channels of distribution are similar. Because these selling functions are similar for both sales channels, except for the initiation of the sale, we preliminarily determine that home market sales in the two channels of distribution constitute a single level of trade.

We reviewed the selling functions and services performed by TKAST in the U.S. market, as represented by TKAST in its section A response. TKAST reported one LOT for sales to the U.S. TKAST sold through one channel of distribution in the U.S. market: (1) directly from its mill through TKASTUSA to unaffiliated distributors/ service centers. TKAST indicated that the selling functions performed by TKAST for CEP sales for its U.S. backto-back sales are the same functions described above for home market channel one (i.e., pre-sale and continuous technical assistance; sample analysis; price negotiation and customer communication, etc). In addition, TKAST reported that TKASTUSA performed selling functions for its backto-back sales from TKASTUSA to unaffiliated U.S. customers which include the following: processing inquiries and purchase orders; price negotiation; freight and delivery arrangements from TKAST's plant to the U.S. port (including the cost of transporting the goods to the European port, port handling, and ocean freight); sales calls and visits; invoicing; and extending credit. We preliminarily find that the selling functions in the U.S. through TKAST's single channel of distribution represent one level of trade.

In order to determine whether NV was established at a different LOT than CEP sales, we examined stages in the marketing process and selling functions along the chains of distribution between TKAST and its home market customers. We compared the selling functions performed for home market sales with those performed with respect to the CEP transaction, after deductions for economic activities occurring in the United States, pursuant to section 772(d) of the Act, to determine if the home market levels of trade constituted more advanced stages of distribution than the CEP level of trade. Based on our analysis of the selling functions performed for sales in the HM and CEP sales in the U.S. market described above, we preliminarily determine that there is not a significant difference in the selling functions performed in the home market and U.S. market and that these sales are made at the same LOT. Because we found that no difference in the level of trade exists between the

home market and U.S. market, we have not granted a CEP offset to TKAST.

Currency Conversion

For purposes of the preliminary results, we made currency conversions into U.S. dollars based on the exchange rates in effect on the dates of the U.S. sales as certified by the Federal Reserve Bank in accordance section 773A(a) of the Act.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

STAINLESS STEEL SHEET AND STRIP IN COILS

Producer/Manufacturer/	Weighted-Average
Exporter	Margin
TKAST	0.00%

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224, the Department will disclose to any party to the proceeding, within ten days of publication of this notice, the calculations performed. Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 37 days after the date of publication, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 35 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments or at a hearing, within 120 days after the publication of this notice.

Upon issuance of the final results of review, the Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the results and for future deposits of estimated duties. For duty assessment purposes, we calculated an importer-specific assessment rate by dividing the total dumping margins calculated for the U.S. sales to the importer by the total entered value of these sales. This rate will be used for the assessment of antidumping duties on all

entries of the subject merchandise by that importer during the POR.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided in section 751(a)(1) of the Act: 1) the cash deposit rate for TKAST will be that established in the final results of this review; 2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; 3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and 4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the "all other" rate established in the LTFV investigation, which was 39.69 percent. See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Italy, 64 FR 15458 (March 31, 1999).

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under regulation 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 31, 2002

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–14515 Filed 6–7–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-857]

Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe From Japan: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review of the Antidumping Order, and Notice of Consideration of Revocation of Order (in Part).

