

national importance of fostering technological innovation based upon solid science, resulting in commercially successful products and services.

On March 2, 2007, the Technology Administration published a notice of solicitation for nominees for the 2007 National Medal of Technology. The original deadline for nominees was May 31, 2007. Due to server problems encountered during the submission period, which resulted in the inability for some nomination packages to be submitted before the deadline, the Technology Administration is extending the deadline from May 31, 2007, to July 18, 2007. Nomination packages submitted and received between May 31, 2007 and June 26, 2007 are deemed to be timely. All other program requirements and information published in the original solicitation remain unchanged.

Eligibility and Criteria: Information on eligibility and nomination criteria is provided on the Nominations Guidelines Form at <http://www.technology.gov/medal>. Applicants who do not have internet access should contact Connie Chang, Research Director, Technology Administration at the e-mail address or telephone number above to request this information.

Dated: June 15, 2007.

Robert C. Cresanti,
*Under Secretary for Technology, U.S.
Department of Commerce.*

[FR Doc. E7-12327 Filed 6-25-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Advisory Committee Meetings

AGENCY: Defense Science Board.

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board 2007 Summer Study on Challenges to Military Operations in Support of National Interests will meet in closed session on August 6-16, 2007; at the Beckman Center, Irvine, CA.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting, the Board will review previous and ongoing studies regarding stressing wars; identify defining parameters for challenges to military

operations; assess capability gaps; and identify possible solutions.

In accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 2) and 41 CFR 102-3.155, the Department of Defense has determined that these Defense Science Board Summer Study meeting will be closed to the public. Specifically, the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics), with the coordination of the DoD Office of General Counsel, has determined in writing that all sessions of these meetings will be closed to the public because they will be concerned throughout with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1).

Interested persons may submit a written statement for consideration by the Defense Science Board, Individuals submitting a written statement must submit their statement to the Designated Federal Official at the address detailed below, at any point, however, if a written statement is not received at least 10 calendar days prior to the meeting, which is the subject of this notice, then it may not be provided to or considered by the Defense Science Board. The Designated Federal Official will review all timely submissions with the Defense Science Board Chairperson, and ensure they are provided to members of the Defense Science Board before the meeting that is the subject of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Debra Rose, Executive Officer, Defense Science Board, 3140 Defense Pentagon, Room 3C553, Washington, DC 20301-3140, via e-mail at debra.rose@osd.mil, or via phone at (703) 571-0084.

Dated: June 20, 2007.

C.R. Choate,
*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison
Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 07-3111 Filed 6-25-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[DoD-2007-OS-0066]

National Information Assurance Program

AGENCY: Department of Defense; National Security Agency.

ACTION: Notice of new fees.

SUMMARY: Section 933 of Pub. L. 109-364, the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007, provides that the Director, National Security Agency, may collect charges for

evaluating, certifying, or validating information assurance products under the National Information Assurance Program (NIAP) or successor program. Table A sets forth the Fee-For-Service rates that will be assessed to NIAP accredited commercial Common Criteria Testing Labs (CCTLs) for "validation" services performed by NIAP validator personnel on information technology (IT) security products being evaluated by the NIAP CCTLs pursuant to the Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme (CCEVS).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 27, 2007. Do not submit comments directly to the point of contact or mail your comments to any address other than what is shown below. Doing so will delay the posting of the submission.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and or RIN number and title, by any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- **Mail:** Federal Docket Management System Office, 1160 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1160.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number or Regulatory Information Number (RIN) for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Audrey M. Dale, 410-854-4458.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NSA and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) formed the NIAP in order to promote information security in various ways, including the evaluation of IT security products. Commercial IT security product vendors initiate the NIAP evaluation process through submission of their IT security product to a nationally accredited commercial CCTL for evaluation against the internationally recognized Common Criteria (CC) Standard for Information Technology Security Evaluation (ISO Standard 15408). NIAP evaluation is voluntary for IT security products that are acquired by United States Government (USG) civil agencies and non-USG entities, but as per National Security Telecommunications & Information Systems Security Policy (NSTISSP) No. 11, mandatory for IT

security products purchased for use on systems that process national security information. Additionally, per DoD Instruction 8500.2 the DoD mandates the use of CC or NIAP evaluated IT security products on all DoD networks.

