DC 20405. ATTN: Ms. Mandell/IC 9000-0083, Qualification Requirements.

Instructions: All items submitted must cite Information Collection 9000-