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of changed circumstances antidumping duty review.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(b), BP America, Inc. ("BP America"), a U.S. importer of the subject merchandise, filed a request for a changed circumstances review of the antidumping order on welded large diameter line pipe (LDLP) from Japan with respect to certain products as described below. American Cast Iron Pipe Co., American Steel Pipe Division; Berg Steel Pipe Corp.; and Stupp Corp., the petitioners in the sales at less than fair value investigation ("the petitioners"), filed a letter with the Department of Commerce ("the Department") stating that they do not object to the exclusion of these products from the order. In response to the expressed lack of interest in these products from members of the domestic industry, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is initiating a changed circumstances review with respect to this request for certain welded large diameter line pipe as described below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 10, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Shireen Pasha, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0193.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 C.F.R. Part 351 (2001).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 6, 2001, the Department published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on welded large diameter line pipe from Japan. See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan (66 FR 63368). On April 17, 2002, BP America, a U.S. importer, requested that the Department revoke in part the antidumping duty order on certain welded large diameter line pipe from Japan. Specifically, BP America requested that the Department revoke the order with respect to imports meeting the following specifications and sizes: in API grades X80 or above, having an outside diameter of 48 inches to and including 52 inches, and with a wall thickness of 0.90 inch or more; and, in API grades X100 or above, having an outside diameter of 48 inches to and including 52 inches, and with a wall thickness of 0.54 inch or more.

The petitioners consented, on a letter filed May 7, 2002, to the revocation of the order only as it applies to all welded LDLP in API grades X80 or above, having an outside diameter of 48 inches to an including 52 inches, and with a wall thickness of 0.90 inch or more. However, on May 21, 2002, the petitioners filed another letter stating that they would like to change their initial response from partial consent to that of full consent in excluding these products from the order, i.e., in API grades X80 or above, having an outside diameter of 48 inches to and including 52 inches, and with a wall thickness of 0.90 inch or more; and, in API grades X100 or above, having an outside diameter of 48 inches to and including 52 inches, and with a wall thickness of 0.54 inch or more. In accordance with Section 351.216(c), due to the lack of petitioners' interest, the Department finds good cause to initiate a changed circumstance review despite the final determination being less than 24 months old. This initiation will accord all interested parties an opportunity to address this proposed exclusion and will enable the Department to solicit comments from the parties to determine whether substantially all of the domestic producers support revocation of the order with respect to the merchandise in question. See Certain Tin Mill Products From Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, 66 FR 52109 (October 12, 2001).

Scope of Review

The product covered by this antidumping order is certain welded carbon and alloy line pipe, of circular cross section and with an outside

diameter greater than 16 inches, but less than 64 inches, in diameter, whether or not stencilled. This product is normally produced according to American Petroleum Institute (API) specifications, including Grades A25, A, B, and X grades ranging from X42 to X80, but can also be produced to other specifications. The product currently is classified under U.S. Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTSUS) item numbers 7305.11.10.30, 7305.11.10.60, 7305.11.50.00, 7305.12.10.30, 7305.12.10.60, 7305.12.50.00, 7305.19.10.30. 7305.19.10.60, and 7305.19.50.00. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive. Specifically not included within the scope of this investigation is American Water Works Association (AWWA) specification water and sewage pipe and the following size/grade combinations; of line pipe:

—Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 18 inches and less than or equal to 22 inches, with a wall thickness measuring 0.750 inch or greater, regardless of grade.

—Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 24 inches and less than 30 inches, with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.875 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.750 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.688 inches in grades X60 or greater.

—Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 30 inches and less than 36 inches, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.250 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.000 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.875 inches in grades X60 or greater.

—Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 36 inches and less than 42 inches, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.375 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.250 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.125 inches in grades X60 or greater.

—Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 42 inches and less than 64 inches, with a wall thickness measuring greater than 1.500 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.375 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater

than 1.250 inches in grades X60 or greater.

—Having an outside diameter equal to 48 inches, with a wall thickness measuring 1.0 inch or greater, in grades X–80 or greater.

Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

Pursuant to section 751(d)(1) of the Act, the Department may revoke an antidumping or countervailing duty order, in whole or in part, based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant review of a final affirmative antidumping determination. Section 351.222(g) (2) of the Department's regulations provides that the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review under 19 C.F.R. 351.216 if the Secretary concludes from the available information that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation or termination may exist. The Department may revoke an order (in whole or in part), if the Secretary determines that: (i) producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or (ii) if other changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist. In this context, the Department has interpreted "substantially all" production normally to mean at least 85 percent of domestic production of the like product. See Certain Tin Mill Products From Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, 66 FR 52109 (October 12, 2001). According to the Department's knowledge the following are U.S. producers of welded large diameter line pipe: American Cast Iron Pipe Co., American Steel Pipe Division; Berg Steel Pipe Corp.; Stupp Corp.; Bethlehem Steel Corp.; U.S. Steel Group, a Unit of USX Corp.; Camp-Hill Corp.; Lone Star Steel Co.; Napa Pipe Corp.; Pennsylvania Steel Technologies, Inc.; Oregon Steel mills, Inc.; and Saw Pipes USA, Inc.. Based upon the petitioners' statement of no interest and the silence of other domestic producers, the Department determines that there is information sufficient to warrant initiation of this changed circumstances review.