Evaluations are conducted by NIAP accredited commercial CCTLs, with oversight provided by NIAP validator personnel who are NSA government employees, Federally Funded Research & Development Center (FFRDCs) personnel or contractors. Prior to the enactment of Sec 933, NSA paid for all validation costs. Sec 933 shifts the costs for this validation oversight from NSA to the commercial CCTLs (who may, in turn, will pass these fees onto the product vendors seeking NIAP evaluation of their IT security products). This change will ensure that NIAP can keep pace with the commercial demand for IT security product evaluations and will not be constrained by NSA's program budget for validation services.

Fee Schedule: TABLE A delineates the NIAP Validation Oversight Fee Schedule which will be assessed to CCTLs for validation services provided in support of their NIAP evaluations. Fees are predicated on a per hourly basis by validator skill type and are a function of the Evaluation Assurance Levels (EALs) along with the type and complexity of the product technology. The CC standard used for NIAP evaluations is broken down into increasingly more rigorous Evaluation

Assurance Levels (EALs) beginning at EAL 1 and moving up to the highest possible assurance at EAL 7.

The two primary factors used in developing the Validation Fee Schedules were the EALs of the evaluations and the complexity (simple, moderately complex, and complex) of the product being evaluated. Higher EALs require more rigorous and thus more costly evaluations. More complex products typically take more time to analyze resulting in longer and more costly evaluations. The complexity factor takes into account size of the product in terms of lines of code but must also reflect the fact that new technologies will require additional analysis. Simple products would include basic routers, switches or file encryptors. Products of moderate complexity would include simple firewalls or general application software. Complex products would include standard operating systems and new/unique IA products or technologies.

While validation oversight occurs throughout the course of an evaluation, the majority of this oversight is focused on Validation Oversight Reviews (VORs). These reviews take place at critical points during the evaluation. Evaluations require Initial, Test and Final VORs. The VOR process typically consists of three phases: the preparation phase where validators review documents pertaining to that specific

VOR, the actual VOR meeting (attended by the validators and lab personnel), and the Issue Resolution and Wrap-Up phase. During this final phase all relevant issues are addressed by the CCTL then the VOR report is finalized. At EAL 3s and above, witnessing of testing by validator personnel may also be required.

An additional factor that will affect the validation oversight costs is the length of the evaluation since monthly validation fees will be applied to cover validator coordination and guidance costs throughout the course of the evaluation.

The final section of the fee schedule depicts costs for assurance maintenance which is the process vendors use to maintain the currency of their product evaluations. Vendors submit rationale for why changes to their product did not impact their evaluated product's security. The vendor proposals are reviewed by a NIAP senior validator who determines if their rationale is sound and makes a recommendation to NIAP management who then renders a verdict on the vendor assurance maintenance proposal.

Dated June 19, 2007.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, DoD.

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

HOURLY RATES

Mid-Level Validator \$150
 Technical Coordination (mix of mid-level & Senior) \$180
 Senior / Expert Validator \$210

PROPOSED FEE-FOR-SERVICE SCHEDULE

Activity	EAL2 Validation Costs										Complex Product									
	Simple Product					Moderately Complex Product					Complex Product					Complex Product				
	M	Sr	Hours	Costs		M	Sr	Hours	Costs		M	Sr	Hours	Costs		M	Sr	Hours	Costs	
<u>Initial VOR</u>																				
Preparation	10	6	16	\$2,760		14	8	22	\$3,780		26	14	40	\$6,840						
VOR Meeting	4	4	8	\$1,440		5	5	10	\$1,800		6	6	12	\$2,160						
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	8	4	12	\$2,040		12	6	18	\$3,060		16	8	24	\$4,080						
Cost for Initial VOR			36	\$6,240				50	\$8,640				76	\$13,080						
<u>Testing VOR</u>																				
Preparation	16	12	28	\$4,920		20	16	36	\$6,360		24	20	44	\$7,800						
VOR Meeting	4	4	8	\$1,440		5	5	10	\$1,800		6	6	12	\$2,160						
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	8	8	16	\$2,880		12	8	20	\$3,480		16	8	24	\$4,080						
Cost for Testing VOR			52	\$9,240		12		66	\$11,640				80	\$14,040						
<u>Witness Testing</u>																				
Travel Cost				\$0					\$0					\$0					\$0	
Witness Testing by Validator			0	\$0				0	\$0				0	\$0				0	\$0	
<u>Final VOR</u>																				
Preparation	10	8	18	\$3,180		16	12	28	\$4,920		26	16	42	\$7,260						
VOR Meeting	4	4	8	\$1,440		5	5	10	\$1,800		6	6	12	\$2,160						
Issues Resolution & Wrap-Up	10	10	20	\$3,600		16	12	28	\$4,920		24	16	40	\$6,960						
Cost for Final VOR			46	\$8,220				66	\$11,640				94	\$16,380						
Monthly Guidance/Coordination			1	\$180				2	\$360				3	\$540						
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing			N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A	
EAL2 TOTALS			134	\$23,700				182	\$31,920				250	\$43,500						

