

reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: February 4, 2008.

Angela C. Arrington,

IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

Federal Student Aid

Type of Review: Revision.

Title: Fiscal Operations Report for 2007–2008 and Application to Participate for 2009–2010 (FISAP) and Reallocation Form E40–4P.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions (primary), Businesses or other for-profit, Federal Government, State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 5,798.

Burden Hours: 27,935.

Abstract: This application data will be used to compute the amount of funds needed by each school for the 2009–2010 award year. The Fiscal Operations Report data will be used to assess program effectiveness, account for funds expended during the 2006–2007 award year, and as part of the school funding process. The Reallocation Form is part of the FISAP on the Web. Schools will use it in the summer to return unexpended funds for 2006–2007 and request supplemental FWS funds for 2008–2009.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 3581. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW.,

LBJ, Washington, DC 20202–4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202–401–0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. E8–2259 Filed 2–6–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY: United States Election Assistance Commission (EAC).

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting Roundtable Discussion.

DATE & TIME: Friday, February 29, 2008, 9 a.m.–2 p.m. (EST).

PLACE: United State Election Assistance Commission, 1225 New York Ave., NW., Suite 150, Washington, DC 20005.

Agenda

The Commission will host a voting systems manufacturer roundtable discussion regarding the Technical Guidelines Development Committee's (TGDC) recommended voluntary voting system guidelines (VVSG). The discussion will be focused upon the following topics: (1) The dominant business model for voting system manufacturers and their role as innovators; (2) How to evaluate innovative systems, for which there are no standards for purposes of certification; (3) The value and risks associated with Open Ended Vulnerability Testing; (4) The processes associated with testing to the VVSG and possible modifications; (5) Whether the recommend TGDC standards create appropriate functional standards that promote innovation; (6) The cost implications of the proposed VVSG; (7) Development of systems to the proposed VVSG and possible time frames.

This meeting will be open to the public.

PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION: Matthew Masterson, Telephone: (202) 566–3100.

Thomas R. Wilkey,

Executive Director, U.S. Election Assistance Commission.

[FR Doc. 08–565 Filed 2–5–08; 10:57 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–KF–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Bonneville Power Administration

Proposed Methodology for Determining the Average System Cost of Resources for Electric Utilities Participating in the Residential Exchange Program Established by Section 5(c) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act

AGENCY: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), DOE.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments (BPA File No.: ASCM–08).

SUMMARY: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes a revised methodology for determining the average system cost (ASC) of resources for regional electric utilities that participate in the Residential Exchange Program (REP) authorized by section 5(c) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (Northwest Power Act). The ASC methodology is used in the determination of monetary benefits paid by BPA to utilities participating in the REP. The Northwest Power Act authorizes the BPA Administrator to determine utilities' ASCs based on a methodology developed by BPA in consultation with the Northwest Power and Conservation Council, BPA customers and state regulatory agencies in the Pacific Northwest. The existing methodology was adopted by BPA and approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) in 1984 (1984 ASC Methodology). On August 1, 2007, the Administrator initiated a series of public meetings in which informal comment was taken on 17 specific issues pertaining to the 1984 ASC Methodology. Based in part on public comment, the methodology proposed by BPA in this notice redefines the types of capital and expense items includable in ASC, establishes new data sources from which ASCs are to be derived, and changes the nature and timing of BPA's procedures for review of ASC filings by utilities participating in the REP. This notice also contains detailed procedures for public participation in the consultation proceeding.

This consultation proceeding is intended to facilitate the compilation of a full record upon which the Administrator will base his decision for a final ASC Methodology. Although preliminary informal comments have already been made by some groups and members of the public, this notice formally solicits public comment. With