by this notice, provides a full description of the scope of the order.<sup>3</sup>

The Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed at http:// enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the Decision Memorandum. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the *Order* were to be revoked.

### Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to Section 752(c)(3) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the *Order* would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at weighted-average dumping margins up to 145.18 percent.

## Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with

sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

#### Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015–25301 Filed 10–2–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [C-570-948]

Certain Steel Grating From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Department) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain steel grating (steel grating) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Effective Date: October 5, 2015. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Toni Page, Office VII, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1398.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On July 23, 2010, the Department published the CVD Order on steel grating from the PRC.1 On June 1, 2015, the Department published a notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the CVD Order on steel grating from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).2 On June 15, 2015, Metal Grating Coalition and its individual members, Alabama Metal Industries Corporation, Fisher & Ludlow, Inc., Harsco Industrial IKG, Interstate Gratings, LLC, and Ohio Gratings, Inc. (collectively, MGC) filed a notice of intent to participate in the review.<sup>3</sup> Metal Grating Corporation

claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(F) of the Act, as an association of domestic producers of the domestic like product.<sup>4</sup> The domestic producers comprising the association claimed interested party status pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of the Act.

The Department received an adequate substantive response from the domestic industry within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive a response from the Government of the PRC (GOC) or any respondent interested party to the proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(l)(ii)(B)(2) and (C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited review of this CVD Order on steel grating.

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this *CVD* Order is steel grating. Imports of merchandise included within the scope of this order are currently classifiable under subheading 7308.90.7000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. The Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice, provides a full description of the scope of the order.<sup>5</sup>

The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service Šystem (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The issues discussed include the likelihood of continuation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For a full description of the scope of the order, including exclusions, see the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China" from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice ("Decision Memorandum").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order, 75 FR 43144 (July 23, 2010) (CVD Order).

 $<sup>^2\,</sup>See$  Initiation of Five-Year ''Sunset'' Reviews, 80 FR 31012 (June 1, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Letter to the Department, "Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China: Notice

of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review, Entry of Appearance, and APO Application," (June 15, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Letter to the Department, "Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China: Substantive Response to Notice Initiating Sunset Review," (July 1, 2015) (MGC's Substantive Response).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Department Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with this notice.

or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net countervailable subsidy rate likely to prevail if the *CVD Order* were revoked.

### Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 752(b)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the *CVD Order* on steel grating from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a net countervailable subsidy at the rates listed below:

Manufacturers/ Exporters/ Producers	Net countervailable subsidy (percent)
Ningbo Jiulong Machinery Manufacturing Co., Ltd All Others	62.46 62.46

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: September 28, 2015.

### Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015–25296 Filed 10–2–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### International Trade Administration

[C-570-963]

Potassium Phosphate Salts From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order

on potassium phosphate salts (Salts) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Effective Date: October 5, 2015.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jacqueline Arrowsmith, Office VII, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5255.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On July 22, 2010, the Department published the CVD order on Salts from the PRC.1 On June 1, 2015, the Department published a notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the CVD Order on Salts from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).2 On June 11, 2015, ICL Performance Products, LP and Prayon, Inc. (collectively, Petitioners) filed a notice of intent to participate in the review.3 Petitioners claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as domestic producers of the domestic like product.4

The Department received an adequate substantive response from the domestic industry within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive a response from the Government of the People's Republic of China (GOC) or any respondent interested party to the proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(l)(ii)(B)(2) and (C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of this *CVD Order* on Salts.

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this *CVD* Order is Salts. Imports of merchandise included within the scope of this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 2835.24.0000 and 2835.39.1000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. The Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice, provides a full description of the scope of the order.<sup>5</sup>

The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The issues discussed include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net countervailable subsidy rate likely to prevail if the *CVD Order* were revoked.

#### **Final Results of Sunset Review**

Pursuant to sections 752(b)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the *CVD Order* on Salts from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a net countervailable subsidy at the rates listed below:

Manufacturers/ exporters/ producers	Net countervailable subsidy (percent)
Lianyungang Mupro Import Export Co Ltd	109.11
Mianyang Aostar Phosphate Chemical Industry Co. Ltd Shifang Anda Chemicals Co. Ltd	109.11
	109.11 109.11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Department Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Potassium Phosphate Salts from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Potassium Phosphate Salts from the People's Republic of China: Amended Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order, 75 FR 42682 (July 22, 2010) (CVD Order).

 $<sup>^2\,</sup>See$  Initiation of Five-Year ''Sunset'' Reviews, 80 FR 31012 (June 1, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Letter to the Department, "Potassium Phosphate Salts from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Intent to Participate and APO application," dated June 11, 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> On July 1, 2015, ICL Performance Products, LP and Prayon, Inc. both claimed to be domestic producers of phosphate salts. *See* Letter to the Department, "Potassium Phosphate Salts from the People's Republic of China: Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation of Five Year (First Sunset) Review of the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders," dated July 1, 2015, (Petitioners' Substantive Response).