Note: EAL 2 TOTALS do not include monthly fees since those depend on the length of the projected evaluation

EAL3 Validation Costs**Simple Product****Moderately Complex Product****Complex Product**

Activity	M	Sr	Hours	Costs	M	Sr	Hours	Costs	M	Sr	Hours	Costs
<u>Initial VOR</u>												
Preparation	10	8	18	\$3,180	14	10	24	\$4,200	26	16	42	\$7,260
VOR Meeting	4	4	8	\$1,440	5	5	10	\$1,800	6	6	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	12	8	20	\$3,480	16	8	24	\$4,080	20	8	28	\$4,680
Cost for Initial VOR			46	<u>\$8,100</u>			58	<u>\$10,080</u>			82	<u>\$14,100</u>
<u>Testing VOR</u>												
Preparation	20	12	32	\$5,520	24	16	40	\$6,960	28	24	52	\$9,240
VOR Meeting	4	4	8	\$1,440	5	5	10	\$1,800	6	6	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	12	8	20	\$3,480	16	8	24	\$4,080	20	8	28	\$4,680
Cost for Testing VOR			60	<u>\$10,440</u>			74	<u>\$12,840</u>			92	<u>\$16,080</u>
<u>Witness Testing</u>												
Travel Cost	16	16	32	Gov't per diem	24	24	48	Gov't per diem	24	24	48	Gov't per diem
Witness Testing by Validators				<u>\$5,760</u>				<u>\$8,640</u>				<u>\$8,640</u>
<u>Final VOR</u>												
Preparation	20	8	28	\$4,680	26	12	38	\$6,420	32	16	48	\$8,160
VOR Meeting	4	4	8	\$1,440	5	5	10	\$1,800	6	6	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	20	8	28	\$4,680	26	12	38	\$6,420	32	16	48	\$8,160
Cost for Final VOR			64	<u>\$10,800</u>			86	<u>\$14,640</u>			108	<u>\$18,480</u>
Monthly Guidance/Coordination			2	\$360			3	\$540			4	\$720
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A

EAL3 TOTALS

Note: EAL 3 TOTALS do not include monthly fees since those dep depend on the length of the projected evaluation

EAL4 Validation Costs												
Activity	Simple Product			Moderately Complex Product			Complex Product					
	M	Sr	Hours	Costs	M	Sr	Hours	Costs	M	Sr	Hours	Costs
Initial VOR												
Preparation	16	12	28	\$4,920	20	16	36	\$6,360	24	20	44	\$7,800
VOR Meeting	4	4	8	\$1,440	6	6	12	\$2,160	6	6	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	16	16	32	\$5,760	16	16	32	\$5,760	20	20	40	\$7,200
Cost for Initial VOR			68	\$12,120			80	\$14,280			96	\$17,160
Testing VOR												
Preparation	20	16	36	\$6,360	26	18	44	\$7,680	36	28	64	\$11,280
VOR Meeting	4	4	8	\$1,440	6	6	12	\$2,160	6	6	12	\$2,160

Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up		16	8	24	24	\$4,080	20	10	30	24	16	40	\$6,960
Cost for Testing VOR				68		\$11,880			86			116	\$20,400
Witness Testing													
Travel Cost													
Witness Testing by Validators		24	24	48		Gov't per diem	32	32	64		40	80	Gov't per diem
Final VOR						\$8,640							\$14,400
Preparation		24	12	36		\$6,120	32	16	48		24	64	\$11,040
VOR Meeting		4	4	8		\$1,440	6	6	12		6	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up		20	10	30		\$5,100	32	16	48		24	64	\$11,040
Cost for Final VOR				74		\$12,660			108			140	\$24,240
Monthly Guidance/Coordination				2		\$360			3			4	\$720
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing				N/A		N/A			N/A			N/A	N/A