We will publish in the Federal Register a notice of preliminary results

of antidumping duty changed circumstances review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4) and 351.221(c)(3)(i), which will set forth the factual and legal conclusions upon which our preliminary results are based and a description of any action proposed based on those results. As per section 351.221 (b) (4), interested parties will have an opportunity to comment. The Department will issue its final results of review no later than 270 days after publication of this notice of initiation. All written comments must be submitted to the Department and served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.

During the course of this changed circumstances review, the current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on all subject merchandise, including the merchandise subject to this changed circumstances review, will continue unless and until it is modified pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review or other administrative review.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216, 351.221(b), and 351.222(g)(3)(i).

Dated: June 3, 2002

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–14513 Filed 6–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[Docket No. 000927276-2103-03] RIN 0648-ZA94

Coastal Services Center Broad Area Announcement

AGENCY: National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of availability of federal assistance.

SUMMARY: The NOAA Coastal Services Center (Center) is soliciting applications for federal assistance for the following program areas: Landscape Characterization and Restoration (LCR), Integration and Development (I&D), Outreach, Coastal Remote Sensing (CRS) and Information Resources (IR). This announcement provides guidelines for these program areas and includes details for the evaluation criteria, and selection procedures of each program. Selected recipients will enter into either a cooperative agreement with the Center or receive a grant depending upon the amount of the Center's involvement in the project. Funding for these programs will be contingent upon availability of FY 2003 funding availability.

DATES: Each program area has specific dates for application and proposal deadlines. Refer directly to that program area description under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** listed below.

ADDRESSES: Send all proposals to: NOAA Coastal Services Center, 2234 South Hobson Avenue, Charleston, SC 29405-2413. Landscape Characterization and Restoration (LCR) proposals should be sent to the attention of Jeffery Adkins, Room 238A. Integration and Development (I&D) proposals should be sent to the attention of James Lewis Free, Room 236B. Outreach proposals should be sent to the attention of Jan Kucklick, Room 142. Coastal Remote Sensing (CRS) proposals should be sent to the attention of Kirk Waters, Room 103. Information Resources (IR) proposals should be sent to the attention of Anne Ball, Room 211.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:

Administrative questions should be directed to Violet Legette, (843)-740-1222 or Violet.Legette@noaa.gov. Technical point of contact for Landscape Characterization and Restoration is Jeffery Adkins, (843)-740–1244 or Jeffery.Adkins@noaa.gov. Technical point of contact for Integration and Development is James Lewis Free, (843)-740-1185 or James.L.Free@noaa.gov. Technical point of contact for Outreach is Jan Kucklick, (843)-740-1279 or Jan.Kucklick@noaa.gov. Technical point of contact for Coastal Remote Sensing is Kirk Waters, (843)-740-1227 or Kirk.Waters@noaa.gov. Technical point of contact for Information Resources is Anne Ball, (843)-740-1229 or Anne.Ball@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Center is soliciting applications for federal assistance and funding will be contingent upon availability of FY 2003 funding availability. The following program areas are: Landscape Characterization and Restoration (LCR), Integration and Development (I&D), Outreach, Coastal Remote Sensing (CRS) and Information Resources (IR). This announcement provides guidelines for these program areas and includes details for the evaluation criteria, and selection procedures of each program. Selected recipients will enter into either a cooperative agreement with the Center or receive a grant depending upon the