

used by consumers as tools, to assist them in differentiating between similar types of products based on distinct, verifiable criteria. For example, a logo from the National Organic Standards Board could assist a grower seeking to obtain or maintain organic certification for his/her farm. Labels could provide information about the comparative safety of the product as well as about its potential environmental impact, allowing consumers to choose among products based on their preferences. Along with the recommendations from the PPDC work group, EPA will consider the potential risks associated with including these types of statements on pesticide labeling and the proper role of government in this type of program before deciding whether or not to revise the current regulations.

In summary, the Agency is committed to ensuring that pesticide labeling is utilized as a tool to communicate critical information to the user how to use the product safely and effectively. In order to ensure that protection of public health and the environment remain the top priorities for EPA, we are not encouraging submissions of any label claims that detract or distract from the use and safety instructions or that could be considered false or misleading. We remain committed to programs and initiatives designed to improve the content, organization and enforceability of pesticide labeling.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection,
Administrative practice and procedure,
Agricultural commodities, Pesticides
and pests.

Dated: September 24, 2008.

Debra Edwards,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. E8-22938 Filed 9-29-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Farm Credit Administration Board; Regular Meeting

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), of the regular meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board).

DATES AND TIME: The regular meeting of the Board will be held at the offices of the Farm Credit Administration in McLean, Virginia, on October 9, 2008, from 9 a.m. until such time as the Board concludes its business.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Roland E. Smith, Secretary to the Farm Credit Administration Board, (703) 883-4009, TTY (703) 883-4056.

ADDRESSES: Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102-5090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Parts of this meeting of the Board will be open to the public (limited space available), and parts will be closed to the public. In order to increase the accessibility to Board meetings, persons requiring assistance should make arrangements in advance. The matters to be considered at the meeting are:

Open Session

A. Approval of Minutes

- September 11, 2008.

B. New Business—Regulation

- Disclosure and Accounting Requirements—Proposed Rule—12 CFR Parts 619, 620, and 621.

C. Reports

- OE Quarterly Report and Funding the Farm Credit System (FCS):
 - Financial Condition of FCS.
 - Funding the FCS.

Closed Session *

- Supervisory and Oversight Activities of FCS Institutions.

Dated: September 26, 2008.

Roland E. Smith,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board.

[FR Doc. E8-23077 Filed 9-26-08; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6705-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 08-05]

City of Los Angeles, CA, Harbor Department of the City of Los Angeles, Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Los Angeles, City of Long Beach, California, Harbor Department of the City of Long Beach, and the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Long Beach—Possible Violations of Sections 10(B)(10), 10(D)(1) and 10(D)(4) of the Shipping Act of 1984; Order of Investigation and Hearing

On November 20, 2006, the governing boards of the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach voted to approve the San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan ("CAAP"). The CAAP is a broad effort aimed at significantly reducing the health risks posed by air pollution from

port-related ships, trains, drayage trucks, terminal equipment and harbor craft by at least 45 percent in five years. To that end, each port has adopted a Clean Truck Program ("CTP") as a component of the CAAP to address air pollution caused by the short haul truckers that transport containers to and from the ports, *i.e.*, the harbor truck drayage system. Each port's CTP becomes effective on October 1, 2008.

The Federal Maritime Commission ("Commission") is responsible for enforcing the requirements of the Shipping Act of 1984, as amended by the Ocean Shipping Reform Act of 1998 ("Shipping Act"). 46 U.S.C. 40101 *et seq.* As the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach operate as marine terminal operators ("MTOs") under the Shipping Act, their actions, to the extent they impact international transportation, are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction and, in particular, to the requirements of section 10 of the Shipping Act.¹

While the Commission appreciates the significant environmental and public health benefits of the San Pedro Ports CAAP, it is concerned that certain aspects of the ports' CTPs may violate the Shipping Act. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to initiate an Investigation and Hearing of the Ports' Clean Truck Programs under section 11 of the Shipping Act with respect to possible violations under section 10 of the Shipping Act.

San Pedro Bay Ports

The Port of Los Angeles ("POLA"), referred to as the Los Angeles Harbor Department, is a self-supporting department of the City of Los Angeles, California. POLA is under the control of a five-member Board of Harbor Commissioners appointed by the mayor of Los Angeles and approved by the City Council, and is administered by an executive director.² POLA is the largest container port in the United States. POLA's annual loaded container volume for 2007 was 5.7 million twenty-foot equivalent units ("TEUs").

The Port of Long Beach ("POLB") has an administrative structure similar to

¹ Section 10(d)(1) requires MTOs to establish, observe, and enforce just and reasonable regulations and practices relating to or connected with receiving, handling, storing, or delivering property. 46 U.S.C. 41102(c). Section 10(d)(4) provides that an MTO may not give any undue or unreasonable preference or advantage or impose any undue or unreasonable prejudice or disadvantage with respect to any person. 46 U.S.C. 41106(2). An MTO may not unreasonably refuse to deal or negotiate. 46 U.S.C. 41106(3).

² For the purposes of this order, the City of Los Angeles, the Harbor Department of the City of Los Angeles and the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Los Angeles will be referred to as the Port of Los Angeles or POLA.

* Session Closed-Exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(8) and (9).