EAL 4 TOTALS

258

338

\$45,300

\$59,220

432

\$76,200

Note: EAL4 TOTALS do not include monthly fees since those depend on the length of the projected evaluation

EAL4+(AVA VLA.3/4) Validation Costs

Activity	Simple Product		Moderately Complex Product		Complex Product	
	M	Sr	M	Sr	M	Sr
Initial VOR						
Preparation	16	12	20	16	24	20
VOR Meeting	4	4	6	6	6	6
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	16	16	16	16	20	20
Cost for Initial VOR						
Testing VOR						
Preparation	20	16	26	18	36	28
VOR Meeting	4	4	6	6	6	6
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	16	8	20	10	24	16
Cost for Testing VOR						
Witness Testing						
Travel Cost						
Witness Testing by Validators	24	24	32	32	40	40
Final VOR						
Preparation	24	12	32	16	40	24
VOR Meeting	4	4	6	6	6	6
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	20	10	32	12	40	24
Cost for Final VOR						
Monthly Guidance/Coordination						
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing						

Cost for Final VOR				74	\$12,660	104	\$17,640	140	\$24,240
Monthly Guidance/Coordination				2	\$360	3	\$540	4	\$720
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing				8	\$1,440	8	\$1,440	8	\$1,440

EAL 4+ (AVA_VLA.3/4) TOTALS

266 \$46,740 342 \$59,820 440 \$77,640

Note: EAL4+ TOTALS do not include travel/per diem costs or monthly fees since those depend on the location of the testing and length of the projected evaluation

EAL5 to EAL7 Validation Costs

Activity	Simple Product			Moderately Complex Product			Complex Product		
	M	Sr	Hours	M	Sr	Hours	M	Sr	Hours
Initial VOR									
Preparation	16	24	40	24	32	56	32	40	72
VOR Meeting	4	8	12	6	12	18	6	12	18
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	16	16	32	20	24	44	32	32	64
Cost for Initial VOR			84			118			154
Costs			\$15,480			\$21,780			\$28,140
Testing VOR									
Preparation	20	24	44	24	32	56	24	40	64
VOR Meeting	4	8	12	6	12	18	6	12	18
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	16	24	40	16	24	40	24	40	64
Cost for Testing VOR			96			114			146
Costs			\$17,760			\$21,180			\$27,420
Witness Testing									
Travel Cost									
Witness Testing by Validators	32	32	64	40	40	80	60	60	120
Gov't per diem									
Cost			\$11,520						
Final VOR									
Preparation	24	24	48	32	32	64	40	40	80
VOR Meeting	4	8	12	6	12	18	6	12	18
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	24	16	40	32	32	64	40	40	80
Cost for Final VOR			100			146			178
Costs			\$17,880			\$26,460			\$32,220
Monthly Guidance/Coordination			6			8			10
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing Results			8			8			8
Cost			\$1,080			\$1,440			\$1,800
Costs			\$1,440			\$1,440			\$1,440

EAL 5 and EAL 7 TOTALS

352 \$64,080 466 \$85,260 606 \$110,820

Note: EAL5-7 TOTALS do not include travel/per diem costs or monthly fees since those depend on the location of the testing and length of the projected evaluation

Note: for EAL5s and above, Sr hours include at least 2 Senior Validators

Assurance Continuity Validation Costs*Cost / Hour**Cost Description*

Validator Costs

Mid-level Validator

\$150

Technical Coordination (mix of mid-level & Senior)

\$180

Senior / Expert Validator

\$210

Assurance Continuity Validation Costs (EAL 2 through EAL 4)*Major Activity**Average Hours**Average Costs*

Assurance Continuity with Minor Changes (1 Senior)

8

\$1,680

Assurance Continuity with Major Changes (1 Senior)

40

\$8,400

[FR Doc. 07-3114 Filed 6-25-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-C

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Office of Science; Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee****AGENCY:** Department of Energy.**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee. The Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770) requires that public notice of these meetings be announced in the **Federal Register**.